

MAR 0 6 2020

Docket Nos.: 52-026

Michael J. Yox Regulatory Affairs Director Vogtle 3 & 4 7825 River Road Waynesboro, GA 30830 706-848-6459 tel

ND-20-0246 10 CFR 52.99(c)(1)

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555-0001

Southern Nuclear Operating Company Vogtle Electric Generating Plant Unit 4 ITAAC Closure Notification on Completion of ITAAC 2.5.02.11 [Index Number 550]

Ladies and Gentlemen:

In accordance with 10 CFR 52.99(c)(1), the purpose of this letter is to notify the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) of the completion of Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Unit 4 Inspections, Tests, Analyses, and Acceptance Criteria (ITAAC) Item 2.5.02.11 [Index Number 550], for verifying the Protection and Safety Monitoring System (PMS) Hardware and Software Design Process.

Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) previously submitted ITAAC Closure Notification on Completion of ITAAC 2.5.02.11 [Index Number 550] ND-19-1437 [ML20034E949], dated January 31, 2020. This resubmittal supersedes ND-19-1437 in its entirety.

The closure process for this ITAAC is based on the guidance described in NEI-08-01, "Industry Guideline for the ITAAC Closure Process under 10 CFR Part 52", which is endorsed by the NRC in Regulatory Guide 1.215.

This letter contains no new NRC regulatory commitments. Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) requests NRC staff confirmation of this determination and publication of the required notice in the Federal Register per 10 CFR 52.99.

If there are any questions, please contact Tom Petrak at 706-848-1575.

Respectfully submitted,

Micha

Michael J. Yox Regulatory Affairs Director Vogtle 3 & 4

Enclosure: Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Unit 4 ITAAC Closure Notification on Completion of 2.5.02.11 [Index Number 550]

MJY/MAC/sfr

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ND-20-0246 Page 2 of 3

To:

Southern Nuclear Operating Company/ Georgia Power Company Mr. Peter P. Sena III (w/o enclosures) Mr. D. L. McKinney (w/o enclosures) Mr. M. D. Meier (w/o enclosures) Mr. D. H. Jones (w/o enclosures) Mr. G. Chick Mr. M. Page Mr. P. Martino Mr. M. J. Yox Mr. A. S. Parton Ms. K. A. Roberts Mr. T. G. Petrak Mr. C. T. Defnall Mr. C. E. Morrow Mr. J. L. Hughes Mr. S. Leighty Ms. A. C. Chamberlain Mr. J. C. Haswell Document Services RTYPE: VND.LI.L06 File AR.01.02.06

CC:

Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Mr. W. Jones (w/o enclosures) Mr. C. P. Patel Mr. G. J. Khouri Ms. S. E. Temple Mr. N. D. Karlovich Mr. A. Lerch Mr. C. J. Even Mr. B. J. Kemker Ms. N. C. Coovert Mr. C. Welch Mr. J. Gaslevic Mr. V. Hall Mr. G. Armstrong Ms. T. Lamb Mr. M. Webb Mr. T. Fredette Mr. C. Weber Mr. S. Smith Mr. C. Santos Mrs. M. Bailey Mr. S. Rose Mr. B. Davis Mr. J. Vasquez Mr. J. Eargle Ms. K. Carrington Mr. P. Heher

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ND-20-0246 Page 3 of 3

Mr. M. King Mr. E. Davidson

Oglethorpe Power Corporation

Mr. R. B. Brinkman Mr. E. Rasmussen

Municipal Electric Authority of Georgia

Mr. J. E. Fuller Mr. S. M. Jackson

Dalton Utilities

Mr. T. Bundros

Westinghouse Electric Company, LLC

Dr. L. Oriani (w/o enclosures) Mr. D. C. Durham (w/o enclosures) Mr. M. M. Corletti Mr. Z. S. Harper Mr. J. L. Coward

<u>Other</u>

Mr. J. E. Hesler, Bechtel Power Corporation
Ms. L. Matis, Tetra Tech NUS, Inc.
Dr. W. R. Jacobs, Jr., Ph.D., GDS Associates, Inc.
Mr. S. Roetger, Georgia Public Service Commission
Ms. S. W. Kernizan, Georgia Public Service Commission
Mr. K. C. Greene, Troutman Sanders
Mr. S. Blanton, Balch Bingham

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ND-20-0246 Enclosure Page 1 of 4

Southern Nuclear Operating Company ND-20-0246 Enclosure

Vogtle Electric Generating Plant (VEGP) Unit 4 ITAAC Closure Notification on Completion of ITAAC 2.5.02.11 [Index Number 550]

-

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ND-20-0246 Enclosure Page 2 of 4

ITAAC Statement

Design Commitment

11. The PMS hardware and software is developed using a planned design process which provides for specific design documentation and reviews during the following life cycle stages:

- a) Not used
- b) System definition phase
- c) Hardware and software development phase, consisting of hardware and software design and implementation
- d) System integration and test phase
- e) Installation phase

Inspections/Tests/Analyses

Inspection will be performed of the process used to design the hardware and software.

Acceptance Criteria

A report exists and concludes that the process defines the organizational responsibilities, activities, and configuration management controls for the following:

- a) Not used.
- b) Specification of functional requirements.
- c) Documentation and review of hardware and software.
- d) Performance of system tests and the documentation of system test results, including a response time test performed under maximum CPU loading to demonstrate that the PMS can fulfill its response time criteria.
- e) Performance of installation tests and inspections.

