

NOV 8 1982

Docket No. 50-373

Docket No. 50-374

Commonwealth Edison Company

ATTN: Mr. Cordell Reed

Vice President

Post Office Box 767

Chicago, IL 60690

Gentlemen:

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by Messrs. J. Hopkins, R. Lanksbury, F. Maura and D. Robinson of this office on September 10, 18, October 2, 5-8 and 18, 1982, of activities at the LaSalle County Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, authorized by NRC Operating License No. NPF-11 and NRC Construction Permit No. CPPR-100 and to the discussion of our findings with Messrs. R. Bishop on October 3, 1982, and T. Quaka on October 18, 1982.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

During this inspection, certain of your activities appeared to be in non-compliance with NRC requirements, as specified in the enclosed Appendix. A written response is required.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter, the enclosures, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC's Public Document Room. If this report contains any information that you (or your contractors) believe to be exempt from disclosure under 10 CFR 9.5(a)(4), it is necessary that you (a) notify this office by telephone within ten (10) days from the date of this letter of your intention to file a request for withholding; and (b) submit within twenty-five (25) days from the date of this letter a written application to this office to withhold such information. If your receipt of this letter has been delayed such that less than seven (7) days are available for your review, please notify this office promptly so that a new due date may be established. Consistent with Section 2.790(b)(1), any such application must

8211230371 821108
PDR ADOCK 05000373
G PDR

1 E01

NOV 8 1982

be accompanied by an affidavit executed by the owner of the information which identifies the document or part sought to be withheld, and which contains a full statement of the reasons which are the bases for the claim that the information should be withheld from public disclosure. This section further requires the statement to address with specificity the considerations listed in 10 CFR 2.790(b)(4). The information sought to be withheld shall be incorporated as far as possible into a separate part of the affidavit. If we do not hear from you in this regard within the specified periods noted above, a copy of this letter, the enclosures, and your response to this letter will be placed in the Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter (and the accompanying Notice) are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-311.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,



W. S. Little, Chief
Engineering Programs Branch


Enclosures:

1. Appendix, Notice of Violation
2. Inspection Reports
No. 50-373/82-46(DETP)
and No. 50-374/82-19(DETP)

cc w/encls:


Louis O. DelGeorge, Director
of Nuclear Licensing
D. L. Shamblin, Site
Construction Superintendent
T. E. Quaka, Quality
Assurance Superintendent
G. J. Diederich, Station
Superintendent
R. H. Holyoak, Project Manager
DMB/Document Control Desk (RIDS)
Resident Inspector, RIII
Karen Borgstadt, Office of
Assistant Attorney General

RIII



Maura/sv

11/1/82


RIII


Lanksbury


RIII


Jackiw

RIII


Walker

RIII


Little