



United States NRC  
Att: Mr Potapovs, Acting Chief  
Vendor Inspection Branch  
Division of Reactor  
Inspection and Safeguards  
Washington, D. C. 20555

USA

Deal with by  
**Anders Micko**  
For the attention of  
**Mr U Potapovs**

Our date  
**910124**  
Your date  
**901129**

Our reference  
**BQA/AvS**  
Your reference  
**Inspection Report  
999001211/90-01**

Dear Mr. Potapovs,

Our response to your inspection report, Notice of nonconformance  
Docket No. 999001211, November 29, 1990, is as follows:

A nonconformance was found for the WNP-2 project, where two deviation  
reports were not classified as either category 1 or 2 and one deviation report  
had been classified as category 2, but had not been forwarded to the customer  
for approval.

In order to correct these items, the deviation reports 2873 and 3959 have been  
classified as category 1.

All deviation reports for this project were approved by the customer as  
described below. For category 2 deviations this approval is now documented  
on the deviation reports.

The above mentioned corrective actions were completed on January 8, 1991.

The explanation why the reports 2873 and 3959 were not classified, is that, at  
the time the deviation occurred (material received several years ago), we  
were in a transition state between the old and new deviation report form,  
where we introduced category 1 or 2 and also the customer approval  
procedure.

In a LFA review meeting with WPPSS on February 13, 1990, ABB Atom  
presented to WPPSS all deviation reports generated during the project.  
Our marketing personnel interpreted the result of the meeting as an  
approval of all our deviation reports. The meeting is documented in the  
report BX 90-11, February 15, 1990.

9102070110 910124  
PDR GA999 EMVASEAB  
999001211 PDR

**ABB Atom**

*IE07*  
*1/0*

Postal address \*  
ABB Atom  
S-721 63 VÄSTERÅS Sweden

Telephone  
+ 46 (0)21 - 10 70 00

Telex  
40629  
atomva s

Telex  
+ 46 (0)21 - 12 43 22  
+ 46 (0)21 - 18 94 71



The cause for failing to document the decision on the deviation report is that marketing personnel for this particular project, WNP-2, was not fully indoctrinated yet that customer approval for category 2 deviation must be documented on the deviation report forms, even if other documentation exists.

All personnel involved in processing deviation reports is now fully aware of the requirement that customer approval of category 2 deviations must be documented on the deviation report forms, so no further actions to prevent recurrence are deemed necessary.

Sincerely,

ABB Atom AB  
Fuel Division  
Quality Assurance

A handwritten signature in cursive script, which appears to read 'Aart van Santen', is written over the typed name.

Aart van Santen