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The Seismograph Service Corporation was subcontracted in March, 1971, to E. I. du Pont de Nemours \& Company, inc., Wilmington, Delaware, to continue and expand seismic investigations on the U.S. Atomic Energy Conmission's Savannah River Plant in Barnwell, Aiken, and Allendale Counties, South Carolina.

The purpose was to extend seismic lines previously recorded during the seismic program conducted in May, 1969, and primarily to obtain additiona. information on the attitude of surface and bedrocks in the study of the bedrock waste scorage problem.

This new work program was conducted as Project 8-1047, AXC$26657 \frac{1}{2}$ Seismic Surveys. The field work was performed during the period from March 11 th to 31st, 1971.

ASSIGNMENTS
The new assignments consisted of the following five lines covering approximately 23 miles of traverse:

Line 1:
Line 1 began with Shotpoint 4 , near the same location of old Shotpoint 4 of the original shooting and approximately 500 feet north of DRB-9 well. This line proceeded southeastward along coordinate $\mathrm{E}-60,000$ to Shotpoint 53 near co-ordinate $\mathrm{N}-12,260$. At the
conciusion of the survey, Shotpoints $54,55,56$, and 57 were added at the northwest end of the line. These shotpoints overlapped the previous shooting with Shotpoint 56 being located near the DRB-9 well. Also, Shotpoints $15-\mathrm{A}, 16-\mathrm{A}$, and $17-\mathrm{A}$ and Shotpoints $48-\mathrm{A}$, $49-\mathrm{A}, 50-\mathrm{A}$, and $51-\mathrm{A}$ were reshot.

## Line 2:

Line 2 begins with Shotpoint 61 located about 200 feet north of old Shotpoint 15 of the original shooting. The co-ordinates for Shotpoint 61 are approximately $N-70,600$ and $E-60,000$. Line 2 is a crooked line that meanders around, but was laid-out in such a manner as to cross $D R B-7, D R B-6, D R B-5, D R B-1$, and P8R holes. This line covers approximately 10.5 miles and terminates with Shotpoint 122 located at co-ordinates $N-110,600$ and $\mathrm{E}-57,150$.

Line 3:
Line 3 includes Shotpoints 300 to 306 and is located approximately 3600 feet east of and parallels original Line 1 . It extends about 7300 feet north from N-60,000.

Line 4:
Line 4 includes Shotpoints 400 to 406 . It is about a mile west of original Line 1 but extends slightly south of $\mathrm{N}-60,000$.

## Line 5:

Line 5 takes off northwestward from Shotpoint 64 of Line 2 and includes Shotpoints 201 through 208. This line ties to DRB-7, DRB-2, and DRB-4 wells.

## FIELD PROCEDURE

The seismic field crew again headquartered in Augusta, Georgia, a distance of approximately 30 miles west of the Savannah River Plant. The field equipment was inspected and the field crew personnel were processed through employment, security, and safety orientation on March 8, 9, and 10, 1971, and received safety helmets and badges which permitted access of personnel and equipment onto the Savannah River Plant. All field operations were conducted under the direction of Mr. George M. Vroman, Design Engineer, E. I. du Pont de Nemours \& Company, Inc.

From the experience gained during the previous survey, it was determined that a continuous profile technique would be more advantageous than the correlation method originally used. The continuous profile method would be slower requiring more shotholes but would provide $100 \%$ subsurface coverage, ensure more precise correlations by having continuous coverage, provide detailed weathering information, and would furnish more control in the identification and
location of possible faults. A straddle spread covering 900-75-0-75-900 feet ( 12 geophone stations on each side of the shotpoint at intervals of 75 feet) was the normal spread length used. Ten HSJ14 Hz geophones were spaced 5 feet apart across each station.

In general, the shotholes were slightly deeper than the earlier survey especially in the extreme northern portion in the vicinity of the $P 8 R$ hole. On Line 1 they ranged from 80 to 100 feet and were shot with 1 pound Nitramon charges. When Shotpoints $48-\mathrm{A}, 49-\mathrm{A}, 50-\mathrm{A}$, and $51-\mathrm{A}$ were reshot, these holes were redrilled to 150 feet and shot with 2 pound charges. On Line 2 the shotholes ranged in depth from 100 feet at Shotpoint 61 to 150 feet at Shotpoint 122 and were shot with charge sizes generally from 1 to 2 pounds. Also on Line 2 , two shotholes per location were drilled at Shotpoints 100 through 120 . The original hole was drilled to 100 feet and the second or A-hole was drilled 30 to 50 feet deeper. Separate records were taken from each hole. On Lines 3, 4, and 5, all shotnoles were drilled to 100 feet and shot with 2 pound charges.

Because of the poor results and indicated deep weathering from the previous survey at the P8R hole, a deep uphole survey was made before starting Line 2 . A shothole was drilled to a depth of 150 feet at Shotpoint 105-A (Line 2 and near P8R) for this uphole survey. The driller logged this hole as follows: $0-30$ red clay, 30-78 sand, 78-85 red clay, and 85-150 sand. A charge size of one
pound was shot and recorded at depths of $150,130,110,90,70,60$, $50,40,30$, and 20 feet. A time-depth plot revealed interval velocities of $2000 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ from $0-60 \mathrm{feet}, 2600 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ from $60-110$ feet, and $5500 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ from $110-150$ feet. A reproduction of this time-depth curve is shown on page 8. The break at 110 feet indicates this to be the depth of the weathered zone. The summation weathering across Shotpoint 105 later confirmed this to be the depth of the weathering. From the analysis of this uphole survey the shotholes were drilled deeper along Line 2.

The seismic data were digitally recorded by TI DFS-III digital instruments and "read after write" paper monitor records were made simultaneously for use in computing weathering corrections. A broad band filter of 27 to 248 Hz was used with a slope of $36 \mathrm{db} / \mathrm{oc}-$ tave on the low cut and 72 db /octave on the high cut. Also, a $60-\mathrm{Hz}$ notch filter was used to eliminate any 60 Hz highline signal. The field digital tapes are 9 track 800 bpi, with a 1 millisecond sample rate, and a record length of 2.0 seconds.

The depth of the weathering for each geophone station was computed in the field from a summation method employing the use of the first break refraction arrivals on the monitor records. An average velocicy of $2500 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ was used in computing the thickness of the weathered zone. These weathering values were then used in determining the static corrections applied to the preliminary repiay sections. These corrections were made to a reference datum of +200 feet


above sea level with an elevation velocity of 5500 feet per second, the same parameters as used in the original survey.

After completion of the shooting program, the drilling crew went back and grouted or cemented from bottom to top each hole that had been drilled over the area.

PROCESSING

The magnetic tapes were forwarded to the SSC Data Processing Center in Tulsa, Oklahoma, where they were digitally processed. After making some filter tests, some preliminary galvo-area sections were made by applying static and normal moveout corrections. These sections were then studied for further refinement and enhancement of the data. On Lines 1,2 , and 5 , corrected filter paper records were displayed from which differential fiducial statics were obtained and applied before making the final galvo-area sections.

Lines 1, 1-Extension and Reshoots, 3, 4, and 5 were displayed with a $25-35-65-80 \mathrm{~Hz}$ filter while Lines 2 and 4 were displayed with a $50-60-120-150 \mathrm{~Hz}$ filter. A set of prints of these sections with the various reflecting events colored is placed in the pocket of this report.

## DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

## General

Tvo subsurface structural maps, one isopach map, and one velocity gradient map are presented with this report. They are designated as:

## Structural

Near Top Saprolite
Near Top Crystalline Rock

## Isopach

Near Top Saprolite to Near Top Crystalline Rock
Velocity Gradient Map
Near Top Crystalline Rock and Near Top Saprolite
In general, the record quality was fair to good, however, some poor data were recorded along portions of Line 1 . Some holes were reshot in an effort to improve the data.

Shortly after the completion of the original seismic survey, both the DRB-8 and DRB-9 holes were drilled. The velocity data from the DRB-8 hole were sed in preparing the final maps and confirmed the reflection identifications. Subsequently, the DRB-9 hole was drilled. It penetrated the Top of Triassic at a depth of 1041 feet (302 ft. - K. B.) and reached the Top of Crystalline Rock at 2630 feet (K.B.), and bottomed at 2701 feet.

## Synthetic Record Comparison

Upon the initiation of this new shooting program, the Birdwell Division prepared a synthetic seismogram from the 3-D Velocity Log run in the DRB-9 hole. A comparison of two different filters: $20-30-70-80 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $30-40-150-160 \mathrm{~Hz}$ of the synthetic seismogram with that obtained from Shotpoint 56 located near the DRB-9 hole is shown on page 12 of this report.

