UNITED STATES

## NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

OFFICE OF INVESTIGATIONS FIELD OFFICE, REGION II

101 MARIETTA CTREET, SUITE 2100 ATLANTA GEORGIA 30303

MAR 1 4 1984

MEMORANDUM FOR: Bruno Uryc, Investigation/Allegation Coordination Staff,

Region II

James Y. Vorse, Director FROM:

Office of Investigations Field Office, Region II

GE WILMINGTON, NC: ALLEGED FALSIFICATION OF RECORDS AND SUBJECT:

HARASSMENT AND INTIMIDATION

REF: RII-84-A-0029

On February 23, 1984, based on the Region II request for investigative assistance, an alleger who requested confidentiality, was interviewed by OI Investigators Daniel Murphy and Larry Robinson. Based upon a review of information provided by the alleger, the Region may wish to consider the following inspection efforts:

- Compare tapes in analyzers in the isotopic area (alleger's) to logs of tests (alleger claims not enough tests are run).
- Alleger, in the enclosed statement, provides specific events (e.g., times and dates) of the alleged falsification in the isotopic area. The alleger asserts that some "bad" data was being entered using his/her computer password to make the alleger look bad when in fact the actual data from the test he/she ran was good.
- If possible and appropriate, inspection effort should be directed toward potential computer security (laxness on passwords).
- The alleger contends that technician ( ) is not actually performing the titrations and merely writing in results in the log based on his experience of knowing what the results should be for a given weight of test material. Based on this information it may be appropriate for the inspectors to make each qualified technician in the uranium titration testing area go through an entire procedure. The inspectors should look for thoroughness in the description of the procedures, especially the conversion formulas and what factors are being used to arrive at final data.
- The inspectors may consider having the technicians talk through their process as they run their tests in the Flouride testing area.
- Alleger claims there is no way that a uranium titration can be run according to their procedures and obtain a result that falls within standard limits.

information in this record was deleted

Act, exemplions FOIA & 2 - 2 & The Figure 1 Information

Package 8 9101280198 901010 BAUMAN87-88 PDR

81-88

During the interview of the alleger, supporting documentation was provided which the alleger claims supports the contentions. This documentation along with the alleger's signed statement is submitted for your review.

Based on our review of this matter OI does not contemplate any further action at this juncture. If information is developed during Region II inspection effort related to this matter which, in your review, would be of OI interest, please inform this office.

James Y. Vorse

Enclosure: As Stated