Robinson, Robinson, Peterson, Berk, Rudolph, Cross & Garde

Mary Lou Robinson Nils Jean Robinson John C. Peterson Avram D. Berk Michael Rudolph Dan Cross Billie Pirner Garde Attorneys at Law 108 East College Avenue Appleton, Wisconsin 54911 (414) 781-1817 Green Bay 494-9500 Fax 780-8841

September 25, 1990

Donnie Grimsley US Nuclear Regulatory Commission Office of Administration Washington, D.C. 20555

RE: Appeal of FOIA 90-356

Dear Mr. Grimsley,

Attached to this letter is an appeal of the effective denial of FOIA 90-356, that I have filed because I do not believe I have everything responsive to my request.

Recently the Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit 1, located approximately forty miles from my home, had a relatively significant unusual event. Based on my concerns of the significance of the event, and the implications of the event on the condition of the planet, as well as the similarity of the reported problems to the recent series of incidents at Comanche Peak, I requested information through FOIA.

I also agree in good faith to pay for the search time, fees of \$74.64.

However, I expected to receive the documents obviously responsive to the search request. Instead I was sent four (4) documents that are, at best, incomplete. Please review these documents and the search that was performed and explain to me the answers to the following observations:

1) (A-4). I was not even given the complete inspection report containing the findings of the incident;

2) (A-3) although the Region III's morning report for July 30, 1990, states that "the Resident staff provided extensive onsite coverage during the leak

9102050202 900925 PDR FDIA BAUMAN90-A-39 PDR 1

repair activities and during startup," no evidence of such oversight is apparent since no documents were identified;

3) (A-4) reports, on page 8, that the monitored activities "included shutdown, cooldown, draindown, various maintenance work..., the pressure test of the RCS, and the subsequent reactor startup." No notes or reports of any of these activities were provided;

4) I assume that the licensee gave some sort of presentation to the NRC on this incident, and on the followup reviews of the videotapes and the generic implications for the various contributing factors. Nothing was identified or provided to me, and thus I assume the licensee has not yet briefed the agency although its been almost three months since the exit.

Please contact me as soon as you have some information.

Thank you, the 1 CANON Con ide Billie Pirner Garde

Linda L. Bauman FOIA Coordinator

Encl a/s BPG/eh ptbch.1