The interval velocity curve shows a contrast in the Lower Tuscaloosa (Near Top Saprolite) at a depth of 802 feet (ED=+200 Ft.) and a positive primary spike. Both synthetic records exhibit a reflection at a time of .285 seconds corresponding with this spike. 1057 The Top of the Triassic (at a depth of 939 feet ) does not show sufficien contrast, however, the hard Triassic at 966 feet exhibits a seismic event at .330 seconds on the $30-40-150-160 \mathrm{~Hz}$ filter but seems to be combined with a later cycle of the shallower event on the $20-30-70-80 \mathrm{~Hz}$ filter. A weak event occurs at a time of .370 seconds from a depth of 1200 feet ( $\mathrm{ED}=+200 \mathrm{Ft}$.) which is 261 feet below the Top of Triassic. The Crystalline Rock at a depth of 2528 feet (ED=+200 Ft.) indicates a velocity contrast and both synthetic records show a reflection at a time of .555 seconds.


SP 56
Filter $30-40-150-160 \mathrm{~Hz}$ $\mathrm{d}_{3}=100$ Feet
$1_{3}=029 \mathrm{sec}$
E. O + 200Feet
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{e}}$. $5500 \mathrm{Ft} / \mathrm{sec}$




The seismograms from Shotpoint 56 exhibit reflections at times compa-able to those shown by the synthetic record. The data from Shotpoint 56 has been corrected to the reference datum of +200 feet using an elevation velocity of 5500 feet per second. The Crystalline Rock reflection appears to be slightly earlier on the Shotpoint 56 record. This is because of the steep dip in which the depth point is displaced northwestward 1110 feet showing a dip of $24^{\circ}$ at -2245 feet.

Recently Drilled Holes
Since the completion of the recent field work, two additional holes have been drilled. These are DRB-10 near Shotpoint 27 on Line 1 and P9R near Shotpoint 100 on Line 2 . These generally confirmed the preliminary seismic results. $D R B-10$ penetrated Top of Triassic at 1184 feet ( $257 \mathrm{ft} .-\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{B}$.) and was bottomed in Triassic KB at a total depth of 4212 feet, not having reach d the crystalline Rock. A 3-D Velocity Log was run in each of chese holes by our Birdwell Division from which velocity information was obtained. The P9R hole penetrated the Crystalline Rock at a much shallower depth than expected reaching the rock at a depth of 743 feet from K. B. (328 ft.).

## Velocity Gradient Map

Approximately nine well ties were made to the Crystalline Rock in the northern portion of the survey from Lines 1,2 , and 4 . From these well ties it was apparent that a velocity gradient was present. A velocity gradient map on the Crystalline Rock is submitted showing the various average velocities obtained at each well tie. This map shows a fairly strong gradient increasing in a westerly direction and exhibits average velocities ranging from 5800 $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ to $6300 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$. Unfortunately, there is insufficient lateral control except along Line 4 and no control is present south of the DRB-8 hole. Consequently, the contour configuration of this map is subject to change pending other lateral well ties. In effect the same gradient was applied to the Near Top Saprolite event in the northern area because the average velocities used for the shallower event were $100 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ smaller than those applied to the Crystalline Rock. This differential was established at the DRB- 8 well tie. Although the DRB-9 well was drilled to the Crystalline Rock at a depth of 2528 feet ( $\mathrm{ED}=+200 \mathrm{Ft}$ ), it penetrated approximately 1600 feet of Triassic section exhibiting an interval velocity of $14,200 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ which would greatly increase the average velocity to the Crystalline Rock. The first break average velocity from the two-way time-depth curve to a reference datum of +200 feet above


#### Abstract

sea level computes out to be $9220 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$. This is considerably greater than that applied north of Shotpoint 5, the last continuous seismic control at this level. Consequently, a considerable velocity change may occur across a fault south of Shotpoint 5 .

Two-way time-depth curves adjusted to a +200 E.D. from velocity surveys in the P5R, P6R, P8R, P9R, DRB-8, DRB-9, and DRB-10 holes are included in the calculation book.

Reduced prints of the structural maps, isopach map, and velocity gradient map are submitted as pages $16,17,18$, and 19 of this report.

Since each of these maps covers only a portion of the survey and are not of sufficient detail control to adequately define the true structural conditions, only a brief discussion of these maps will be made and a more detailed discussion of a time cross section of each individual line will follow.


## Detailed Discussion

An effort was made to map the same two events on this later survey. The Near Top Saprolite event was mappable throughout most of Line 1 . However, this event could very well be from the Top of the Triassic instead of the Saprolite from Shotpoint 13 on southward. A deeper Triassic reflection was present over a portion of this line but the Crystalline Rock event could not
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be recognized as no reliable reflection continuity was obtained from this horizon. Only sporadic events at various levels within the basement complex were recorded. The opposite condition prevailed towards the northwest with the shallower Saprolite event deteriorating to the eatent it was not mapped beyond Shotpoint 92 on Line 2 . On the other hand, a good seismic event was recorded from the Crystalline Rock in that area. Also some non-continuous deeper events within the basement rock were observed.

## Near Top Saprolite

The seismic event previously identified as Near Top Saprolite was followed south from the DRB-9 hole to Shotpoint 12. These data exhibit another 100 feet of southeast dip along co-ordinate E-60,000. The continuity on this event is interrupted by a fault, downthrown to the southeast, interpreted between Shotpoints 12 and 13 !

The seismic data in this downthrown block may be Near Top Triassic instead of Near Top Saprolite as an average velocity of $6025 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ ties Top Triassic ( -927 feet ) in the DRB-10. These data ${ }^{-1}$ suggest a minor anticlinal nose striking through Shotpoint 20 with approximately 30 feet of northwest reversal of dip into Shotpoint 17. Another 100 feet of southeast dip is recorded from Shotpoint 20 to Shotpoint 27 located near the DRB-10 hole.

Additional southeast dips are recorded into a possible syncline striking through Shotpoints 46 and 47 . Three additional faults are indicated as cutting the traverse southeast of the DRB10 hole. One fault strikes between Shotpoints 30 and 31 and appears to be displaced to the southeast approximately 30 feet. Another fault strikes between Shotpoints 40 and 41 with possibly 60 feet of displacement to the northwest. The third fault is shown striking between Shotpoints 49 and 50 and is interpreted as downthrown to the northwest approximately 50 feet. The direction of strike is uncertain on all of these faults.

In the northern portion of the survey, the Near Top Saprolite event deteriorates to the extent that it could not be mapped beyond Shotpoint 92 on Line 2. The quality of this event also is poor on Lines 3,4 , and 5 . The seismic results disclose 320 feet of southeast dip from Shotpoint 92 to Shotpoint 12. Although Lines 3 and 4 are not actually tied to Line 1 the correlation suggests a possible syncline plunging southeastward between Lines 1 and 4 and a minor nosing trend between Lines 1 and 3 .

## Near Top Crystalline Rock

The Crystalline Rock reflection was only mappable over the northern portion of the survey. This event showed a gradual structural rise of approximately 290 feet from Shotpoint 61 near
the DRB-8 hole to Shotpoint 104 (Line 2). Since the completion of the survey, the P9R well drilled near Shotpoint 100 (Line 2) confirms the high structural level of the Crystalline Rock in this area as the P9R hole appears to be 58 feet higher structurally than the P8R hole.

A fault, downthrow to the northwest with approximately 60 feet of displacement, is interpreted as striking between Shotpoint 105 and the P8R hole. The strike of this fault is uncertain. In the downthrow block, there is approximately 100 feet of southwesterly dip from Shotpoint 106 to Shotpoint 122.

Although Lines 3 and 4 are not tied to Line 1 , the contour interpretation suggests structural conditions similar to those shown on the Near Top Saprolite map. At the southeast end of Line 4, the continuity of the Crystalline Rock reflection is interrupted similar to that encounter south of the original Shotpoint 5 on Line 1 . For this reason, a pos bible fault is suggested as being present which would be downthrown to the southeast. The steep dip recorded from Shotpoint 56 shows a dip of $24^{\circ}$ at -2245 feet and is displaced northwestward 1110 feet along the line of profile. This is the only reconnizable Crystalline Rock reflection southeast of Shotpoint 5.

From Shotpoint 4 southward to Shotpoint $\widehat{53}$, no continuous mappable data were recorded from the Crystalline Rock, but only miceilaneous dips at various depth levels within the basement rock comflex.

The DRB-10 hole, drilled near Shotpoint 27 on Line 1 since the completion of this survey, was bottomed at 4212 feet in the Triassic formation, having penetrated 3028 feet of alternating claystone, hard and soft sandstones with quartzitic crystals. A plot of the velocity data from the 3-D Log of this well showed greater interval velocities through the Triassic section than that obtained at the DRB-9 hole.

## Isopach Map

The isopach map depicts the thickness of the section between the Near Top Saprolite and Near Top Crystalline Rock horizons. These data are only available over the north central portion of the survey. A gradual thickening of approximately 60 feet is shown with a possible thinning trend suggested between Lines 1 and 4 .