ITAAC Determination Basis

An inspection was performed to verify that the Protection and Safety Monitoring System (PMS) hardware and software were developed using a planned design process that provided for specific design documentation and reviews during the following life cycle stages: System definition phase, hardware and software development phase (consisting of hardware and software design and implementation), system integration and test phase, and installation phase.

PMS was developed by the vendor using defined processes, activities and organizational responsibilities per WCAP-16096-P-A (Reference 1) as supplemented by WCAP-15927-P (Reference 2). The defined processes were further incorporated into the vendor's processes and procedures that include the software and hardware development life cycle (i.e., requirements, design, manufacturing, factory testing, independent verification and validation, and delivery).

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ND-20-0246 Enclosure Page 3 of 4

An inspection of the vendor's processes and procedures used to design the hardware and software was performed, and it was concluded that the processes define the organizational responsibilities, activities, and configuration management controls for specification of functional requirements, documentation, and review of hardware and software, and performance of system tests and the documentation of system test results, including a response time test performed under maximum Central Processing Unit (CPU) loading to demonstrate that the PMS fulfills its response time criteria. Technical report APP-GW-GLR-620 "AP1000 Design Certification ITAAC 2.5.02.11: Protection and Safety Monitoring System Design Process Technical Report" (Reference 3) documented the results of the inspection and included references to vendor processes and procedures that define each of the Acceptance Criteria.

An inspection of processes used for the performance of installation tests and inspections was performed. The results of this inspection were documented in 2.5.02.11-U4-SumRep-Rev. 1, "Unit 4 PMS Installation Tests and Inspections Process Summary Report" (Reference 4). The hardware (e.g., PMS cabinets) was installed and inspected in accordance with 26139-000-4MP-T81C-N3301, "Electrical Equipment Installation" (Reference 5). Software installation and regression analysis were per SV3-GW-GCW-300 (Reference 6). Energization and post-installation tests were performed per the component test procedure B-GEN-ITPCI-001 (Reference 7). Note that the Electrical Equipment Installation process contained in 26139-000-4MP-T81C-N3301 (Reference 5), B-GEN-ITPCI-001 (Reference 7), and SV3-GW-GCW-300 (Reference 6) were demonstrated for equipment installation in Unit 3 and the same methodology citing SV4-GW-GCW-308 (Reference 8) were applicable to Unit 4. The Unit 4 installation package was developed using the same exact quality program and processes.

The results of the above PMS inspections concluded that the process defined the organizational responsibilities, activities, and configuration management controls for the following: specification of functional requirements, documentation, and review of hardware and software, performance of system tests and the documentation of system test results, including a response time test performed under maximum CPU loading to demonstrate that the PMS fulfills its response time criteria and performance of installation tests and inspections.

References 1 through 8 are available for NRC inspection as part of the ITAAC 2.5.02.11 Unit 4 Completion Package (Reference 9).

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ND-20-0246 Enclosure Page 4 of 4

ITAAC Finding Review

In accordance with plant procedures for ITAAC completion, Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) performed a review of all findings pertaining to the subject ITAAC and associated corrective actions. This review found one (1) closed Violation associated with this ITAAC.

- VIO 05200025/2012009-01 & 05200026/2012009-01 (Closed) Contrary to the above, as of May 25, 2012, the licensee failed to assure that applicable regulatory requirements and the design basis, as defined in § 50.2 and as specified in the license application, for the Protection and Safety Monitoring System, were correctly translated into specifications, drawings, procedures, and instructions.
 - a. The ITAAC completion review determined that all corrective actions associated with this finding are completed and closed. NRC closure of this finding is documented in NRC Inspection Report 05200025/2013-004 & 05200026/2013-004.

The ITAAC completion review is documented in the ITAAC Completion Package for ITAAC 2.5.02.11 (Reference 9) and available for NRC review.

ITAAC Completion Statement

Based on the above information, SNC hereby notifies the NRC that ITAAC 2.5.02.11 was performed for VEGP Unit 4 and that the prescribed acceptance criteria are met. It was concluded that SV4-GW-GCW-308 methodology was identical to the methodology and the processes used in SV3-GW-GCW-300 except the documentation outlining regression testing was eliminated in SV4-GW-GCW-308, since Unit 4 incorporated the final design and no FCN modifications were required.

Systems, structures, and components verified as part of this ITAAC are being maintained in their as-designed, ITAAC compliant condition in accordance with approved plant programs and procedures.

References (available for NRC inspection)

- 1. WCAP-16096-P-A, Rev. 5, "Software Program Manual"
- 2. WCAP-15927-P, Rev. 7, "Design Process for AP1000 Common Q Safety Systems"
- 3. APP-GW-GLR-620, Rev. 1, "AP1000 Design Certification ITAAC 2.5.02.11: Protection and Safety Monitoring System Design Process Technical Report"
- 4. 2.5.02.11-U4-SumRep-Rev. 1, "Unit 4 PMS Installation Tests and Inspections Process Summary Report"
- 5. 26139-000-4MP-T81C-N3301, Rev. 3, "Electrical Equipment Installation"
- 6. SV3-GW-GCW-300, Rev. 0, "Vogtle Unit 3 AP1000 PMS Initial Software Installation Software Release 8.7.0.1"
- 7. B-GEN-ITPCI-001, Rev. 2, "PMS Cabinets"
- 8. SV4-GW-GCW-308, Rev. 0, "Vogtle Unit 4 AP1000 PMS Initial Software Installation Software Release 8.7.0.1"
- 9. ITAAC 2.5.02.11-U4-CP-Rev. 1, "ITAAC Completion Package"