

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

FEB 5 1991

MEMORANDUM FOR: Donald J. Sreniawski, Chief Nuclear Materials Safety Branch, Section 1 Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards, Region III

> Uranium Fuel Section Fuel Cycle Safety Branch Division of Industrial and

> W. Scott Pennington Uranium Fuel Section Fuel Cycle Safety Branch Division of Industrial and

George H. Bidinger, Section Leader

Medical Nuclear Safety, NMSS

Medical Nuclear Safety, NMSS

THRU:

FROM:

SUBJECT:

REFERENCES:

STATUS OF ROOT CAUSE INVESTIGATION FOR ALLIED-SIGNAL, INC., COLD TRAP

37415

- Memorandum dated February 23, 1990, from C. E. Norelius to D. J. Sreniawski, Augmented Inspection Team (AIT) Charter for Allied Signal, Inc. (Docket No. 040-03392)
 - Augmented Inspection Team Report, Report Number 40-3392/90002 (DRSS), issued with transmittal letter dated April 13, 1990, to M. D. Kosmider
 - Letter dated March 15, 1990, from M. D. Kosmider to A. B. Davis
 - Memorandum dated August 31, 1990, from J. W. Doman to B. S. Mallett, Status of Primary Cold Trap Investigation at Allied-Signal Metropolis Works

On February 27, 1990, an Augmented Inspection Team (AIT) was dispatched to Allied-Signal's UF, conversion facility in Metropolis, Illinois, to review an event involving a chemical reaction in a primary cold trap. The objectives of the Team were detailed in the AIT Charter (Reference 1).

In the AIT Report (Reference 2), the Team reported that the chemical reaction occurred when the heating/cooling solution, a mixture of ethylene glycol and water, reacted with UF in a cold trap. The solution normally does not contact the UF but is circulated through a tube bundle internal to the cold trap.

In Allied-Signal's letter (Reference 3), the licensee stated that the root cause of the cold trap incident was the failure of the tube bundle in the 2B Primary Cold Trap. In a memorandum (Reference 4), your staff stated that the licensee

9102080088 910205 FDR ADOCK 04003392 C FDR

NEIT

Donald J. Sreniawski, Chief

was continuing with an investigation of the failure mechanism, and based on a conversation with an Allied-Signal representative, the licensee expected to receive the investigation results in November 1990. In addition, the AIT Charter directed the Team, in part, to determine equipment, processing, or procedural problems which may indicate generic applicability to other aspects of Allied-Signal's operations or to other similar licensed facilities.

2

Please provide the status of the licensee's investigation and the Region's plans to address the generic applicability of this event to similar operations at Allied-Signal and other facilities.

W. Scott Pennington Uranium Fuel Section Fuel Cycle Safety Branch Division of Industrial and Medical Nuclear Safety, NMSS

cc: John A. Grobe, RIII

DistributionPDRNRC File CenterIMUF R/FIMSB R/FCHaughneyNMSS R/FRegion IIIEKeeganGFrance,RIIIGBidingerVLTharpeWSPenningtonStateStateState

OFC : IMUF	: IMUF	: IMUF 3-24B
NAME :WSPennington:jc:mh:	:VLTharpe	:GHBidinger
DATE :2/5/91	:2/5 /91	:2/5/91

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY