

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

November 9, 1990

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

> Linda L. Bauman FOIA Coordinator Robinson, Robinson, Peterson, Berk, Rudolph, Cross & Garde 103 East College Avenue Appleton, Wisconsin 54911

#### Re: FOIA Appeal 90-A-35C

Dear Ms. Bauman:

This letter responds to your August 1, 1990 appeal of this Agency's denial of the documents identified in Appendices H (Documents Partially Withheld) and I (Documents Being Withheld In Their Entirety) of our July 20, 1990 response to FOIA 89-540.

In response to your appeal, the documents listed in Appendices H and I were reviewed again. On the basis of this review, it has been determined that the documents or portions of documents that were identified as withheld in these appendices were properly withheld pursuant to Exemption 5 of the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(5). In addition, we have determined that the documents listed in Appendix H are also protected by Exemption 6 of the FOIA, 5 U.S.C. § 552(b)(6).

An important purpose behind Exemption 5 is to protect the integrity of the federal agency's decision-making processes. The documents listed in Appendix I consist of or relate directly to drafts of a proposed settlement agreement. They are part of the Commission's predecisional, deliberative processes. Disclosure of these documents would be likely to stifle honest and frank communication within the agency.

Exemption 5 also protects the adversary trial process by insulating the federal attorney's preparation for litigation from scrutiny. The documents listed in Appendix H were prepared in contemplation of administrative or judicial litigation, or relate directly to such documents. The withheld portion of these documents are, therefore, protected by Exemption 5.

The withheld portions of the documents listed in Appendix H are also protected by Exemption 6 of the FOIA. Exemption 6 protects privacy interests of individuals by authorizing the agency to withhold documents the release of which wild constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Under this exemption, the narm to personal privacy is weighed against the benefit to the public that would result from disclosure. In this instance, the documents contain information that would result in distress and embarrassment to individuals who are named in the documents, and you have not

9102050256 901109 PDR FOIA BAUMAN90-A-35 PDR Linda L. Bauman

established that the public interest in disclosure of such information is stronger than the threat to their privacy.

This letter represents final Agency action on your August 1, 1990 appeal. Judicial review of the denial of this information is available in Federal District Court in the district in which you reside or have your principal place of business, or in the District of Columbia.

Sincerely, Dawy Samuel J. Chilk Secretary of the Commission

Robinson, Peterson, Berk, Rudolph, Cross & Garde

Mary Lou Robinson NGA Jean Robinson John C. Peterson Avram D. Berk Michael Rudolph Den Cross Effic Pirmer Gards

. . . . .

Attorneys at Law tos East College Avenue Appleton, Wisconsin 54811 (414) 781-1817 Green Bay 404-0000 Fax 180-000

December 15, 1989

SENT BY PACSINILE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST

Donald Grimsley, Director, Division of Rules and Records Office of Administration and Resources Management U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 EREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT REQUEST

FOIA - 89= 54 Rec'd 12-15-89

Freedom of Information Officer Nuclear Regulatory Commission 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 1000 Arlington, TX 76012

TO WHOM IT MAY CONCURNI

Pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), 5 U.S.C. 552, as amended, I request copies of any and all transcripts, testimony, agency records and information, including but not limited to notes, letters mesoranda, drafts, minutes, diaries, logs, calenders, tapen, summaries, interview reports, procedures, instructions, allegation summary sheets, interim and/or final reports, status reports, computer disks, and any and all other records relevant to and/or generated in connection with:

THE JIM KELLY ARBITRATION HEARING CONDUCTED DURING THE WEEK OF DECEMBER 11 - 15, 1989, IN REGION IV HEADQUARTERS. THIS REQUEST INCLUDES ANY AND ALL DEPOSITIONS AND TRANSCRIPTS OF TESTIMONY CONDUCTED WITH RESPECT TO THIS MATTER, AND ANY AND ALL INVESTIGATIONS, MEMORANDUMS, REPORTS, NOTES OF DISCUSSIONS, MINUTES OF MEETINGS, AND ANY AND ALL OTHER WRITTEN MATERIAL WITH RESPECT TO SAME.

The information and records subject to this request will be used to inform and educate the public and concerned citizens about the agency's activities regarding the NRC's operation and conduct of business in regards to their employees.

This request includes all agency records as defined in 10 C.P.R. 9.3 (a) (b) and the NRC Manual, Appendix 0211, Parts 1.A.2 and A.3 (approved October 8, 1980) whether they currently exist in the NRC official "working" investigative or other files, or at

any other location, including private residences.

If any records, as defined in 10 C.F.R. 9.3 (a) (b), and the NRC Manual, supra., and covered by this request have been destroyed, and/or removed, after this request, please provide all surrounding records, including but not limited to a list of all records which have been or are destroyed and/or removed, a description of the actions(s) taken relevant to, generated in connection implementing the action(s).

We are requesting this information as part of an ongoing monitoring project of the NRC's efforts to protect public health and safety at and near nuclear power plants.

We request that fees be waived, because "finding the information can be considered as primarily benefitting the general public" 5 U.S.C. 552 (a) (4) (a). I work regularly with public interest non-profit, non-partisan organizations concerned with honest and open government, and especially in assuring that the truth is made public about the nuclear plants across the country, and the conduct of the NRC management in this regard.

For any documents or portions that you deny due to a specific FOIA exemption, please provide an index itemizing and describing the documents or portions of documents withheld. The index should provide a detailed justification of your grounds for claiming each exemption, explaining why each exemption is relevant to the document or portion of the document withheld. This index is required under Vaughn v Rosen (I), 484 F2d 820 (D.C. Cir. 1973), cert. denied, 415 U.S. 977 (1974).

We anticipate a complete or partial response to this request within ten working days, as required by the FOIA.

Besu Pin Gade Billie Pirner Garde

BPG/11b



# RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) REQUEST

1-UIA - 89-540

	RE SPONSE	t	179	I	
FINAL		X	X	PARTIAL	300
DATE					

JUL 2 0 1990

····*	DOCKET NUMBERIOL IN AUGGEBEE		
JESTER			
arde, Billie Pirner			
PART I AGENCY RECORDS RELEASED OR NOT LOC	ATED (See checked boxes)		
No agency records subject to the request have been located.			
No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.			
Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments Sec	tion.		
Agency records subject to the request that are identified on Appendixles) Eare already available for public inspection and copying in NRC Public Document Room 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20555			
Agency records subject to the request that are identified on Appendixies) F, G, H and J are being made available for public inspection and copying NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC, in a folder under this FOIA number and requester name.			
The nonproprietary version of the proposal(s) that you agreed to accept in a telephone conversat inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, D	C, in a folder under this FOLA number and requester name.		
Agency records subject to the request that are identified on Appendixles1may be in in the Comments Section.			
Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records p Washington, DC.	Naced in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W.		
Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.			
Records subject to the request have been referred to another Federal agency(les) for review and	direct response to you.		
You will be billed by the NRC for fees totaling #			
In view of NRC's response to this request, no further action is being taken on appeal letter date	d No		
PART & A -INFORMA TON WITHHELD FRO	OM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE		
Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from public. flisclosure pursuant to sections B, C, and D. Any released portions of the documents for which only part of the record copyring in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC in a folder us	is being withhaid are being made available for public inspection and		
MMENTS			
	nde, Billie Pirner   PART I AGENCY RECORDS RELEASED OR NOT LOC.   No egency records subject to the request have been located.   No edditional agency records subject to the request have been located.   Requested records are available through enother public distribution program. See Comments Sections Rubic Document Room 2120 L Street. N.W., Washington, DC 20555.   Agency records subject to the request that are identified on Appendixies) E   NRC Public Document Room 2120 L Street. N.W., Washington, DC 20555.   Appency records subject to the request that are identified on Appendixies) F. G., H. and   NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC, in a fielder under this FOIA   The nonproprietary version of the proposalisi that you agreed to accept in a telephone conversative   Inspection and copring at the NRC Public Document Room 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC   Agency records subject to the request that are identified on Appendixies1 may be in   In the Comments Section. Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records p   Washington, DC. Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.   Records subject to the request have been referred to another Federal agencylies) for review and the view of NRC's response to thic request, no further action is being taken on appeal letter date PART 8. A -INFORMA TON WITHHELD FRICARTING which disclosure pursuant to sections B. C. and D. Any released portions of the d		

NRC FORM 464 (Part II II 188)

Nonie

SIGNATURE, DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PUBLICATIONS SERVICES

1.4

9007240058

17	-		PART I B - APPLICABLE EXEM	the second s			
RE	ecol xemi	ds, subject to the reques ptions and for the reason	t that are described on the enclosed Appendixles $6 + \frac{H}{100}$ hs set forth below pursuant to $5 \cup S = 552$ (b) and 10	CFR 9 17(a) of NRC Regulation	ir entirety or ir \$.	) part	under
	1.1	The withheld information is	property classified pursuant to Executive Order (EXEMPTION 1)				
	2.	The withheld information rel	ates solely to the internal personnel rules and procedures of NR	C. (EXEMPTION 2)			
	3.1	The withheld information is	specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicate	d (EXEMPTION 3)			
		Sections 141 145 of the	Atomic Energy Act which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted	Data or Formerly Restricted Data 14	2 USC 2161 2	165)	
		Section 147 of the Atom	ic Energy Act which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Sat	leguards Information (42 U S C 216	7)		
	4.1	I The withhield information is	a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being	ng withheld for the reason(s) indicat	ed (EXEMPTION	4)	
		The information is consid	ered to be confidential business (proprietary) information				
		The information is consid	ered to be proprietary information pursuant to 10 CFR 2-790id)	(1),			
		The information was sub-	nitted and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2 790(d)	(2)			
X	5. 1	The withheld information cons	ists of interagency or intraagoncy records that are not available through	ugh discovery during litigation IEXEMP	TION 51 Applicab	e Privi	lege
-	x	Deliberative Process Discl Where records are withheld	osure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the ope in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the p se of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predeci	n and frank exchange of ideas esser redecisional information. There also a	tial to the delibe	rative	Drocest
	X	the second s	vilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of	the second se			
		Attorney-client privilege	(Confidential communications between an attorney and his her	client 1		-	
(	6. 1	the withheld information is ex	empted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in	a clearly unwarranted invasion of persi	onal privacy (EXE)	MPTIO	N 6
(	7.7	he withheld information co	nsists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is	being withheld for the reason(s) ind	cated. (EXEMPT	ON 7)	
		Disclosure could reasonab forcement efforts and thur EXEMPTION 2 (A)1	ly be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding bec- s could possibly allow them to take action to shield potential wrong	ause it could reveal the scope, direct doing or a violation of NRC requiremen	ion, and focus of ts from investigat	en ors	
	X	X Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy (EXEMPTION 7(C))					
		The information consists confidential sources (EXE	of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of v MPTION 7 (DI)	which could reasons _ be expected	to reveal identitie	s of	
	OTH	ER					
			PART . C - DENYING OFFIC	IALS			
110	in pr	aduction or disclosure, and t s deriving officials and the D	9.25 (c) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulation hat its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest irrector. Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Serv e Executive Director for Operations (EDO).	The persons responsible for the denii	al are those offici	als ide	ontraed.
0.e	(16815	DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS DENIED	APPELLA	TE OF	FICIAL
		a para da mangana da ma		and the second design of the second se	SECRETARY	Eg	EDIO
LE	20	J. Norton	Assistant Inspector General for	Portions-App. G		A	-
	-		for Investigations, Office of			+	
		and the product of the state of the state	Inspector General				
Joseph Scinto		n Scinto	Deputy General Counsel for	Appendices H andI	X		
1			Hearings and Enforcement,				
			Office of the General Counsel				
The	ma	s T. Martin	Regional Administrator, Region I	Appendices J and K		11	χ
			PART I. D-APPEAL RIGHT	5	anni a siana in anian mina		

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is an Appeal from an Initial FOIA Decement. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is an Appeal from an Initial FOIA Decement. TO THE INSPECTOR, CHERNEL U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSI

NRC FORM 464 (Part 2) (11-88)

FOIA RESPONSE CONTINUATION

Re: FOIA-89-540 (3rd Partial)

#### APPENDIX E

## DOCUMENTS MAINTAINED AMONG PDR FILES

NUMBER	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1.	4/5/85	Letter from Martin to Parker, HL&P. PDR #8504090278 850405 (2 pages)
2.	5/30/85	Letter from Johnson to Goldberg, HL&P. PDR # 8506030384 850530 (3 pages)

•

Re: FOIA-89-540 (3rd Partial)

# RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE POR UNDER THE ABOVE REQUEST NUMBER

1.	Various	Chronology. (1 page)
2.	5/21/85	NRC Inspection Report, re: Dockets 50-498 and 50-499. (6 pages)
3.	1/4/88	Memorandum for James G. Partlow from Richard L. Bangart, re: Referral of Technical Issues Provided to OIA During the South Texas Project Investigation, with enclosure. (15 pages)
4.	8/10/88	Memorandum for Sharon Connelly from Robert D. Martin, re: Memorandum from James A. F. Kelly. (1 page)
5.	11/2/88	Memorandum for Sharon R. Connelly from Robert D. Martin, re: OIA Investigation - South Texas Physical Security Program. (1 page)
6.	11/14/88	Report of Interview, re: Charles Laurence Kern. (1 page)
7.	11/14/88	Report of Interview, re: Larry George. (1 page)
8.	11/15/88	Report of Interview, re: David Sheesley. (2 pages)
9.	11/17/88	Memorandum from James Kelly from Mark E. Resner, re: Review of Transcript, with attachment. (37 pages)
10.	11/18/88	EDO Principal Correspondence Control Ticket Cover from Sharon R. Connelly, OIA, to Robert D. Martin, Region IV, re: Investigation of Alleged Improper Actions by NRC Inspectors (South Texas Project). (1 page)
11.	11/26/88	Memorandum for Mark E. Resner from James A. F. Kelly, re: Review of Transcript. (2 pages)
12.	12/1/88	Report of Interview, re: David Moore. (1 page)
13.	12/6/88	Report of Interview, re: David Lamb. (1 page)
14.	12/6/88	Report of Interview, re: Mark McBurnett. (2 pages)
15.	12/21/88	Memorandum for Robert D. Martin from Sharon R. Connelly, re: Investigation of Alleged Improper Actions by NRC Inspectors. (1 page)

-

# Re: FOIA-89-540 (3rd Partial)

# RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE PDR UNDER THE ABOVE REQUEST NUMBER (Continued)

16. 2/8	Safequar	um for Robert D. Martin from Richard L. Bangart, re: ds Information Classification of Supplemental Interviews ted by Connelly to Martin 12/21/88 Memo, w/o attachment.
17. 3/8		um for Bill Brown from Donald D. Driskill, re: STP ation. (2 pages)
18. 4/1	0/89 Letter t	b Walter Dressler from William L. Brown. (1 page)
19. 4/1	4/14/89	n Martin to Dambly and Cradock, (1 page), transmitting ltr from Dressler. (NOTE: Dressler letter addressed artial response.)
20. 4/1	Suppleme	o Robert D. Martin from Walter E. Dressler, re: ntal Information Request and Caldwell and Kelly Adverse (2 pages)
21. 4/2	Suppleme	o Robert D. Martin from Walter E. Dressler, re: ntal Information Request and Caldwell and Kelly Action. (2 pages)
22. 5/1	1/89 Oral Rep	ly of Mr. Kelly by Mr. Dressler. (24 pages)
23. 5/1	Proposed	o Robert D. Martin from Walter E. Dressler, re: Discipline, regarding James Kelly, Senior Inspector, achment. (13 pages)
24. 5/1	.6/89 Letter t enclosur	o Walter Dressler from William L. Brown, with e. (2 pages)
25. 7/1	Concilia	o Office of Arbitration, Federal Mediation and tion Service from Walter E. Dressler, re: Request of Arbitrators. (1 page)
26. 8/7		m Taylor to Malsch with attachment: Memo dated 8/1/89 tin to Taylor. (2 pages)
27. 8/3		o Don B. Hays from James E. Cradock, re: atter of James Kelly, NRC Adverse Action #AA 61.

FOIA-89-540

#### APPENDIX F

# RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE PDR UNDER THE ABOVE REQUEST NUMBER

28.	 Trips For Selected Employees, Destinations, Dates (1 page)
29.	 Trips to Bay City - 1987 (2 pages)
30.	 Telecon's related to STP (June - September 1987) (1 page)

a

# APPENDIX G DOCUMENTS PARTIALLY WITHHELD

NUMBER	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1.	11/16/88	Memorandum re Review of Transcript. (1 page) - Release - with following attachment: a. 11/10/88 Investigative Interview of an individual - (15 pages) Portion deleted - Exemption 6
2.	11/17/88	Memorandum re Review of Transcript. (1 page) - Release - with following attachment: a. 11/9/88 Investigative Interview of an individual - (29 pages) Portion deleted - Exemption 6
з.	11/17/88	Memorandum re Review of Transcript. (1 page) - Release - with following attachment: a. 11/9/88 Investigative Interview of an individual - (92 pages) Portion deleted - Exemption 6

