Appendix

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Consumers Power Company

Docket No. 50-329 Docket No. 50-330

As a result of the inspections conducted on September 20 to October 12, 1982, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy, 47FR9987 (March 9, 1982), the following violations were identified:

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion VI, states in part that, "Measures shall be established to control the issuance of documents . . ."

Consumers Power Company Quality Assurance Program Policy No. 6, Revision 12, dated April 2, 1982, states in part, that, "Documents which prescribe activities affecting quality . . . are . . . controlled . . . and distributed according to a controlled distribution . . . The assigned holders of the document are responsible for maintaining the latest revisions of the documents."

Contrary to the above, the inspectors determined the following two examples of noncompliance:

- 1. The QA department was using a controlled copy of PQCI UP-C-1.013 to make up QC recertification exam questions. This copy of the PQCI was different from a controlled copy obtained from the QC records vault. Both documents were marked revision 0 and dated 8/20/82. There were two pages that were different dealing with the same interface document UP-C-1.008. Furthermore, during the inspection, the licensee could not produce the controlled distribution list for the referenced PQCI.
- Two controlled copies, Manual numbers 1456 and 1369A, of the Bechtel "Quality Control Notices Manual", Procedure G-6.1, which controls PQCIs, were not of the latest revision.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement II).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, you are required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice a written statement or explanation in reply, including for each item of noncompliance: (1) corrective action taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective action to be taken to avoid further noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

NOV O D HAR

Dated

RFWarnick

R. F. Warnick, Acting Director Office of Special Cases