## Discussion of Time Cross Sections

In addition to the digital replay sections, a set of hand plotted time cross sections wore prepared on each line. The principal seismic events shown in color on the digital sections were picked and then plotted on time cross sections. The corresponding subsea depth values were computed and are shown with the possible exception of a few shallower events above the Near Top Saprolite. Also the steeper events have been migrated to their true inline position by a
steep dip calculation procedure. The depths to these deeper events are based on interval velocities either below the Saprolite, Triassic, or Crystalline Rock reflections and were derived from the various velocity surveys. Some of the steep dips may be over-displaced because of the apparent large average velocity applied.

## Line 1

## Shotpoints 54 to 12

In addition to the continuous Near Top Saprolite event from Shotpoints 54 to 12 , a deeper event with less reliable continuity is depicted at a depth approximately 200 feet below the Near Top Saprolite and has been designated as Below Top Triassic. This event was converted to subsea depths with a constant average velocity of $6100 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$. It reveals the same structural attitude as the shallower event with some southeastward thickening indicated.

The steep $36^{\circ}-39^{\circ}$ dips underneath Shotpoint 5 appear to be in the upthrown fault block within the Crystalline Rock. The $24^{\circ}$ dip at a time of .492 seconds (displaced from Shotpoint 56) and at a subsea depth of -2245 feet shown underneath Shotpoint 55 may be from the top of the Crystalline Rock as it approximates a tie with that depth shown in the DRB-9 hole.

Some miscellaneous milder dips are shown at depths near -250C feet and -2900 feet which are probably within the Triassic

# formation. The steeper $17^{\circ}$ and $24^{\circ}$ dips at depths of -3322 feet and -4246 feet may be nearer the Crystalline Rock level. 

Shotpoints 12 to 30
A fault, downthrown towards the southeast, is present between Shotpoints 12 and 13 . The primary shallow event placed on the Near Top Saprolite map is converted to subsea depth with an average velocity of 6025 feet per second. The continuity and quality of this event is good to Shotpoint 30 . It ties to the Top Triassic (-927 feet) at DRB-10 near Shotpoint 27.

A shallower Cretaceous event, about 200 milliseconds above the Top Triassic, is shown on the cross section.

A deeper Triassic event approximately 500 feet below the Top Triassic is depicted with poor continuity. This reflection was converted to depth using a constant average velocity of $7465 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$. A few miscellaneous deeper events probably within the Triassic also are shown. Some deeper $12^{\circ}$ to $24^{\circ}$ southeast dips at depths of -4421 feet and -6134 feet may be more representative of the Crystalline Rock.

The DRB-10 hole near Shotpoint 27 was drilled after the shooting of this line and was bottomed at 4212 feet (K.B.) having penetrated 3028 feet of Triassic sediments. A reflection from Shotpoint 26 cisplaces southeas to a position underneath the DRB10 hole showing a dip of $15^{\circ}$ towards the northwest at a depth of
-4219 feet and other miscellaneous dips showing a northwest component of dip are recorded from depths near -5000 feet. Either of these dips could be representative of Crystalline Rock surface.

## Shotpoints 30 to 40

A small fault, downthrown to the southeast with approximately 30 feet of displacement, strikes between Shotpoints 30 and 31. In the downthrown block, the Top Triassic data dips mildly to the southeast reaching its deepest depth at Shotpoints 39 and 40 .

A shallow event near .170 seconds is recorded across this zone which is probably the same event shown between Shotpoints 21 and 27.

A few miscellaneous possibly deeper Triassic events are shown. No Crystalline Rock reflections are recognizable although a number of steeply northwest dipping partial segments can be seen on the replay sections.

## Shotpoints 40 to 48

A fault, upthrown to the southeast, cuts the traverse between Shotpoints 40 and 41 . This fault suggests about 60 feet of throw. The Top Triassic data continue to dip mildly to the southeast into a possible syncline through Shotpoints 46 and 47 .

A single $23^{\circ}$ northwest dip at a time of .725 seconds (-4132 feet) underneath Shotpoint 45 may depict the attitude of Crystall'ne Rock.

## Shotpoints 48 to 53

The data quality is poor over this portion of Line 1. The weathering zone appears to thicken to approximately 100 feet from Shotpoints 47 to 53. The reshot, deeper holes did not appear to show any appreciable improvement in record quality. A possible fault, upthrown to the southeast, may strike between Shotpoints 49 and 50. The correlation or the Top Triassic across this possible fault is not certain. Two steeply dipping reflections shown between Shotpoints 48 and 49 on the replay sections may be diffractions from this possible fault zone.

In the upthrown block some steep northwesterly dips from $19^{\circ}$ to $39^{\circ}$ and at depths of -3049 feet to -3844 feet might reflect the attitude of the Crystalline Rock.

## Line 2

## Shotpoints 61 to 122

Line 2 begins at Shotpoint 61 near the DRB- 8 hole and near Shotpoint 15 of the original Line 1 . It extends generally northwestward and ties to $\mathrm{DR} \mathrm{B}-7, \mathrm{DRB}-6, \mathrm{DRB}-5, \mathrm{DRB}-1, \mathrm{P} 9 \mathrm{R}$, and P8R holes.

The surface elevation of +300 feet above sea level near Shotpoint 61 declines to +140 feet above sea level near a small strea: $=$ lose to Shotpoint 81 and then gradually rises to +380 feet
above sea level beyond Shotpoint 122. The apparent weathering mantle adjacent to the surface appears to be approximately 40 feet thick in the vicinity of Shotpoints 61 to 64 , then gradually thickens to about 90 feet underneath the hill across Shotpoints 68 to 70 , and thins to approximately 50 feet in the valley floor from Shotpoints 79 to 92 . A gradual chickening again occurs towards Shotpoint 122 with generally 130 feet or more indicated from Shotpoints 109 to 122 . The data from the deeper shotholes on this line were used in the final interpretation. Records from the 100 -foot hole depths were processed through the digital computer, but these did not reveal any additional information.

The Near Top Saprolite reflection was picked only as far as Shotpoint 92, although the continuity of this event is questionable beyond Shotpoint 74.

The Crystalline Rock reflection is the outstanding event that is recognized on the replay section throughout the entire line. The continuity is fairly reliable on this event except for a few places such as between Shotpoints 74 to 79 , Shotpoints 90 to 92 , and Shotpoints 104 to 106 . This horizon exhibits a gradual structural rise to the fault between Shotpoint 105 and the P8R hole. This fault appears to be downthrown towards the northwest with possibly 60 feet of displacement. The direction of strike is uncertain. A structural rise of approximately 100 feet is defined from Shotpoint 106 to Shotpoint 122 on the downthrown side of this fault.

A seismic event at a time of .330 seconds ( -1070 feet) at Shotpoint 62 is approximately 382 feet below the Top Crystalline Rock. It shows a convergence of 127 feet between Shotpoints 62 and 67. A reflection at about the same interval below the Top Crystalline Rock is shown between Shotpoints 81 and 86 that reveals only minor convergence.

There is some evidence on the replay section of Line 2 between Shotpoints 65 and 68 in support of the inclined fracture zone within the virtually impermeable Crystalline Rock as depicted in Figure 1, Page 13, of the publication in the Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1544 -I, "Two-Well Tracer Test in Fractured Crystalline Rock" by D. S. Webster, J. F. Proctor, and I. W. Marine. The displaced $12^{\circ}$ southeasterly dip underneath Shotpoint 66 and at times of .331 seconds ( -1227 feet) and .372 seconds ( -1404 feet) and the displaced $14^{\circ}$ dip at times of .359 seconds ( -1566 feet) and .402 seconds ( -1778 feet) is fairly well defined. However, the displaced $17^{\circ}$ southeasterly dip underneath DRB-5 hole and at times of .359 seconds ( -1617 feet) and .408 seconds ( -1866 feet) is very ques tionable although some weak evidence for it can be seen on the replay section. There appears to be similar anomalous conditions possibly between Shotpoints 72 and 73 , Shotpoints 77 and 78 , and Shotpoints 85 and 86.

A few miscellaneous deeper events in the basement complex have been picked and put on the time cross section. Many other apparent reflection alignments that depicts dip in either direction can be seen on the replay section. These were not put on the time cross section.

Line 3
Shotpoints 300 to 306

The reflection continuity is very poor on the Near Top Saprolite event and a shallower event placed on the time cross section. The Near Top Crystalline Rock reflection is fair. Several miscellaneous deep reflections ranging in depths from -1700 feet to -4200 feet are shown. Some possible faulting or fractures are saggested in the deeper portions of the basement complex.

The two steep northwesterly dips appearing on the replay section near times of .8 second and 1.0 second possibly are reflections or diffraction from the fault shown cutting line 1 between Shotpoints 5 and 4 . The reflection near .8 second displaces slightry more than 4200 feet southeast of Shotpoint 300.


