

0

PERRY NUCLEAR POWER PLANT 10 CENTER ROAD PERRY, OHIO 44081 (216) 259-3737 Mail Address: P.O. BOX 97 PERRY, OHIO 44081

Michael D. Lyster Vice President - Nuclear

January 17, 1991 PY-CEI/NRR-1294 L

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

> Perry Nuclear Power Plant Docket No. 50-440 LER 90-040

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report 90-040 for the Perry Nuclear Power Plant.

Sincerel

Michael D. Lyster

MDL:SC::njc

Enclosure: LER 90-040

cc: NRR Project Manager Sr. Resident Inspector

> U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

9101220464 910117 PDR ADOCK 05000440 S PDR

Operating Units Cleveland Electric Illuminating Toledo Edison



NRC FÖNN 366 U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATOR	RY COMMISSION	1	APPROVED ON	6 NO. 3150-0104	alle and d all any or it is the location		
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)			ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REGUEST 50.0 HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (# 630). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.				
FACILITY NAME (1)		DOC	KET NUMBER (2)		IE SOAR		
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit   0			15 0 0 0 4 4 0 1 OF 0 3				
Reactor Water Cleanup System Isolation C	aused by	a Blown	Fuse Whi	le			
EVENT DATE (6) LER NUMBER (6) REPORT DATE (7)	and the set of the set of the	OTHER FAC	ULITIES INVOLVE	D (8)			
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR STOUENTIAL MEVBON MONTH DAY YEAR	TH DAY YEAR YEAR STOLENTIAL REVENT MONTH DAY YEAR FACILITY NAMES						
			0	151010	0111		
1 2 1 8 9 0 9 0 0 4 0 0 0 1 1 7 9 1 THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF	10 CFR 5. (Chart one or more of the following) (1			0 15 10 10 101			
MOOT (9) 4 20.406(c) 20.406(c)	Xw	0.73(a)(2)(iv)		73.71(b)			
POWER 20.406(s)(1)(i) 80.36(s)(1)		0.731a7(2)(v)		73.71(c)			
(10) OLOLO 29.496(a)(1)(6) 50.36(a)(2)	(10) 01 01 0 20 408(a)(1)(ii) 50.38(c)(2) 50.73(a)(2)(vii)			DTHER (Specify in Abstract			
20.496(a1(1)(it)) 60.73(a1(2)(it)) 60.73(a1(2)(it))		0.73(a)(2)(viit)(A)		366.41			
20.405 (a1(1)(iii) 50.72 (a1(2)(ii) 20.405 (a1(1)(iii) 50.72 (a1(2)(ii))		0.73(#)(2)(viii)(B)					
LICENEES CONTACT FOR THE	S LER (12)	G. / BOB/12/18/					
NAME			TE	LEPHONE NUMB	ER.		
Henry L. Regrat, Compliance Engineer, Extension	6855		AREA CODE	15191+	3 17 13 17		
COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILUR	E DESCRIBED IN	N THIS REPORT	13)		E. L. J. F.		
CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT MANUFAC PEPORTABLE CAUS	E SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC TURER	REPORTABLE TO NPROS			
			1.1.1				
		111	( in the				
SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)	and a second state of the second state	and a construction of the second second	EXPECTED	MONTH	DAY YEAR		
YES I'V AN ADDRESS & KPECTED SUMMISSION DATEL			DATE (15)				
YES III on compare EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!XNOABSTBACT (Limit No 1400 space is comparation of the Reactor Water Cleanup (RWCU) system ou opening with the RWCU LD (Leak Detection) Iso Division 1 RWCU outboard isolation occurred. Plant was in Operational Condition 4 (Cold Sh (RPV) temperature was 120 degrees F and react Immediate corrective action was taken to susp affected valves had isolated properly.The root cause of this event is indeterminate was performing a work order that required lif wire being relugged had been verified to be d commencing work. Precautions to prevent inad However, the RWCU isolation occurred at about lugs, iadicating that the events were probabl that blew was in close proximity on both the corresponding to the two lugs that were lifteThe corrective actions taken for this event i tools for indications of shorting, replacing system to normal. This event will be discuss training and at Operator requalification trai such events during troubleshooting and repair	a work tboard i lation B At the utdown). or press end work personn ting a w eenergiz vertent the sam y relate test swi d. ncluded the fuse ed at I& ning, to activit	order to solation ypass sw time of Reacto ure was and to el error rire and to shorting te time and tch and of inspections and res C Section stress to ies.	correct valves [ itch in " occurren r Pressur atmospher verify th . An I&C relugging th ends p were tak s the lif ng to the on the re as to the pa storing t n concinu	the caus ISV] not Normal", ce, the e Vessel ic. at all Technic it. Th rior to en. ting of two fus lay nel and he RWCU ing tial for	e a ian e the es		

à.

