

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III

Report No. 50-346/82-29(DPRP)

Docket No. 50-346

License No. NPF-3

Licensee: Toledo Edison Company
Edison Plaza
300 Madison Avenue
Toledo, OH 43652

Facility Name: Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station

Inspection At: Oak Harbor, OH

Inspection Conducted: October 4 - 6, 1982

Inspector: *L. A. Reyes for*
M. M. Holzmer

11/3/82

Approved By: *L. A. Reyes*
L. A. Reyes, Chief,
Projects Section 2B

11/3/82

Inspection Summary

Inspection on October 4 - 6, 1982 (Report No. 50-346/82-29(DPRP))

Areas Inspected: Routine, unannounced inspection of Followup on Previous Inspection Findings and Licensed Operator Requalification Training. The inspection involved a total of 16 inspector-hours onsite by one NRC inspector.
Results: Of the two areas inspected, one item of noncompliance was identified in one area (Failure to Review Training Consultants Written Examinations - Paragraph 3).

DETAILS

1. Persons Contacted

- *J. Hickey, Training Manager
- *J. Syrowski, Nuclear Operations Training Supervisor (Acting)
- *R. Peters, Nuclear Licensing Engineer
- *T. Powers, Administrative Coordinator
 - S. Quennoz, Assistant Superintendent - Operations
- *D. Poage, Quality Engineer
- W. O'Connor, Operations Engineer

The inspector also interviewed several other licensee employees including licensed reactor operators and senior reactor operators, operations staff personnel, and instructors.

*Denotes those present at the exit interview on October 6, 1982.

2. Licensee Action on Previous Inspection Findings

(Closed) Noncompliance (346/82-04-01). Licensed operators failing to remain cognizant of design, procedure, and facility license changes. Records were examined to determine whether licensed operators and senior operators were up to date in the required reading portion of the requalification program. The licensee has taken adequate steps to ensure that operators are current in this area.

(Closed) Unresolved Item (50-346/82-04-02). Temporary storage of training records for licensed operators in non-fire related cabinets. All training records for licensed operators are either micro-filmed immediately after the completion or are stored temporarily in a fire rated cabinet.

3. Requalification Training

The inspector verified that any changes made to the requalification program were in conformance with requirements and commitments, verified that the licensee has evaluated the results of the most recent annual examinations, identified deficient areas to be covered in the lecture series and has prepared a schedule for conducting required lectures.

The inspector verified through a review of training records of two licensed Reactor Operators and two licensed Senior Reactor Operators that copies of the most recent annual written examination and the individual's responses were available. The inspector also verified that documentation of attendance at required lectures, control manipulations, performance evaluations, training received in identified deficient areas, and procedure reviews were contained in the training records. There was no requalification training being conducted onsite during the inspection.

a. Documentation Reviewed

- AD 1828.15 Revision 3, Requalification
- AD 1828.10, Revision 1, Davis-Besse Operator Training Program
- Training Records

b. Findings

Noncompliance 346/82-22-01

Administrative procedures for the Operator Requalification Program were not followed in that the Nuclear Operations Training Supervisor did not review and approve a quiz written by a consultant as required by AD 1828.15, Revision 3. Reactor Theory was taught by instructors supplied by the General Physics Corporation during August of 1982. Signatures on the Examination Cover Sheet for the Reactor Theory Quiz indicated that the quiz was prepared and approved by the General Physics instructor.

c. Discussion

Approval of contractor examinations was made more difficult because the acting Nuclear Operations Training Supervisor (NOTS) did not hold a Senior Reactor Operator (SRO) license.

The NOTS also prepares the lecture schedule for requalification training of licensed RO's and SRO's and participates in the lecture program. The inspector stated that it would be advantageous to the licensee to have an individual with an SRO license in that position, and the licensee agreed.

4. Exit Interview

The inspectors met with licensee representatives denoted in Paragraph 1 at the conclusion of the inspection on October 6, 1982. The inspector summarized the scope of the inspection and the findings.