NRC FORM 366 **U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION** (9.77) Attachment to AECM-82/568 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT Page 1 of 2 (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION) CONTROL BLOCK: - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 (3) G 5 0 0 0 4 1 6 7 1 0 2 0 (6) SOURCE DOCKET NUMBER EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10 During review of plant surveillance procedures it was discovered that surveillance requirements for some fire detection instruments, per Technical Specification 4.3.7.9.1, had not been met. Several smoke detector zones had exceeded the testing frequency. Also, no documentation existed to indicate that heat detectors listed in T.S. Table 3.3.7.9-1 had been tested. This event constituted no threat to plant or public safety. VALVE AB X (13) X X XI X Z (16) A X (14 Z (15 OCCURRENCE REVISION SEQUENTIAL REPORT REPORT NO. NO. 18 12 0 8 9 9 1 0 L COMPONENT ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED NPRD-4 FORM SUB PRIME COMP. FUTURE 0 0 Z X Z 01 Y N (24) 19 0 CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27) Intital testing was done under the preoperational test program. Since that time, test documentation and frequency tracking have been inadequate. An LCO was entered, and fire watches were established per T.S.3.3.7.9. The required testing was performed. A review committee has been formed, which should prevent future occurrences. This report is final per T.S.3.3.7.9.b. 80 OTHER STATUS DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION (32) 0 10 C (31 Procedure 0 Review AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY (35) LOCATION OF RELEASE (36) Ζ NA NA RO 0 0 Z (38) NA 80 DESCRIPTION (41) 0 0 0 (40) NA NA Z (42) 8212020061 821124 PDR 05000416 ADOCK N PDR Original signed by M. Scott Freeman PHONE

Attachment to AECM-82/568 Page 2 of 2

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION TO LER 82-108/99 L-0

Mississippi Power & Light Company Grand Gulf Nuclear Station - Unit 1 Docket No. 50-416

Technical Specification Involved: 4.3.7.9.1 and 3.3.7.9 Reported Under Technical Specification: 3.3.7.9.b

Event Narrative:

During a review of plant surveillance procedures, it was discovered that surveillance requirements for some fire detection instruments, as per Technical Specification 4.3.7.9.1, had not been met. Several smoke detector zones had exceeded the required testing frequency. In addition, one smoke detector zone had been omitted from the procedures and exceeded the required testing frequency. It was further discovered that no documentation existed to indicate that the heat detectors listed in T.S. Table 3.3.7.9-1 had been tested.

The initial testing of the detectors was performed under the preoperational test program. Since that time, documentation for heat detector testing and frequency tracking has been inadequate. When the incident was discovered, the detectors were declared inoperable and an LCO was entered. Fire watches were established pursuant to Technical Specification 3.3.7.9. Subsequent actions were to add the omitted smoke detector zones to the surveillance procedures and to complete testing on all untested detectors.

A surveillance review program has been established for reviewing and correcting surveillance procedures. This program should prevent a future recurrence of this type of event.

The total time of operation under T.S.3.3.7.9.a was six days; however, it is not known how long the detector zones were untested. Therefore, this report is filed as a thirty-day Special Report, as required by T.S.3.3.7.9.b.

Previous Similar Events:

None.