Docket No. 50-20

Dr. John Bernard, Director of Reactor Operations Nuclear Reactor Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology 138 Albany Street Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Dear Dr. Bernard:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We are continuing our review of your application for amendment of Facility Operating License No. R-37 submitted on February 13, 1989 and as supplemented on July 6, 1990. During our review of your application for amendment, questions have arisen for which we require additional information and clarification. Please provide response to the enclosed Request for Additional Information within 60 days of the date of this letter, so that we may continue our evaluation of your application. If you have any question on this review please contact me at (301) 492-1128.

The reporting and/or record keeping requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than ten respondents: therefore, Office of Management and Budget clearance is not required under Public Law 96-511.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Marvin M. Mendonca, Senior Project Manager Non-Power Reactors, Decommissioning and Environmental Project Directorate Division of Advanced Reactors and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

9101230168 910114 PDR ADDCK 05000020 PDR

> Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page

√DISTRIBUTION √Docket file NRC & Local PDRs PDNP r/f DCrutchfield WTravers EHylton AAdams

MMendonca OGC EJordan ACRS (10) PDNP Plant file Region I

[MIT REQUEST FOR ADD'L INFO]



550075

PDNP:PM MMendonca:dmj 1/ 9/91

PDNP:D SWeiss 14/91



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20655

January 14, 1991

Docket No. 50-20

Dr. John Bernard, Director of Reactor Operations Nuclear Reactor Laboratory Massachusetts Institute of Technology 138 Albany Street Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

Dear Dr. Bernard:

SUBJECT: REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

We are continuing our review of your application for amendment of Facility Operating License No. R-37 submitted on February 13, 1989 and as supplemented on July 6, 1990. During our review of your application for amendment, questions have arisen for which we require additional information and clarification. Please provide response to the enclosed Request for Additional Information within 60 days of the date of this letter, so that we may continue our evaluation of your application. If you have any question on this review please contact me at (301) 492-1128.

The reporting and/or record keeping requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than ten respondents: therefore, Office of Management and Budget clearance is not required under Public Law 96-511.

Sincerely,

mendarcom

Marvin M. Mendonca, Senior Project Manager Non-Power Reactors, Decommissioning and Environmental Project Directorate Division of Advanced Reactors and Special Projects Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure: See next page

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

There are still some areas that need to be clarified and/or resolved concerning the MITR-11 request to extend fuel element fission density limit. The information supplied with the request to approve the extension notes that the oxide thickness will increase, but the consequences have not been reported. Specific questions associated with increased oxide are as follows:

- Compare directly the predicted oxide thickness for extended burn-up with the oxide thickness assumed in the FSAR for the presently approved burn-up. Discuss whether the new predictions lead to fuel temperatures above limits previously analyzed and approved for normal operation.
- Oxide thickness also affects reactor responses to rapid insertions of reactivity, and, perhaps, other MIT accident scenarios. Please review and re-analyze all potential accidents and discuss whether FSAR conclusions would remain valid with the projected increases in oxide thickness.
- 3. The increased oxide thickness will decrease the hydraulic diameter of the grooves. This will result in increased pressure losses due to friction and to decreased coolant velocities in the grooves. Please provide analyses of the impact of these changes on hot-channel factors, and assess to what extent the decreased coolant velocities affect the oxide build-up or other crud deposition in the grooves. Unless justification can be provided that grooves do not become clogged, please provide analyses of fuel temperature conditions both in steady state and potential accident scenarios with the grooves filled with oxide.
- 4. The thermal conductivity assumed for the oxide on the fuel plates appears to be inconsistent. The response to the request for information dated 11/28/89 states a thermal conductivity of 2.0 Btu/hr=°F-ft. The conductivity used will influence fuel plate temperatures, transient response to accidents, and additional oxide growth since the oxide-aluminum interface temperature controls oxide growth. Please justify the use of the 2.0 Btu/hr=°F-ft in your analyses, or re-analyze reactor behavior with the Griese value of 1.3 Btu/hr=°F-ft.

Docket No. 50-20

Massachusetts Institute of Technology

1 %

.

100

1

cc: City Manager City Hall Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139

> Assistant Secretary for Policy Executive Office of Energy Resources 100 Cambridge Street, Room 1500 Boston, Massachusetts 02202

Department of Environmental Quality Engineering 100 Cambridge Street Boston, Massachusetts 02108