## Line 4

Shotpoint 400 to 406
The quality of the data and the continuity are much better on Line 4 than on Line 3, especially on the Near Top Saprolite and Near Top Crystalline Rock reflections.

Many miscellaneous deeper events within the Crystalline Rock are shown on the tile section ranging in depths from -1700 feet to -4800 feet. The depths from -1700 feet to -2400 feet exhibit southeast dip but with a steeper gradient than the Top of Crystalline Rock. The deeper data appear to have a northwest component of dip. Some faulting or fractures also appear to be present in this deeper section of the Crystalline Rock.

Possible faulting, downthrown to the southeast, is suggested south of Shotpoint 400. The Crystalline Rock reflection loses its continuity similar to the condition encountered south of Shotpoint 5 on Line 1 of the original survey.

## Line 5

Shotpoints $64-\mathrm{A}, 201$ to 208
This line makes approximate ties to DRB-7, DRB-2, and DRB-4 holes and furnishes some lateral control for the Nea Iop Crystalline Rock velocity gradient map. The continuity on this event is fairly reliable except from Shotpoint 204 to Shotpoint 206. Minor faulting could possibly be present between Shotpoints 204 and 205.

The reflection continuity of the Near Top Saprolite event is very questionable on line 5 .

Several miscellaneous deep data below the Top of the Crystalline Rock are shown at depths of -1500 feet to -3700 feet. The shallower group of these data show a considerable amount of convergence with the Top Crystalline Rock.

## CONCLUSIONS

The results of this second shooting program have furnished additional structural information on the behavior of the Near Top Saprolite event or Lower Tuscaloosa-Cretaceous sediments, possibly the Top Triassic in the southern portion of the area, and the Near Top Crystalline Rock in the northern part of the survey.

The failure to obtain a continuous mappable event from the Top Crystalline Rock over the southern portion of Line 1 was disappointing. The reason for this may be an insufficient velocity contrast between Crystalline Rock and the overlying hard Triassic sandstones and siltstones to produce a reflection. The 3-D Velocily Log ran in the DRB-10 which penetrated 3028 feet of Triassic section exhibited large interval velocities of $17,600 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ and $18,600 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ in the lower portion of the Triassic zone which approaches the 19,600 $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ interval velocity recorded in the Crystalline Rock of the DRB-8 hole. Some miscellaneous deep events shown on the replay and time cross sections may furnish some information as to the attitude of the Crystalline Rock formation in the suuthern area.

The deepest portion of the Near Top Triassic horizon was recorded in the vicinity of Shotpoints 39 and 40 on Line 1 ; however, additional southeast dip is recorded from Shotpoints 41 to 46 in an upthrown fault block. A possible syncline may strike through Shotpoints 46 and 47. Several small faults are depicted along Line 1 as cutting the Near Top Triassic. The strike of these faults is uncertain.

The Near Top Saprolite event could not be reliably mapped any farther north than Shotpoint 92 on Line 2. This event is also considered weak on Lines 3 and 5 .

The Near Top Crystalline Rock is believed to be fairly reliably defined along the traverses in the northern area although Lines 3 and 4 are not tied to Line 1 . It was necessary to apply a velocity gradient to effectively tie the seismic data to nine wells in this area. A fault, downthrown to the northwest, appears to cut Line 2 between Shotpoint 105 and the P8R hole. The direction of str ke of this fault is unknown. A fault, downthrown to the southeast, could very well cut Line 4 south of Shotpoint 400 and strike between Shotpoints 5 and 4 of the original shooting on Line 1 .

The inclined fracture zone within the apermeable Crystalline Rock as depicted between the DRB-5 and DRB- 6 holes in the
publication "Two-Well Tracer Test in Fractured Crystalline Rock" by D. S. Webster, J. F. Proctor, and I. W. Marine in the Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 1544 -I appears to have some evidence of this anomalous condition on the replay section of Line 2 between Shotpoints 65 and 68. Similar anomalous dips beneath the Top Crytalline Rock are suggested along this traverse.

Respectfully submitted,
SEISMOGRAPH SERVICE CORPORATION
By: W. B. Connor, Party Chief
By:

/ho
July 30, 1971
Approved by:

R. S. Finn, Vice President
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## APPENDIX I

INDEX MAP OF SOUTH CAROLINA

$\square$ AREA SURVEYED


| Topography: | Rolling plains |
| :--- | :--- |
| Population: | Unpopulated |
| Culture: | Brushland, timber; U.S. Atomic <br> Energy Commission's Savannah River <br> Plant |
| Drainage: | Well drained in a southeasterly <br> direction, furnished by streams <br> tributary to the Savannah River |
| Soil: | Brown, clay, sandy |
| Weather: | Generally fair, some rain |
| Roads: | Plentiful |
| Traverse difficulties: | Mud, timber, underbrush |


| Near-surface formation: | Barnwell formation of Jackson group <br> of Eocene age |
| :--- | :--- |
| Subsurface log: | DRB-9 (near Shotpoint 56) |

## OPERATION METHODS

Method used:

Spreads used: Normal length:
Distance from shothole to close geophone stations:
Number of geophone stations adjacent to hole:
Relation of far geophone stations to interlocking shotholes:

Geophones used:
Number per trace:
Connection:
Spacing in group:
Arrangement in group:
Instrumentation:
Type amplifiers:
Number of channels:
Filter settings:
Monitor and tape:
Replay playback:

Type camera:
Shothole data:
Type drilling:
Special equipment used:
Tractor mounted equipment:
Shothole depths:
Normal:
Range:
Number of holes used per location:
Formations encountered:
Approximate depth water table:
Best shooting depth:

Continuous profiling
Straddle
900 feet, between shotpoints
75 feet

## 2

At hole
HSJ $14 \mathrm{~Hz}, .7$ damping factor 10
Series
5 feet
Straddling station, in line

TI DFS-III
24

27 to 248 Hz at 70.7\% amplitude response
25-35-65-80 Hz on Lines 1 ,
1-Extension, 3, 4, and 5
$50-60-120-150 \mathrm{~Hz}$ on Lines 2 and 4
24 trace

Rotary with water
Portable pits
Drilling, recording, shooting
100 feet
80 to 150 feet
$1 ; 2$ at Shotpoints 100 through 120 on Line 2
Sand, gravel, clay
55 feet
80 to 100 feet except extreme south end of Line 1 and north end of Line 2 where the weathering thickened requiring 150-foot shotholes

## APPENDIX IV (Contd.)

## OPERATION METHODS (Contd.)

Shothole data (contd.):
Dy namite charges:
Normal: 1 pound
Range:
1 to 2 pounds of Nitramon, holes preloaded

Operational difficulties:
Traverse difficulties, caving holes, gravel holes

## STATION AND SHOT HOLE ARRANGEMENT



## LEGEND

Shot Hole Under Tes
Adjoining Shot Hole
Station Number
Geophones per Sratio
Geophone Spacing $\mathbf{5}^{\prime}$

```
Basic crew:
    Crew headquarters:
    Starting date:
    Completion date:
    Number of profiles shot:
    Numer of profiles shot. }29
    Number of holes shot: }14
    Linear miles covered: }2
    Dynamite used:
        Type:
    Number of caps used:
        Normal length leads:
Drilling:
    Number of drills used:
    Drill hours:
        Extra drill hours:
    Number of holes drilled:
    Total footage drilled:
    Average length water hauls:
    Number of sets bit blades used:
        Type:
    Hole plugs:
        Size:
```

Augusta, Georgia
March 11, 1971
March 31, 1971
292
146
23
250 pounds
Nitramon
187
150 feet

## 2

328.5
163.5 cementing shotholes 167
17,896 feet
$\frac{1}{2}$ to 1 hour
6
Retipped 4 inches
200
9 inches

Record quality:
Type correction used for final data:
Other corrections calculated:
Individual trace corrections used for replay sections:

Interlock ties:
Spread correction:

Displacement:

Time reference datum:
Weathering velocity, $v_{0}$ :
Elevation velocity, $\mathrm{ve}_{\mathrm{e}}$
Type replay cross sections prepared:
Final data normally obtained from:
Horizons and interval mapped:
Near Top Saprolite
Time range: 205 to 390 milliseconds
Depth range:
Velocity, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}$ :
Velocity formula:

Near Top Saprolite to
Near Top Crystalline Rock Thickness range:

Near Top Crystalline Rock
Time range:
Depth range: Velocity, $\mathrm{va}_{\mathrm{a}}$ Velocity formula:

Good, poor, NR, high frequency
Summation
Normal uphole

Summation, differential statics
Datum-to-datum
Applied to individual traces on replay sections

Worked for miscellaneous steep dips; straight line
+200 feet above sea level
2500 feet per second
5500 feet per second
Galvo-area
Galvo-area replay sections -400 to -975 feet
6015,6025 , and variable feet per second
Partially based on Velocity Gradient Map

