IES INDUSTRIES INC.

SCO/BCB

February 4, 1994 NG-94-0323

Mr. John B. Martin Regional Administrator Region III U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 801 Warrenville Road Lisle, IL 60532

> Subject: Duane Arnold Energy Center Docket No: 50-331 Op. License DPR-49 Licensee Event Report #94-001

Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 50.73 please find attached a copy of the subject Licensee Event Report.

Very truly yours.

Daniel filson

David L. Wilson Plant Superintendent - Nuclear

DLW/JK/eah

Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation Document Control Desk U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Station P1-137 Washington, D. C. 20555

NRC Resident Inspector - DAEC

140000

9402180125 940204 PDR ADDCK 05000331

NRC FORM 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION											APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 / EXPIRES 5/31/95												
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)												ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THINFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS FORWAR COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN STIMATE TO THE INFORMATIC AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBE 7144, U.S. NUCLE) REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND THE PARERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), DEFICE MANAGEMENT AND BURDET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.											
FACILITY	NAME (1)				DOCKET NUMBER (2)																		
Duan	e Arno	old En	ergy (Center	1.		05000	331		1 OF 4													
Inad	equate	Test	of th	ne APRM Hig	h Flux	Sci	ram Tri	p Set	point														
EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6)							REPORT	NUME	ER (7)	OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)													
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVIS	ION BER	MONTH	DĂY	YEAR	FACILITY	NAME			DOCKET NUMBER 05000									
01	07	94	94	- 001	- 00		02	04	94	FACILITY	NAME			DOCKET NUMBER 05000									
OPER	ATING		THIS R	EPORT IS SUBM	ITTED PUI	RSU	ANT TO TH	E REQ	UIREM	ENTS OF	10 C	FR 1: (Ch	eck one or m	ore) (1	1)								
MODE (9) N 20 402(b)							20.405(c)				50.7	'3(a)(2)(iv)		73	71(b)								
POV	POWER 20.405(a)(1)(i)					50.36(c)(1	1		X	50,7	$^{\prime}$ 3(a)(2)(v)		73.71(c)										
LEVEL (10) 100			20.4	05(a)(1)(ii)	-	50.36(c)(2) moininentarea			50.7	73(a)(2)(vii		0	THER									
			20.4	05(a)(1)(iii)	And Street Street Street	X	50.73(a)(2	() (i)	-		50.7	'3(a)(2)(viii) (A)	below and in Text, N									
			20.4	05(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2	(ii)			50.7	'3(a)(2)(viii)(8)	Form 366A)										
			20.4	05(a)(1)(v)		-	50.73(a)(2	(iii)			50.7	$^{3(a)(2)(x)}$											
NAME.	(plice or other sea				LICENSE	EE C	ONTACT F	OR THI	S LER	(12)	-												
John	D. Ke	rr, T	echnic	al Support	Specia	115	st				(31	PHONE NUM (9) 851	-7492	& Code)									
			COMPL	ETE ONE LINE F	OR EACH	CON	PONENT	FAILUR	E DESC	RIBED	N TH	IS REPOR	T (13)										
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONENT	NENT MANUFACTURER		N.E S	100	C	AUSE	SYSTEM	co	MPONENT	MANUFACTUP	ÉR	REPORTABLE TO NPROS								
	o na statistica d		SI	IPPLEMENTAL P	EPORT E	(PE	CTED (14)		an a	Condist Contractor	An an an an a	EX	PECTED	MONTH	DAY YEAH								
YES (If yes	s, complete	EXPECTED	SUBMISSIC	IN DATE		X	NO					SUE	MISSION ATE (15)										

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately 15 single-spaced typewritten lines) (16)

On January 7, 1994 while the plant was operating at 100% power, it was determined that the test of the average power range monitor (APRM) high flux scram trip setpoint was inadequate. The test has not been performed at a recirculation flow greater than 100% to assure that the scram setpoint does not exceed 120% rated power, as required by the Technical Specifications.

The APRMs were considered to be inoperable and a 6 hour to Startup mode limiting condition for operation (LCO) was entered. The test was revised and performed with satisfactory results and the LCO was exited. This condition was caused by a lack of awareness of the requirement to test the "clamping" function on the scram trip setpoint. The test results verified that the "clamping" function had been and is set properly. There was no effect on safe operation of the plant.

2.104.11.2.3

NRC Form 366A (5-92)		N	APPROVED OMB NO 1150-0104															
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION								EXPIRES 5:31/95 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE									
									INF (MN WAS REC AND	DRMA1 BB 77 SHING NUCTIO BUDG	TOP 14), TOP DN 1 GET	N AND RECOR U.S. NUCLEAI N. DC 20558-001 PROJECT (3150 WASHINGTO	DS R RE 01, A 0 010	MANAGEMEN GULATORY (NO TO THE P M) OFFICE OF XC 20503	T BRAM	NCH SSION. NORK NGEMEN	17	
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)							LER NUMBER(8) PAGE(3)									
									YEA	8	Τ	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER				
Duane Ar	nold Energy Center	0	15	0	00	3	3	1	9	4	-	001		00	2	OF	4	

I. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT:

On January 7, 1994 the plant was operating at 100% power. The residual heat removal (RHR) system was in day 23 of a 30 day limiting condition for operation (LCO). Ongoing engineering reviews comparing Technical Specification limiting safety system setting nominal values, analytical limits, and surveillance test procedure (STP) limits uncovered a deficiency in the testing of the average power range monitor (APRM) high flux scram trip setpoint.

