



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

Release


MAY 24 1990

MEMORANDUM FOR: Elois Wiggins, Contracting Officer
Division of Contracts and
Property Management
Office of Administration

FROM: Pamela G. Kruzic, Director
Division of Computer and Telecommunications
Services
Office of Information Resources Management

SUBJECT: FINAL EVALUATION RECOMMENDATION REPORT FOR
PROPOSALS SUBMITTED UNDER SOLICITATION NO.
RFP 90-206, "PURCHASE OF VOICE MAIL SYSTEM"

The Source Evaluation Panel (SEP) has completed its evaluation of the Best and Final cost and technical proposals received in response to RFP No. RS-IRM-90-206, and has developed the attached recommendations as a result of that evaluation. I have reviewed and concur with the recommendations provided in the report, and request the Division of Contracts proceed with contract award.


Pamela G. Kruzic, Director
Division of Computer and
Telecommunications
Office of Information Resource
Management

Attachments:
As stated

Information in this record was deleted
in accordance with the Freedom of Information
Act, exemptions 445
FOIA- 90-312

9102210296 910130
PDR FOIA
SMAGALA90-312 PDR

B/4

Release

FORWARD

THIS REPORT COVERS THE EVALUATION BY THE
SOURCE EVALUATION PANEL

PURCHASE OF VOICE MAIL SYSTEM

RS-IRM-90-206

Distribution is limited to those strictly on a need to know basis and this material must be treated as "OFFICIAL USE ONLY". If transmitted by mail, the report must be sealed in an envelop as follows:

"TO BE OPENED BY ADDRESSEE ONLY,
CONTAINS SEP REPORT"

This report submitted by:

Brian D. Brownell
SEP Chairman

Brian D. Brownell

Date *5/11/90*

Michael MacWilliams
Michael MacWilliams
SEP Member

5/23/90
Date

Ben Randall
Ben Randall
SEP Member

Date *5-23-90*

FINAL EVALUATION REPORT OF THE SOURCE EVALUATION PANEL FOR THE SELECTION OF
THE NEW VOICE MAIL SYSTEM
FOR THE NRC

BACKGROUND

In response to RFP IRM 90-206 (formerly IRM 89-148) the NRC received proposals from the following seven companies:

Rolm, Inc
Wang Laboratories Inc.
Bell South
Bell Atlantic
Tel Plus
Microlog, Inc
Voice Systems & Services

The proposal submitted by [REDACTED] was found to be unacceptable. [REDACTED] (b)(4)

None of the remaining six proposals was acceptable as written but the panel felt that each could be made acceptable without a major rewrite of the proposals. Discussions were held with each of the six. Questions of a general nature were asked as well as questions specific to each particular bidder. Each bidder was made aware of our concerns during face to face discussions and in follow-up letters requesting best and final offers. (b)(4)

An operational capability demonstration was performed with each offerors system by dial-up testing of features.

Best and Final technical and cost proposals were submitted by the following companies:

Wang Laboratories Inc
Bell South
Bell Atlantic
Microlog, Inc
Voice Systems & Services


[REDACTED] (b)(5)
After receipt of Best and Final offers, enough questions remained that the panel decided it was proper to re-open discussions and seek another round of Best and Final offers. Discussions were held via telephone since the questions for each bidder were few and straight forward in nature. Letters were sent to each of the five remaining bidders confirming the questions we had for each that we wanted answered in the second round of best and final offers.

FINAL SUMMARY

The second round of best and final offers submitted by each of the five offerors were all found to be technically acceptable by the Source Evaluation Panel (SEP).


The Best and Final life cycle cost (Purchase Plans) for each of the five technically acceptable proposals are as follows:

Wang Laboratories Inc
Bell South
Bell Atlantic
Microlog
Voice Systems & Services



(b)(4)

CONTRACTOR SELECTION



(b)(4)