J. Paige Clousson and Associates TTORNEYS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

Suite 707, 39 South La Salle Street Chicago. Illinois 60603 312-372-3320 FAX: 312-419-8735

February 1, 1991

FAX TRANSMITTAL

PRIORITY ROUTING acond.

FEB 4 101

Mr. John A. Grobe Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Re: St. Mary's Medical Center, Hobart - Gary

Dear Mr. Grobe:

----

. .

I have not received a response to my letter to you dated January 25, 1991, copy attached.

Dr. Sarma, by and through his counsel, have not been informed of the details of the continuing audit. We do not know from any official source of the time for this review, although that the date we discussed should be coordinated with Dr. Sarma. We have no response to my request that the auditors be physically present and, if that request is rejected, whether all the independent auditors are to be immediately accessible by telephone.

I will appreciate hearing from you either this afternoon or Monday morning.

I am also concerned about your action on the audit at Porter Memorial Kospital in Valparaiso. Although that audit was also conducted independently and no misadministrations were found, we have not heard anything more from you about clearing that program.

Very truly yours Faide Clousson

JPC/tc Enclosure

cc. A. Bert Davis Steven Pratt, Esq. Koppolu P. Sarma, M.D.

9102210050 910212 REG3 LIC30 13-03459-02 PDR

J. Paige Clusson and Associates YS AND COUNSELORS AT LAW

Suite 707, 39 South La Salle Street Chicago, Illinois 60603 312-372-3320 FAX: 312-419-8735

January 25, 1991

Mr. John A. Grobe Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, IL 60137

Re: St. Mary's Medical Center, Hobart - Gary

Dear Mr. Grobe:

This will follow up on yesterday's telephone conversation concerning your continuing audit of the above facility.

My review of your audit plan and the April Order in this matter does not reveal any guidelines for a continuing audit. Therefore, I suggest the following.

Since you propose a review of the independent decisions made by the auditors, those auditors should be present to state their rationale. No one else, including Dr. Sarma or Torabi, can speak for the auditors. Also, since the Diagnostic Outpatient Center's physicists, Al Herza, Jerry Soen and Kevin Grady, were directly involved in the patient treatment and contributed to the audit, they also are essential contributors to your continuing audit. As the independent auditors indicated, any review should be complete, not partial.

The proposed reconsideration of the auditors' decisions will occupy an extended time period. Auditors may be asked over the telephone to respond to specific questions which may be based upon records available at the Hospital but not necessarily with the auditors themselves. This is the problem which occured before. Telephone interviews are likely to be ineffective for such complex discussion about professional substance. Therefore, it is probable that lay reviewers will require subsequent discussion with the auditors in person, which will cause greater delay.

This delay in availability to the public of radiation treatment services at the licensed locations is working now to the detriment of patients and will do so even more as time goes on. Patients are logistically and clinically disadvantaged by needing Mr. John A. Grobe January 25, 1991 Page 2

, 1

· · . . .

to obtain radiation treatment from two separate facilities and by two separate sets of persons applying that treatment. We do not want patients to be further disadvantaged by this delay, as I'm sure you agree.

I have checked Dr. Sarma's schedule and find that he will be available on February 8, 1991.

Very truly yours,

J. Paige Clousson

JPC/to

cc: Steven Pratt, Esq.