Technical Specification 2.1.A.1. states that "The APRM scram trip setpoint shall be ... with a maximum setpoint of 120% rated power at 100% rated recirculation flow or greater" STP 42C001-Q does test the APRM scram trip setpoint at 100% flow to assure that it is 120% rated power or less. However, there has been no test at a recirculation flow greater than 100% to assure that the scram setpoint does not exceed 120% rated power.

This condition was identified by plant engineers at 1230 hours on January 7, 1994 and was determined to be reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B). At approximately 1500 hours this condition was also determined to be reportable pursuant to 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(iii)(A) and 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(v)(A) as a condition that could have prevented the fulfillment of the safety function because the APRMs were considered to be inoperable. Subsequent tests showed that this condition did not exist, as discussed below. In accordance with Technical Specification 3.1.A. the plant entered an LCO to be in at least Startup mode within 6 hours, effective at 1230 hours.

Also at 1230 hours on January 7, 1994 a revision to STP 42C001-Q was initiated to add a test of the APRM scram trip setpoint at a simulated 125% rated recirculation flow. Following procedure approval, the revised test of all 6 APRMs was begun. By 1810 hours 2 APRMs in each of the 2 trip systems had been tested with satisfactory as-found results and the LCO was exited. By 1900 hours the remaining 2 of the total of 6 APRMs had also been satisfactorily tested.

II. CAUSE OF EVENT:

The cause of this event (condition) was a lack of awareness of the requirement to test the flow biased APRM scram trip setpoint at a recirculation flow greater than 100%. This test confirms that the "clamping" function on the scram trip setpoint is set properly to limit the scram trip setpoint at 120% rated power or less when recirculation flow exceeds 100%. When this condition was identified it was agreed that the current STP was inadequate to test the "clamping" function.

NRC Form 388A (8-92)		ч	APPROVED OMB NO 3150 0104															
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION			(LER)							EXPIRES 5/31/85 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THI INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH IMN8B 77141, U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20555 0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK								
FACILITY NAME (1)			DOC	KETN	LIME	1511 (2			-	AND B	UDGI	ET, WASHINGTO	ON, I	DC 20503.	-	DAC FLA	-	
	COMPLETED IN								YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		REVISION NUMBER	-	PAGEI	-		
Duane Ari	nold Energy Center	1	0	5 0	0	0	3	3	1	94	-	001	-	00	3	OF	4	

TEXT (II more space is required, use additional NRC Form 386A) (17)

A factor that contributed to the lack of awareness was that two sections of the Technical Specifications, 1.1 Fuel Cladding Integrity and 3.1 Reactor Protection System Instrumentation, must be used together to understand all the test requirements.

III. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

The STP as-found test results on January 7, 1994 were all acceptable. This verified that the "clamping" function on the flow biased scram trip setpoint had originally been and still is set properly. Therefore, the condition that existed before the revised STP was run had no effect on safe operation of the plant. The condition was only that the "clamping" function had not been tested. The APRM scram trip setpoint has been routinely tested at 100% rated recirculation flow. Recirculation flow and reactor power are monitored and controlled to prevent reactor power from exceeding the 100% licensed power level.

IV. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

During the time that the APRMs were considered to be inoperable, the reactor recirculation flow control system was locked in position to prevent a recirculation flow rate increase, and subsequent power increase, due to an electrical malfunction or manual initiation.

As discussed above, the STP for testing the flow biased APRM scram trip setpoint was revised to add a test of the "clamping" function by testing at a simulated 125% rated recirculation flow. The revised STP was sufficiently completed with satisfactory results to exit the LCO within the specified 6 hours. The remainder of the STP was successfully completed within another hour. The STP revision is permanent and will be used for future testing.

A review of Technical Specification tables was performed to look for references, notes, or other requirements that may not have been included in surveillance tests. No more were found. The discovery of this deficiency serves as a reminder, to those conducting the ongoing engineering reviews, of the need to carefully read the Technical Specification requirements and to carefully consider both the content and the intent of all references and footnotes.

NRC Form 366A		U & NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION												APPROVED OMB NO 3150 0104										
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION			(LER)							EXPIRES 5/11/95 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WIT INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 50.0 HRS FORM COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BUANCH IMNBB 7714). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIN WASHINGTON, DC 20555/001, AND TO THE PAPERMOD REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0124). OFFICE OF MANAGE														
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOCKET NUMBER (2)							LER NUMBER(8) PAGE							PAGEIS	7							
									YEA	R	T	SEQUENTIAL		REVISION NUMBER										
Duane Ar	nold Energy Center		0 5	0	00	3	3	1	9	4	-	001	-	00	4	OF	4							

V. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

A. Previous Similar Events

A review of DAEC LERs since 1984 identified LERs 93-02, 91-11, 85-32 as reporting inadequate surveillance tests but none of these concern the APRM scram trip.

B. EIIS System and Component Codes

RHR--BO APRM--IG Reactor Recirculation--AD Reactor Power Control--JD

This report is being submitted pursuant to 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) and (a)(2)(v)(A).