90 to 173 feet

187 to 492 milliseconds
-368 to -2245 feet
Variable feet per second
Based on Velocity Gradient Map

## DEPTH DETERMINATION FOR CONTINUOUS PROFILING Using Normal Uphole Corrections



## LEGEND

| $s$ | Shotpoint Location |
| :---: | :---: |
| G | - Geophone Location |
| $\times$ | - Surface Distance |
| $0_{s}$ | - Depth of Charge |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - Thickness of Low Velocity Layer |
| ts | - Uphole Time |
| E0 | - Elevation or Reference Datum |
| $z$ | - Depth below ED |
| ${ }^{\text {t }}$ r | - Recorded Reflection Time |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ c | - Corrected Reflection Time |
| $t^{\text {x }}$ | - Normal Moveout Correction |
| $v_{0}$ | - Average Velocity in Low Velocity Lay |
| ve | Elevation Correction Velocity |
|  | Average Velocity to Reflecting Horizon |

$t_{c_{1}}=t r_{1}-t e s_{1}-t e g_{1}-t s_{1}$

- $t_{r_{1}-1 / v_{e}}\left(\varepsilon_{m}^{\prime}-E 0\right)-t_{s_{1}}$
$z_{1}$ - $\mathrm{vartc}_{1}$
te - de/ve
$t_{w}$ - $d w / v_{0}$
$E_{s}$ - Elevation of Shotpoint
$E_{g}$ - Elevation of Geophone
$E_{m}=\left(E_{s}+E_{g}\right)$
$E_{m}^{\prime} \quad-\quad E_{m}-\alpha_{s}=\frac{1}{2}\left(E_{s}^{\prime}+E_{g}^{\prime}\right)$
$E_{s}^{\prime}=E_{s}-d_{s}$
$\varepsilon_{g}=E_{g}-d_{s}$
$d_{\theta_{s}}=E_{s}^{\prime}-E D$
${ }^{d} e_{g}$ - $E \dot{g}-E 0$
$t_{x}=\frac{x^{2}}{2 v a^{2} t_{c}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{t} c_{n}=t_{n}-t_{s_{1}}-t e_{g_{n}}-t_{s_{2}} \\
& t_{n}=t v_{a}\left(t_{n}-t x_{n}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## STEEP DIP CALCULATIONS

## Using straight-line assumption



## Three-Dimensional Plan



```
Base shotpoint tracing
Sepias with data
All records for the survey - monitor and playbacks
Large hand-plotted cross sections
Magnetic tapes
Plane table sheets
Surveyor's field notes
Driller's reports
Replay sections:
    Galvo-area
    Films from which above sections were taken
Calculation book containing:
    Calculation and interpretation methods
    Velocity determinations
    Time-depth conversion tables
    Weathering graph sheets
    Fiducial correction calculations
    Dip calculations
    Small cross sections
    Observer's reports
    Shooter's reports
```
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The Seismograph Service Corporation was subcontracted in September, 1971, to E. I. du Pont de Nemours \& Company, Inc., Wilmington, Delaware, to perform supplemental seismic work on the $U . S$. Atomic Energy Commission's Savannah River Plant in Barnwel1, Aiken, and Allendale Counties, South Carolina.

The purposes of this supplemental work were to obtain additional seismic information regarding the attitude of the surface and bedrocks; to determine the position and outline of the Triassic Basin; and to determine the strike of faults suggested as cutting Line 1 by the previous field work conducted in March, 1971.

This supplemental program was initiated under WR 860188 , AXC- $26715 \frac{1}{2}$ Seismic Surveys.
and was conducted during the period from September 15 to October 23, 1971.

ASSIGNMENTS
The third survey was primarily over the southern portion of the Savannah River Plant and consisted of eight additional lines covering about 53 miles of traverse. These lines are located as follows:

## Line 6 (Shotpoints 501 to 560)

Line 6 started with Shotpoint 501 located adjacent to the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad about 2000 feet northwest of Robbins Station on the west side of the plant. The plant co-ordinates are approximately $\mathrm{N}-35,750$ and $\mathrm{E}-28,400$. The line proceeded northeastward paralleling the N - co-ordinate system, across the DRB-10 hole, intersecting Line 1 between Shotpoints 27 and 28 , and terminated with Shotpoint 560 located slightly east of the E-80,000 co-ordinate.

Line 7 (Shotpoints 626 to 583; 501-A; 561 to 582)
Line 7 is on the west side of the plant and was laid out along the east side of the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad. Shotpoint 58: is at the southeast en end of this line near co-ordinates E-32,250, and $N-17,000$. At the northwestern end of Line 7 is Shotpoint 626 near co-ordinates $\mathrm{E}-25,100$ and $\mathrm{N}-73,750$. This 1 ine also ties to the western extremity of Line 6 at Shotpoint 501. Shotpcint 577 was not shot because of its inaccessibility.

Line 8 (Shotpoints 627 to $651,652-\mathrm{L}$ to $660-\mathrm{L}_{2}$, 657 to 665 )
Line 8 represents the eastern boundary of the survey and is located approximately 300 feet east and parallels the E-80,000 co-ordinate. It begins with Shotpoint 627 which is approximately on the $N-60,000$ co-ordinate and proceeds southeastward to Shotpoint 651
which is located at the edge of Par Pond. The series of Shotpoints $652-\mathrm{L}$ through $660-\mathrm{L}$ were shot across the lake. A gap of about 2600 feet separates Shotpoint $650-\mathrm{L}$ (over the lake) and Shotpoint 657 which furnishes a seismic tie to the eastern end of Line 6 . Shotpoint 665 lies at the southeastern extremity of Line 8 near coordinates $\mathrm{E}-80,000$ and $\mathrm{N}-26,600$.

## Line 9 (Shotpoints 547-A; 666 to 692)

Line 9 takes off from Shotpoint 547-A on Line 6 near Dunbarton and proceeds southeasterly along Road B-6 to the plant boundary. Shotpoint 692 is located at the southeastern extremity of Line 9.

Line 10 (Shotpoints 516-A; 716 to 736)
Line 10 takes off from Shotpoint 516-A of Line 6 and proceeds eastward along Road A-14, then southward on Road 9, and then southwestward along Road A-18 in order to tie to the P5R hole. Shotpoint 735 is located near this test hole.

Line 1-Extension (Shotpoints 47-B; 693 to 715)
Line 1-Extension is a southeastward extension of original Line 1 which is located along the E-60,000 co-ordinate. This extension starts with Shotpoint 47-B and runs diagonally to the intersection of Roads A-18 and A-18-2, then turns southward following Road


#### Abstract

A-18-2 beyond the plant boundary generally along the E-60,000 coordinate and terminates at Shotpoint 715 in the vicinity of $N-00,000$ co-ordinate.


Line 3-Extension (Shotpoints 776 to 814 )
This line is a southeastward continuation of Line 3 , with Shotpoint 776 located at the interlocking tail position of old Shotpoint 300. This line intersects Line 6 slightly west of Shotpoint 540. Shotpoint 814 is located at the southeast terminus of the line near co-urdinates $\mathrm{E}-62,150$ and $\mathrm{N}-27,350$.

Line 4-Extension (Shotpoints 737 to 775 )
This line is a southeastward extension of previous Line 4 , with Shotpoint 737 located at the interlocking tail position of old Shotpoint 400. This line bends eastward from Shotpoints 756 to 760 , then proceeds southeastward parallel to original Line 1 . It crosses Line 6 near Shotpoint 535 and terminates with Shotpoint 775 located near co-ordinates $\mathrm{E}-57,750$ and $\mathrm{N}-27,700$.

## FIELD PROCEDURE

The seismic field crew maintained headquarters in Augusta, Georgia, and drove a distance of some 30 miles each way to and from the Savannah River Plant. The field equipment was inspected and field crew personnel were processed through employment, security, and

safety orientation on September 14 and 15,1971 , and received identification badges which permitted access of personnel and equipment onto the Savannah River Plant. Field operations also commenced on September 15, 1971.

The seismic lines through the brush and timber were cut by the Engineering Department, Consrruction Division of du Pont. No line cutting was required along the roads and railroad. Permission was granted by the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad to perform seismic operations along the railroad right-of-way.

For this third seismic survey, tractor mounted field equipment was used because of its mobility and adaptability to the Savannah River Plant terr. in. The same continuous profile technique as usea on the second survey (straddle spreads covering 900-75-0-75-900 feet.) was employed for this latter work. Ten HSJ-14 Hz geophones were used; however, they were spaced 10 feet instead of 5 feet apart across each station.

The shotholes ranged in depth from 40 to 130 feet with the deeper holes generally required in areas of higher topography as the weathering seemed to thicken over the hills. The majority of the holes were shot at depths of 70 to 80 feet using a 1-pound charge of Nitramon or Primacord.