NRC FORM 366A (5-89)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO	APPROVED OM& NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92				
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION		ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REDUEST SOD HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS RECARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P.530). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20055. AND TO THE PARERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). DFFICE OF MARAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)			
		YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER				
Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit	1 0 15 0 0 0 1/ 41	0 0 0 - 0 1410 - 0 0	012 OF 0 13			

On December 18, 1990 at 0108 while performing a work order to correct the cause of the Reactor Water Cleanup [CE] (RWCU) system outboard isolation valves [ISV] not opening with the RWCU LD (Leak Detection) Isolation Bypass switch [HS] in "Normal", a Division 1 RWCU outboard isolation occurred. This Engineered Safety Feature (ESF) actuation is reportable under 10CFR50.7 . It the time of occurrence, the Plant was in Operational Condition 4 (Guin Shutdown). Reactor Pressure Vessel [RPV] temperature was 120 degrees Fahrenheit and reactor pressure was atmospheric.

A work order was initiated on December 17, 1990 to determine why the appropriate RWCU isolation valves failed to open when the Division 1 RWCU LD Isolation Bypass Switch was placed in "Normal" while performing a cold startup of the RWCU system in accordance with the System Operating Instruction, Sal-G33, "Reactor Water Cleanup System". Troubleshooting revealed an open circuit at a lug-to-wire crimp connection in the RWCU isolation circuitry. While lifting the wire under a work order to replace the lug, a 5 amp fuse [FU] blew deenergizing relays in control circuitry for the Division 1 RWCU outboard isolation valves, causing them to close. A second 5 amp fuse also blew, in the leak detection system, affecting several Control Room alarms and two Emergency Response Information System (ERIS) data points. Immediate corrective action was taken to suspend work and to verify that all affected valves had isolated properly. Following determination of the cause, work was completed, fuses were replaced and the RWCU system was returned to normal. Control Room indication was also verified to have been restored.

The root cause of this event is indeterminate personnel error by an I&C Technician. The wire being relugged had been verified to be deenergized at both ends prior to commencing work. The Responsible System Engineer observed the work and conducted a thorough inspection of the panel following the event. Precautions to prevent inadvertent shorting had been taken and no indication of shorting to ground could be found on any of the tools used in the panel or on components within the panel. The RWCU isolation occurred at about the same time as the lifting of the lugs however, indicating that the events were probably related. Wiring to the two fuses that blew was landed in close proximity on both the test switch and the relay corresponding to the two lugs that were lifted. Working conditions in these panels are cramped and long reaches were required to remove this wire. It is assumed that the I&C technician inadvertently introduced a short during the repair activity.

Contributing to this event was the decision by the I&C Technician, the Responsible System Engineer, the I&C Work Pl'.nner and the Unit Supervisor to perform this work with the circuitry only partially deenergized. The RWCU System was not required to be operating at the time of this event and if the test circuitry had been completely deenergized, the probability of unanticipated isolations would have been reduced. Plant administrative procedures assign responsibility for the safe planning of work to the Work Planner and safe execution of the work

U.S. NUCLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION NHC FORM SHE'S APPROVED DALE NO. 3180-0104 CHPIRES 4/30/02 EFTIMATED BURDEN OR RESPONSE TO COM INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 80.0 H COMMENTS REQUESTION BURDEN ESTIMATE TO AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F450). REQUESTORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC THE FARFEWORK REQUESTION "ROJECT 31560 OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON. LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION PACILITY MAME IT DOCKEY NUMBER (2) LER NUMBER IS PAGE (3 PRER NUMBER YEAR 0 5 0 0 0 4 4 0 9 0 - 4 0 - 0 0 0 3 OF 0 3 Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1

to the Unit Supervisor. Although all of these individuals agree that the work could be safely performed wich the circuit partially deenergized more prudent decision would have been to completely deenergize the circuitry involved. Also contributing to this event, as well as to many of the previous events of this type, is the design of the cabinets within which the circuitry is contained. The cabinets are deep and narrow, resulting in cramped working conditions.

Prinious events were identified in which inadvertent shorting occurred during troubleshooting and repair efforts which resulted in actuations of Engineered Safety Features (see LERs 89-013, 87-016, 86-027, 86-026, 86-009 and 86-003). These events resulted in counseling or disciplinary action, training for I&C technicians and enhancements to the testability configurations of certain circuits. The circuitry involved in this event was not involved in any previous events.

The Nuclear Steam Supply Shutoff System closes all automatically operated, non-ECCS or non-safety system isolation values, including the RWCU system isolation values, in the event of a Loss of Coolant Accident (LOCA) or any other event that should initiate the isolation of containment. During this event, the Division 1 RWCU isolation values were inoperable. The Division 2 values, however, were operable and would have provided adequate isolation upon receipt of an NS isolation signal. Therefore, this event is not considered safety significant.

The corrective actions taken for this event included insperting the panel and tools for indications of shorting, replacing the fuses and restoring the RWCU system to normal. The individuals who performed the evolution have been significantly involved with the investigation. This event will be discussed at I&C Section continuing training and at Operator requalification training, stressing the potential for such events during troubleshooting and repair activities.

Energy Industry Identification System Codes are identified in the text as [XX].