Omaha Public Power District 444 South 16th Street Mall Omaha, Nebraska 68102-2247 402/636-2000

February 13, 1991 LIC-91-069R

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Mail Station P1-137 Washington, DC 20555

References:

Docket No. 50-285

Gentlemen:

SUBJECT:

Report of Unsatisfactory Performance Testing

Pursuant to 10 CFR 26, Appendix A, Subpart B, Section 2.8(e)(4), please find attached a report of an unsatisfactory enformance testing incident. The incident was reported to Omaha Public Fower District (OPPD) on January 17, 1991, by the OPPD Medical Review Officer with respect to testing which was conducted on December 13, 1990.

If you should have any questions, please contact me.

Sincerely,

M. D. Later

W. G. Gates Division Manager Nuclear Operations

WGG/sel

Attachment

c: LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae R. D. Martin, NRC Regional Administrator, Region IV W. C. Walker, NRC Project Manager

9102200284 910213 PDR ADOCK 05000285 PHYSICIANS CLINIC \*\*

January 18, 1991

Mr. Robert Guy Manager-Labor Relations Omaha Public Power District 444 South 16 Street Mall Omaha, Nebraska 68102-2247

Re: Drug Screening Sample #SCM092460

Dear Mr. Guy:

This report is a follow-up to our conversation of January 17 regarding the drug screen on #SCM092460. As I indicated by telephone, the problem with the initial drug screen was addressed and I feel confident it has been corrected.

Drug screen #SCM092460 underwent a random drug screen on December 13, 1990. On the 17th of December we received a report of amphetamines in significant quantities of 645 ng./ml. Because we had not previously received any previous reports of positive amphetamines and because the donor was taking an Ephedrine-like compound, I requested a recheck of the urine and second confirmation by CompuChem.

On December 20 of 1990 a report was received from CompuChem indicating again not only the presence of amphetamines in a concentration of 682 ng./ml., but also methamphetamine in a concentration of 1264 mg./ml. The methamphetamine had not been reported on the initial drug screen.

The drug screen was reviewed with the donor on the 20th of December by Dr. Dean Wampler. He was pronounced not fit for duty on that date.

Because of the failure to report methamphetamine on the initial report, we have requested further information from Compuchem regarding this discrepancy. I am enclosing a copy of a letter from Dr. Michael Peat regarding this testing and after receiving this letter a phone call was placed to Dr. Peat on the 16th of January. Dr. Peat apparently was out of town on that date but on the 17th of January I received a phone call from one of the laboratory personnel regarding the problems that led up to this discrepancy. Essentially his verbal report confirmed the written report extended by Dr. Peat.

Allergy & Immunology Cardiology Dermatology Fars, Nose & Throat Gastroenterology Hematology-Oncology Medical Psychology Obstetrics & Gynecology Occupational Medicine Ophthalmology Orthopedic Surgary Pediatrics Physical Therapy & Hand Surgery Surgery General Urology

10060 Regency Circle Omaha, NE 68114 402/397-4000

5908 S. 142nd Street Millard, NE 68137 402/895-4490

14625 California Omaha, NE 68154 402/496-0610 Mr. Robert Guy Manager+Labor Relations Omaha Public Power District Page 2

I feel confident that CompuChem has addressed this problem appropriately. I also feel that, at no time was the Fitness-for-Duty program jeopardized in any way by this report since amphetamines were found in the urine and the employee was removed from duty in an appropriate and timely manner. The problem remains, however, that there was an error in reporting a drug screen which in essence is a partial false-negative report. Again, in no way did this jeopardize the Fitness-for-Duty program, but I felt that follow-up and report of this incident should be carried out.

Sincerely,

Ronald W. Olnhausen, M.D.

(That wo Mucround)

Medical Director Physicians Clinic (402) 390-1352

RWO/vmt

Enclosure



January 10, 1991

To: Dr. Olnhausen

Physicians Clinic 10060 Regency Circle

Omake NE 68114 12-11-90

RE: SCM092460, CCNS32081416 and 30525828

Dear Dr. Olnhausen:

The above referenced sample was received on December 13, 1990 and reported to you on December 17, 1990 as positive amphetamine. On December 18, 1990 you requested a retest for amphetamines by GCMS, the results of this test were reported to you as positive methamphetamine and amphetamine.

The reason for the discrepancy was a failure by our data review staff to interpret the GC-MS chromatographic traces correctly. The tracing for methamphetamine from the original test is attached to this letter. The three items of interest are the squares labelled in the bottom left corner 255, 210 and 254 as these represent methamphetamine. Each of these squares contain two peaks, one large one at 4.74/4.75 and one smaller one at 4.61 which is methamphetamine. The software integration failed to detect this smaller peak and therefore reported the result as negative. However the data reviewer should have caught this error and requested that the GC-MS data be reprocessed. For your interest the peak at 4.75 represents the active constituent of Primatene.

To avoid a reoccurrence of this error GC-MS operators and data reviewers have been counseled and have been instructed to ensure that such peaks are reprocessed. We are also in the process of changing our software to ensure that it selects the correct peak rather than the largest peak.

I trust that this explanation is satisfactory but if further information is required please call me at 919-248-6810.

Yours sincerely,

4 211

Michael A. Peat Ph.D.

Vice President of Toxicology

cc: Ms. Kathleen Flaherty

## CompuChem Laboratories Forensic Drug Testing MethAmphetamine Confirmation by GC/MSD

Sample Name CataFile	500	>11DT6::UX		ALS Bottle		9 7 5
Time of Injecti Retention Time Retention Time Misc. info	= 034	4.59 D5-MAP 4.74 MAP 251 T#27 P=*A	OL AMP ST=AMI	JT> +/-2% = OP#975	14 Dec	- 4.70
MAP D5-MAP		254 = 7944330 258 = 158905		132664 16276		= 2261291 = 48295
MAP	=>			JT> +/- 20% JT> +/- 20%		7 - 0.56 9 - 0.14
D5-MAP	=>	120/258 = 0	0.10	+/- 20%	= 0.0	8 - 0.12 4 - 0.35
MAP / D5-MAP =>	2	54/258 = 49.9	9 ***OUA	T RATIO		

MAP / D5-MAP => 254/258 = 49.99 \*\*\*QUANT RATIO

Drug Not Identified => Concentration = Time Stamp of Last Calibration = <901214.0201>

0.00 ng/ml