The seismic data were recorded digitally using the same instruments as were employed on the second survey assignment. These were TI DFS-III digital instruments from which "read-after-write" paper monitor records were made simultaneously and later used for weathering and normal uphole computations.

## PROCESSING

A normal uphole method was used to correct the reflection times to a common reference datum of +200 feet above sea level using an elevation velocity of 5500 feet per second. A summation method employing the first breaks was computed on Line 6 . However, an IBM program was used in computing the individual trace statics for the replay sections. A diagram on page 9 illustrates the computational procedure. A depth of from 5 to 10 feet below the deepest shothole was selected as the reference plane for each line in these computations. These corrections were checked against the hand computed normal uphole corrections.

The digital tapes were processed at the SSC Digital Replay Center in Tulsa, Oklahoma, where preliminary galvo-area sections were prepared after applying static and normal moveout corrections. The same $25-35-65-80 \mathrm{~Hz}$ filter as used on the second survey was applied to all the new lines. However, Line 7 was also processed with a time variant filter in an effort to improve the quality of some shallow


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { EC }=\frac{\text { DATUM - ELEVATION + DS }}{V C O R} \\
& T C=\frac{\text { DATUM }- \text { ELEVATION + RP }}{V C O R} \\
& V R E F=\frac{R P}{\frac{R P-D S}{V C O R}+U P H O L E} \\
& W C=\frac{-R P}{V R E F} \\
& \text { STATIC }=E C+T C+W C
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { REFERENCE PLANE }=5 \text { TO } 10 \text { FEET BELOW }
$$

DEEPEST SHOTHOLE
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data. From these preliminary sections, time values were picked, correlations made, and fiducial statics were taken for later use in preparation of the final galvo-area sections. Both the mapping events and many other miscellaneous dips were colored on the final galvo-area digital replay sections.

The time values taken from the preliminary replay sections were used in preparing the small preliminary work sections contained in the calcuiation book. In addition, these time values were converted to depth and both time and depth values were hand plotted on large scale cross sections. Also the miscellaneous steep dips were calculated and migrated to their proper two-dimensional location on these sections.


In an effort to obtain seismic data across the Par Pond on Line 8 some special geophone cables with 10 hydrophone stations spaced 60 feet apart, using 5 hydrophones per station, 10 feet apart, on a straddle spread (300-60-0-60-300 feet) were prepared and these were towed by an AEC boat. The hydrophones were 9 feet below the water surface and the energy source was Primacord detonated at the bottom of the lake. Shotpoints 652-L through 660-L were shot over the lake but the results were not very satisfactory.

In addition to the regular filter used, this portion of Line 8 was processed with a $15-30-110-150 \mathrm{~Hz}$ filter because of the high frequency indicated by the monitor records.

## DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

Gen ral
Three subsurface structural maps, one isopach map, and one velocity gradient map are presented with this report. They are designated as:

## Structural

Near Top Saprolite
Near Top Triassic
Near Top Crystalline Rock
Isopach
Near Top Saprolite to Near Top Crystalline Rock Velocity Gradient Map

Near Top Crystalline Rock and Near Top Saprolite
In general the record quality is fair over the northern portion of Lines 7, 8, 3-Extension, and 4-Extension but deteriorates as these lines approach the intersection with Line 6 . The record quality is very poor on parts of Lines $6,9,10$, and 1 -Extension; however, the quality improves on Line l-Extension south of Shotpoint 710. Quite a number of holes were reshot at different hole depths in these poor record areas to seek an improvement in the data quality. Not all but some of these reshoots were successful.

The data from the two previous surveys are incorporated with that of the third survey and some minor depth changes have been made in the Near Top Crystalline Rock and Near Top Saprolite data on Lines 1,3 , and 4 resulting from a change in the Velocity Gradient Map to obtain a more precise tie to the Tuscaloosa (Cretaceous) marker in the DRB-9 hole.

The second survey which tied to a number of test holes indicated the presence of an areal velocity gradient to the Crystalline Rock. From this third survey some additional seismic information to the Near Top Crystalline Rock and Near Top Saprolite were obtained at the north end of Lines 7 and 8. Without any well ties, the precise velocities in these areas are indeterminate. Consequently, the average velocities applied to these horizons are only estimated values. The average velocities applied to the northern part of Lines 3-Extension and 4-Extension are based on the attitude of the velocity gradient map which is also lacking for lateral control. A constant average velocity of 5875 feet per second was applied to the Near Top Saprolite event on Lines 1, 3-Extension, 4-Extension, 7, and 8 in the area south of the major Crystalline Rock fault trace. This velocity is based on the tie to the Tuscaloosa marker ( -603 feet) in the DRB-9 hole. Also a constant average velocity of 6025 feet per second was used in the Near Top Triassic time-to-depth conversions
which gave satisfactory seismic ties at both the DRB-10 and P5R holes.

A two-way time versus velocity plot was made from the DRB-10 hole velocity information. A reduction of 200 feet per second was made in the average velocities shown by this curve to obtain the velocities to the various miscellaneous reflections below the Top Triassic.

Reduced prints of the three structural, isopach, and velocity gradient maps are submitted as pages $14,15,16,17$, and 18 of this report.

Detailed Discussion

## Near Top Saprolite

The seismic event mapped over the northern portion of the Savannah River Plant is designated as Near Top Saprolite but appears to originate from a Cretaceous (Tuscaloosa) sandstone slightly above the Saprolite as indicated by the 3-D Velocity Log run in the DRB- 8 hole and as indicated by the synthetic seismogram prepared from the 3-D Velocity Log run in the DRB-9 hole.

The contour interpretazion exhibits mild south dip at a rate of approximately ' 'eet per mile. Superimposed on the re- $^{\text {'er }}$. gional dip are several irregular anticlinal nosings generally plunging southward. One such nosing is shown at the north end of Line 4 .
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The data from both Lines 7 ard 8 are not seismically tied at this level; consequently, the correlations shown could be in error.

The trace of the major fault that cuts the Crystalline Rock and strikes north of the DRB-9 hole is shown on this map as a disturbed zone. Although this horizon may not actually be faulted, minor disturbances in continuity across this zone are noted on several lines. A minor fault, downthrown to the southeast, strikes between Shotpoints 608 and 609 on Line 7. Approximately 50 feet of displacement is indicated at this level.

The data at this level terminate at a zone of discontinuity south of Shotpoint 646 on Line 8, Shotpoint 787 on Line 3 -Extension, Shotpoint 12 on Line 1, Shotpoint 750 on Line 4 -Extension, and Shotpoint 593 on Line 7. This zone may represent Triassic faulting as discussed later, but does mark a line of facies change in the Cretaceous as demonstrated by the absence of the reflecting horizon in the $\log$ of the DRB-10 borehole to the south.

## Near Top Triassic

The seismic event designated as Near Top Triassic is mapped throughout the southern portion of the Savannah River Plant and as far north as the southern limit of the Near Top Saprolite map. This shallower event appears to obscure the Triassic reflection because the Triassic formation extends farther north (as evidenced by 1590 feet of Triassic strata penetrated by the DRB-9 hole) and truncates the

Crystalline Rock in the vicinity of the major fault immediately north of the DRB-9 hole. The continuity and quality of this event is very poor over much of the southern area. Some of these zones where loss of continuity occurs have been interpreted as faulting. All the faults appear to be minor normal faults and generally exhibit a displacement of 100 feet or less.

## Faults

A short general description of each of the faults encountered at the Near Top Triassic level follows:

The northern limit of the Near Top Triassic seismic data is bounded by a possible minor fault, downthrown to the northwest, cutting Line 7 from 300 to 450 feet south of Shotpoint 593 ; Line 4 Extension from 100 to 400 feet south of Shotpoint 750 ; Line 1 from 50 feet south to 300 feet north of Shotpoint 13 ; and Line 3 -Extension from 100 to 300 feet south of Shotpoint 787. The fault either truncates the major fault to the northeast or changes strike to cut Line 8 between Shotpoints 646 and 647 . The fault cutting Line 8 also could be a different fault with a different strike than that which is shown. The decision for the direction of the throw on this possible fault is based on the interval of 136 feet between the Tuscaloosa (-603 feet) and Top Triassic (-739 feet) in the DRB-9 hole. If this interval thickness is added to the Near Top Saprolite depths
to project Top Triassic depths across the fault, these values would imply a minor displacement to the northwest of approximately 30 feet.

This line of demarkation may not, however, represent faulting, but rather only an abrupt southerly termiution of the Cretaceous reflector. The projected interval between the Cretaceous and Triassic reflection events is such that energy representing the Triassic surface would appear approximately one cycle after the Cretaceous, when the latter is present. Thus this Triassic energy would be effectively obscured and coalesced with the Cretaceous generated energy.

The mechanics of such abrupt facies termination still suggest, however, fault controlled genesis. A few steeply dipping and diffracted events observed on the time sections are calculated to emanate from near or within this zone of discontinuity, further substantiating the presence of faulting along this lineament.

The small fault, downthrown to the south with about 30 feet of displacement, cutting Line 1 about 200 to 400 feet south of Shotpoint 30 possibly extends eastward across Line 3 -Extension between Shotpoints 812 and 813. It appears to be dieing-out towards the west as evidence is very weak where it possibly crosses Line 6 between Shotpoints 535 and 536 and Line 4 -Extension between Shotpoints 765 and 766 .

The fault, upthrown to the south, previously interpreted as striking midway between Shotpoints 40 and 41 on Line 1 exhibits 60 feet of displacement and may extend eastward to cut Line 9 between Shotpoints 687 and 688 . This fault possibly extends westward to cut Line 10 Letween Shotpoints 721 and 722. The fault evidence is weak on Line 10 as the record quality is very questionable from Shotpoints 716 to 722. No evidence of this fault cutting either Line 6 or Line 7 is detected.

Another fault, upthrown to the south with possibly 50 feet of displacement appears to cut Line 1 between Shotpoints 48 and 49 and Line 1-Extension between Shotpoints 693 and 694 . The eastward as well as the westward trace of this fault is uncertain because of lack of seismic control. The westward trace has been inferred as striking between Shotpoints 735 and 734 on Line 10.

Two additional faults, both downthrown to the south, are interpreted as cutting Line l-Extension between Shotpoints 703 and 704 and between Shotpoints 708 and 709. The displacement on these faults appear to be slightly in excess of 100 feet. The evidence for these two faults is considered fair to good. Because of the single line control the strike of these faults is uncertain; however, the northernmost fault has been implied as striking westward to cut Line 7 between Shotpoints 576 and 577 .

Another fault, downthrown to the south, appears to cut Line 9 between Shotpoints 671 and 672. Slightly more than 100 feet of displacement is shown. This fault may strike northeasterly across Line 8 between Shotpoints 662 and 663 , although the fault evidence across Line 8 is not conclusive.

## Structure

The structural behavior as revealed by the contour interpretation of the Near Top Triassic map shows gentle southeastward undulating dip at an approximate rate of 50 feet per mile. This regional trend is interrupted by the faulting as previously described.

A gap in the data exists north of the intersection of Line 6 with Line 8. This gap represents part of the area across Par Pond. The quality of the data over the lake is not considered very reliable. If the correlation as shown is correct, either a broad anticlinal nose or possible fault closure exists between Line 8 and Line 3 -Extension. The strike of the fault that cuts Line 8 between Shotpoints 646 and 647 is uncertain.

The regional southeast plunge appears to terminate into a synclinal zone that extends eastward from Shotpoint 720 (Line 10) along the downthrown side of the fault cutting Line 1 between Shotpoints 40 and 41 .

Sou' of this synclinal area, a horst block appear to be present with higher $\operatorname{Triassic}$ structure indicated thereon, although the seismic control is sparse and does not adequately define the structural attitude. The data from Shotpoints 41 to 45 on Line 1 are considered reliable. Shotpoints 724 to 731 on Li.e 10 exhibit some of the better data obtained in the southern area. The quality of the data south of Shotpoint 50 on Line 1 as well as from Shotpoints 694 to 703 on Line 1 -Extension is considered weak.

The deepest Near Top Triassic data were recorded at the extreme southeast end of Line 1-Extension. Shotpoint 715 appears to be approximately 235 feet lower at the Top Triassic than at the DRB-10 hole near Shotpoint 27 on Line 1. A flattening or possible nosing may be contoused around Shotpoints 710 and 711 in the downthrown block of the fault striking between Shotpoints 708 and 709.

## Near Top Crystalline Rock

Very little additional Crystalline Rock data were gained from this third survey assignment to add to the data shown in the northern portion of the Savannah River Plant obtained from the previous assignment.

The most important detail developed by this new control is probably the location of a major fault, downthrown to the southeast, which strikes between Shotpoints 603 and 604 on Line 7; between Shotpoints 740 and 741 on Line 4-Extension; between Shotpoints

5 and 4 (original Line 1 ); between Shotpoints 781 and 782 on Line $3-$ Extension; and between Shotpoints 634 and 635 on Line 8 . This fault may bifurcate before reaching Line 8 so that a second fault may strike between Shotpoints 635 and 636 .

Less than a mile north of this major fault, a minor fault, also downthrown to the southeast with approximately 50 to 70 feet of displacement, cuts Line 4 between Shotpoints 400 and 737 and probably extends westward to cut Line 7 between Shotpoints 608 and 609 . This fault appears to die-out between Lines 4 and 1 . The Crystalline Rock data appear to steepen in this downthrown block as it approaches the major fault.

Some weak evidence of a very minor fracture or small fault, downthrown to the northwest, is present between Shotpoints 619 and 620 on Line 7. If this fault exists, it appears to be dieing-out towards the east because of the small amount of displacement.

The Crystalline Rock data at the north end of Lines 7 and 8 were converted to depth with estimated average velocities of 6100 $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ and $5900 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$, respectively, based on the general attitude shown ty the velocity gradient map. The Crystalline Rock reflection from these two lines is not tied seismically to that event mapped in the north-central part of the plant area.

No Crystalline Rock data are available south of the major fault. A very steep miscellaneous dip iocated north of the DRB-9 hole on Line 1 appears to dip in such a manner as to tie to the Crysstalline Rock ( -2328 feet) in this hole. Only miscellaneous dips at various levels were recorded. Reflection continuity across no more than one or two spreads can be seen on the replay sections. The reason for the absence of a Crystalline Rock reflection is believed to be the presence of the intervening hard Triassic strata whose density and velocity approaches that of the Crystalline Rock so that there is not a sufficient velocity contrast between the two interfaces to produce a continuous reflecting event. The velocities provided by the 3-D Velocity Log in the DRB-10 hole which bottomed at -3955 feet after penetrating more than 3000 feet of Triassic section show interval velocities of 18,600 feet per second in the bottom part of the hole as compared to an interval velocity of 19,600 feet per second measured through more than 900 fect of Crystalline Rock at the DRB- 8 hole.

> | Isopach Map: | Near Top Saprolite to |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Near Top Crystalline Rock |

The thickness of the sedimentary section becween the Near Top Saprolite and Near Top Crystalline Rock horizons is depicted by the isopach map submitted with this report. Very little additional control on this interval thickness is supplied at the north end of
the supplemental lines. The uncertainty of the correlations on Lines 7 and 8 may render these data suspect. These data do indicate a general southward thickening.

## Velocity Gradient Map

The Velocity Gradient Map depicts the variable average velocities applied to the Near Top Saprolite and Near Top Crystalline Rock reflections in the northern part of the survey.

A minor change of the average velocity gradifnt of the second survey report was made at the south end in which the gradient lines were shifted westward to accommodate a more precise tie at the DRB-9 hole to the Tuscaloosa (-603 feet) or Near Top Saprolite map. However, there is no lateral well control to determine the accuracy in the spacing of the contours as shown. Consequently, a constant average velocity of 5875 feet per second was applied to the Near Top Saprolite event south of the trace of the major Crystalline Rock fault as well as all Saprolite data on both Lines 7 and 8 .

## CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The results of the third seismic survey provided a considerable amount of structural information on the attitude of the Top Triassic formation across the southern portion of the Savannah River Plant. In general, the south to southeast regional trend is interrupted by several minor faults. Two possible basins separated by a
horst are suggested by the data. One basin may be depicted by the syncline striking through Shotpoint. 720 on Line 10 and the other appears to be south of the plant boundary as indicated by the deeper data recorded at the extreme southern end of Line 1 -Extension.

Very little additional control was obtained at the Near Top Saprolite and Near Top Crystalline Rock levels. However, the major fault at the Crystalline Rock level that was previously interpreted as cutting Line 1 north of the DRB- 9 hole appears to have been fairly well defined on Lines 7, 4-Extension, 3-Extension, and 8. No Crystalline Rock data were obtained south of this fault as only sporadic miscellaneous dips are observed on the replay sections. Insufficient velocity contrast between the Base Triassic and Top Crystalline Rock interface fails to produce a mappable reflection.