0

a

1.51.55

### APPENDIX H DOCUMENTS PARTIALLY WITHHELD

DATE	DESCRIPTION
3/22/89	Facsimile Transmittel Sheet with notation (1 page) - Exemption 5 thheld in entirety - Attachmen 3: a. List of Interviewees with NRC Attorney 2/16/89 (1 page) - withheld in entirety - Exemption 5 b. Interviews with HL&P Personnel 2/16/89 (17 pages) Portions wittheld - Exemption 5
	(note: pages 1 hrough 5 and pages 7 through 17 withheld in entirety. Portions of page 6 released).

# APPENDIX I DOCUMENTS BEING WITHHELD IN THEIR ENTLETY

# EXEMPTION 5

NUMBER	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1.	Draft	Cradock to Dresslar letter, re: Adverse Action of James A. F. Kelly. (1 page)
2.	Draft	Cradock to Martin (12/18/89) transmittal (1 page) of draft Settlement Agreement (3 pages)
э.	Dreft	Cradock to Martin (12/19/89) transmittal (1 page) of draft Settlement Agreement (3 pages)
4.	Draft	Cradock to Martin (12/19/89) transmittal (1 page) of Proposed Settlement Agreement (3

-

# APPENDIX J DOCUMENTS PARTIALLY WITHHELD

NUKBER	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1.	10/19/88	Nemorandum re: Observations Concerning the OIA Memo dated September 26, 1988, Transmitting Their South Texas Report. (4 pages) Portions deleted - Exemptions 6 and 70
2.	12/8/89	Handwritten note with a Public Affeirs release and an organizational chart. (3 pages) - Portions deleted - Exemption 5
з.	Undated	Handwritten notations on the bottom half of typed note which is Jated 11/23/88. Deleted - Exemption 5. Note the 11/23/88 typed upper portion of this record has slready been addressed in the previous response dated 4/16/90.

#### APPENDIX E DOCUMENTS BEING WITHHELD IN THEIR ENTIRETY

#### EXEMPTION 5

- 1. Handwritten note to Bob from Bill (2 pages) with 23-page attachment (Legal opinions given during deliberative process)
- Handwritten note to Bob from Bill (2 pages) with note at top stating the note was "Privileged-Lawyer/Client Information." (Legal opinions given during deliberative process)
- Handwritten note to Bob from Bill dated 1/24/89. (Legal opinions given during deliberative process) (2 pages)
- Handwritten analysis (4 pages) with note at top stating "Privileged iswyer-Client Information 1-27-89." (Legal opinion given during deliberative process)
- 5. Five-page typewritten note entitled: "Charge Conclusion Characterization" (Predecisional, deliberative process)
- Handwritten note to Bob from Bill (1 page) dated 11/28/89. (Legal analysis given during deliberative process)
- Draft typewritten note to Taylor from Martin; subject: Proposed OIA Actions, with 2 attached typewritten draft memorande, with 4-line handwritten note. (Drafts, predecisional) (5 pages)
- 8. Draft Questions for Bob Martin (15 pages). (Record covered by Lawyer-Client privilege)
- 9. Typewritten 7-page note with handwritten notation: "This may be helpful in answering questions." (Record covered by Lawyer-Client privilege)
- 10. Handwritten note (6 lines). (Precectsional, deliberative process) (1 page)
- 11. Handwritten note, 30b M. to Sharon Connelly: OIA Report Things Needed, dated 11/9/88. (Predecisional, Cyliberative process) (1 page)
- 12. Proposed Settlement Agr\_ement (2 pages). (Predecisional, deliberative process)

### APPENDIX K DOCUMENTS BEING WITHHELD IN THEIR ENTIRETY

#### EXEMPTION 5

- Suggested provision in Settlement Agreement (1 page). (Predecisional, deliberative process
- Proposed Settlement Agreement (2 pages) with handwritten note to Bill at top. (Predecisional, deliberative process)
- Draft Settlement Agreement (2 pages) with numerous handwritten changes. (Predecisional, deliberative process)
- Draft Counter-Offer (2 pages) with handwritten changes on 1st page. (Predecisional, deliberative process)
- 17. Handwritten notes (1 page). (Predecisional, deliberative process)
- Draft Settlement Agreement (2 pages, 1 of which is legal size). (Predecisional, deliberative process)

1.54