

## WISCONSIN PUBLIC SERVICE CORPORATION



P.O. Box 1200, Green Bay, Wisconsin 54305

November 12, 1982

Mr. R. L. Spessard, Director  
Division of Project and Resident Programs  
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Region III  
799 Roosevelt Road  
Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Dear Mr. Spessard:

Docket 50-305  
Operating License DPR-43  
IE Inspection Report No. 50-305/82-13

The subject report was issued following a routine inspection conducted by Mr. R. L. Nelson on July 1 - August 31, 1982, of activities at the Kewaunee Nuclear Power Plant. One item of noncompliance and one item of a deviation from a previous commitment were cited by this report. No reply to the non-compliance is required; the attachment to this letter provides our response to the item of deviation from a previous commitment.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "C. W. Giesler".

C. W. Giesler  
Vice President - Nuclear Power

ms

attach.

cc: Mr. Robert Nelson, US NRC

ATTACHMENT

Response to IE Inspection Report 82-13

Item of Deviation

Based on the inspection conducted during the period of July 1 through August 31, 1982, it appears that certain of your activities were not in accordance with a commitment which you made in previous correspondence with the Commission.

In a letter to Region III dated January 14, 1982, from E R Mathews to R F Heishman you stated that an operations memorandum would be issued by February 1, 1982, to all licensed personnel addressing a noncompliance noted in Inspection Report No. 50-305/81-18.

Contrary to the above, the operations memorandum was not issued as of August 20, 1982.

You are requested to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective action to be taken to avoid further deviations; and (3) the date when full conformance with your commitment will be achieved.

Response

In response to the concerns raised in inspection report 50-305/81-18, the Superintendent-Operations recalled that he issued the memorandum committed to in Mr E R Mathews' letter of January 14, 1982 to Mr R F Heishman and in addition, he circulated the subject inspection report with appropriate sections highlighted through the operations staff for their review. However, while the circulation of the inspection report has been appropriately documented, the documentation for the memorandum could not be located.

Prior to the issuance of inspection report 50-305/82-13, discussions were held with the resident inspector regarding the concerns raised in inspection report 50-305/81-18, and the lack of documentation regarding our commitment. During the month of August, a discussion was held with the Operations personnel regarding the concerns of inspection report 50-305/81-18. A list of the items discussed and of the operators who were in attendance was forwarded to our resident inspector. He agreed that this satisfied our commitment.

In order to assure that, in the future, documentation of memorandums of this nature are retrievable, a duplicate file will be established for such memorandums.