The inability to map the Top Crystalline Rock in the southern portion of the Savannah River Plant with the seismic program prevents mapping the thickness of the rriassic formation and
thereby detecting the position of a Triassic basin. A preliminary analog gravity analysis was made by the Birdwell Division of SSC and the results of this study indicate that a gravity survey may offer some additional information on the possible faulting as well as the structural attitude of the Crystalline Rock.
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APPENDIX I

## INDEX MAP OF SOUTH CAROLINA


$\square$ AREA SURVETEO

| Scale |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 10 | 20 | 30 | $40 \quad 30$ |

## PHYSIOGRAPHY

Topography:

Population:
Culture:

Drainage:

Soil:

Weather:

Roads :

Access to area from headquarters:

Traverse difficulties:

## Rolling plains

Unpopulated
Brushland, timber; U. S. Atomic Energy Commission's Savannah River Plant

Well drained in a southeasterly direction, furnished by streams tributary to the Savannah River

Brown, clay, sandy
Gererally fair, some rain
Plentiful
Via U. S. Highway No. 78 and South Carolina Highway No. 125, an average distance of about 30 miles from headquarters

Caused by: mud, swamps, drainage channels, timber

| Near-surface formation: | Barnwell formation of Jackson group of Eocene age |
| :---: | :---: |
| Subsurface log: | DRB-9 (near Shotpoint 56) |
| Dry hole | Elevation: <br> Tuscaloosa (?) <br> clay 302 ft . K. B. <br>  -603 |
|  | Top Triassic -739 |
|  | Hard Triassic -766 |
|  | Crystalline Rock -2328 <br> T. D. 2701 ft . |
| Major unconformities: | Between Eocene and Cretaceous |
|  | Between Cretaceous and Triassic |
|  | Between Triassic and Cambrian |
| Regional dip: | Approximately southeast |
| Type structures expected: | Folded structures: domes, anticlines; fault traps, stratigraphic traps |
| Well control: | Tied to 12 wells or dry holes in area of prospect |


| Method used: | Continuous profiling |
| :---: | :---: |
| Spreads used: | Straddle |
| Normal length: | 900 feet, between shotpoints |
| Distance from shothole to <br> close geophone stations: | 75 feet |
| Number of geophone stations adjacent to hole: | 2 |
| Relation of far geophone stations to interlocking shotholes: | At hole |
| Geonhones used: | HSJ 14 Hz |
| Number per trace: | 10 |
| Connection: | Series |
| Spacing in group: | 10 feet |
| Arrangement in group: | Straddling station, in line |
| Instrumentation: |  |
| Type amplifiers: | TI DFS-III |
| Number of channels: | 24 |
| Filter settings: |  |
| Monitor and tape: | 27 to 248 Hz at 70.7\% amplitude response |
| Replay playback: | 25-35-65-80 Hz on all lines |
| Replay playback: | Time Variant on Line 7 |
|  | $15-30-75-90 \mathrm{~Hz} 0$ to .350 sec . |
|  | $15-30-65-80 \mathrm{~Hz} .350$ to .500 sec . |
|  | $10-20-60-70 \mathrm{~Hz}$. 500 to 3.000 sec . |
| Replay playback: | 15-30-110-150 Hz on Line 8 across Par Pond |
| Type mixing: | Top record usually unmixed |
| Type camera: | 24 trace |

## OPERATION METHODS (Contd.)

Shothole data:
Type drilling:
Special equipment used:
Tractor mounted equipment:
Shothole depths:
Normal:
Range:
Number of holes used per location:
Formations encountered:
Best shooting depth:
Dynamite charges:
Norma 1:

Elevation survey:

Operational difficulties:

Rotary
Portable pits
Drilling, recording, shooting
70 to 80 feet
40 to 130 feet, holes cemented 1
Sand, gravel, clay
40 to 70 feet, varies with topography
1 pound of Nitramon or Primacord, holes preloaded

Based on USGS bench marks. All seismic locations on closed elevation traverses tied within maximum limits of seismic accuracy.

Traverse difficulties, caving holes, inaccessibility of prospect
STATION AND SHOT HOLE ARRANGEMENT

| LEGEND |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Shot Hole Under Test |  |
| Adjining Shot Hole | O |
| Station Number | I |
| Line of Traverse | - |
| Geophones per St_cion | io |
| Geophone Spacing | 10 |

```
Basic crew:
    Crew headquarters:
    Starting date:
    Completion date:
    Working hours:
    Number of profiles shot:
    Number of holes shot:
    Linear miles covered:
    Dynamite used:
        Type:
    Number of caps used:
        Normal length leads:
Drilling:
    Number of drills used: }
    Extra drill hours:
    Number of holes drilled:
    Total footage drilled:
    Average length water hauls:
```

    Augusta, Georgia
    September 15, 1971
    October 23, 1971
    270.3 (on plant site)
    712
    356
    53
    366 pounds
    Nitramon and Primacord
    259 and 115
    150 feet and 100 feet
    225.5 cementing or grouting holes
351
28,551 feet
Less than $\frac{1}{2}$ hour per round trip

| Record quality: | Good, fair, poor; medium frequency, high frequency. Record quality deteriorated when weathering thickened over high topography. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Type correction used for final data: | Normal uphole |
| Other corrections calculated: | Summation on Line 6 only |
| Spread correction: | Used; applied to individual traces on replay sections |
| Displacement: | Worked for miscellaneous steep dips; straight line |
| Time reference datum: | +200 feet above sea level |
| Weathering velocity, $\mathrm{v}_{0}$ : | 2500 feet per second |
| Elevation velocity, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{e}}$ : | 5500 feet per second |
| Type replay cross sections prepared: | Galvo-area |
| Final data normally obtained from: | Galvo-area replay sections |

CALCULATION AND INTERPRETATION METHODS (Contd.)
Horizons and interval mapped:
Near Top Saprolite
Time range:
Depth range:Velocity, $\mathrm{va}_{\mathrm{a}}$ :Velocity formula:
205 to 333 milliseconds
205 to 333 milliseconds -400 to -778 feet
Variable feet per second Partially based on Velocity Gradient Map
Near Top Saprolite to
Near Top Crystalline RockThickness range:
Near Top Triassic
Time range:Depth range:Velocity, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}$ :Velocity formula:
Near Top Crystalline RockTime range:
Depth range:
Velocity, $\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{a}}$ :
Velocity formula:

90 to 284 feet, based on difference in calculated depths

322 to 452 milliseconds
-770 to -1162 feet
6025 feet per second Based on ties to DRB-10 and P5R holes

187 to 376 milliseconds

- 368 to -952 feet

Variable feet per second Partially based on Velocity Gradient Map

## DEPTH DETERMINATION FOR CONTINUOUS PROFILING Using Normal Uphole Corrections



## LEGEND

S - Shotpoint Location
G - Geophone Location
$x$ - Surfece Distance
ds - Depth of Charge
$d_{w}$ - Thickness of Low Velocity Layer
${ }^{\mathrm{t}}$ s - Uphole Time
EO - Elavation or Reference Datum
2 - Depth below ED
tr $_{r}$ - Recorded Refleciion Time
${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{c}$ - Corrected Reflection Time
$\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{x}}$ - Normal Moveout Correction
$v_{o}$ - Average Velocity in Low Velocity Layer
$\mathrm{v}_{\mathrm{e}}$ - Elevation Correction Velocity
va - Average Velocity to Reflecting Horizon

$$
\begin{aligned}
t c_{1} & ={ }^{t} r_{1}-t e s_{1}-t e g_{1}-t s_{1} \\
& ={ }^{t} r_{1}-1 / v_{e}\left(E_{m}^{\prime}-E_{D}\right)-t s_{1} \\
z_{1} & =t v_{a} t c_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
{ }^{t} c_{n}=t^{t} r_{n}-t e_{s_{1}}-t e_{g_{n}}-t s_{2}
$$

$$
z_{n} \quad . \quad v_{a}\left(t_{c_{n}}-t_{x_{n}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { te - de/ve } \\
& t_{w} \text { - } d w / v_{0} \\
& \text { Es - Elevation of Shotpoint } \\
& \text { Eg - Elevation of Geophone } \\
& E_{m} \quad-\left(E_{s}+\varepsilon_{g}\right) \\
& E_{m}^{\prime}=\varepsilon_{m}-d_{s}=\left(E_{s}^{\prime}+\varepsilon_{g}^{\prime}\right) \\
& \varepsilon_{s} \quad-\varepsilon_{s}-d_{s} \\
& E_{g} \text { - } E_{g}-d_{s} \\
& d e_{s}=E_{\dot{s}}^{-E D} \\
& { }^{d} \mathbf{e g}_{g} \text { - } E_{\dot{g}}-E_{0} \\
& t_{x}=\frac{x^{2}}{2 v_{a} t^{2 t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## STEEP DIP CALCULATIONS

Using straight-line assumption


Three-Dimensional Plan


```
MATERIAL SUBMITTED SEPARATELY
Base shotpoint tracing
Sepias with data
All monitor (reaj-after-write) records for the survey
Preliminary replay sections
Large hand-plotted cross sections
Digital tapes
Plane table sheets
Surveyor's field notes
Driller's reports
Replay sections:
    Galvo-area
    Films from which above sections were taken
Calculation book containing:
    Calculation and interpretation methods
    Velocity determinations
    Time-depth conversion tables
    Weachering graph sheets
    Dip calculations
    Small cross sections
    NMO chart
    Surveyor's reports
    Observer's reports
    Shooter's reports
```
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