### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

8211170342 821116

382

PDR ADOC

LOUISIANA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

Docket No. 50-382

(Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3)

### NRC STAFF'S RESPONSE TO JOINT INTERVENORS' MOTION TO RECONSIDER AND REOPEN

On June 15, 1982, the Joint Intervenors filed their "Motion to Reconsider Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Order of September 13 [sic], 1979 and Ruling of May 12, 1982, to Reopen Operating License Hearings and/or Hold New Operating License Hearings" ("Motion"). In their Motion, the Joint Intervenors request that the Licensing Board reconsider its ruling of September 12, 1979, which had excluded from litigation their proposed Contentions 10, 11, 13 and 14. In addition, the Joint Intervenors request that the Licensing Board reconsider its ruling of May 12, 1982, which had denied their motion to hold open the record to consider the health effects of nuclear waste (see Tr. 3930-39 and 3976-78). Finally, the Joint Intervenors request that seven new contentions be admitted for litigation in this proceeding, based upon the Court of Appeals' decision in <u>Natural Resources Defense Council, Inc</u>. v. <u>NRC</u>, Civ. Action No. 74-1586 (D.C. Cir., April 27, 1982).

For the reasons set forth below, the NRC Staff ("Staff") opposes

Certified By

Joint Intervenors' Motion and recommends that it be denied. $\frac{1}{}$ 

# A. The Admissibility of Contentions 10, 11, 13 and 14.

The Licensing Board's Order of September 12, 1979, held that Contentions 10, 11, 13 and 14 were inadmissible on the grounds that (1) they raised a subject which is being addressed by the Commission's ongoing "waste confidence" generic rulemaking proceeding (Order at 3-4), $\frac{2}{}$  and (2) they raised an issue (the possible future need to enlarge the facility's spent fuel pool) which was beyond the scope of the pending license application and was outside the Licensing Board's jurisdiction (<u>id</u>., at 4-5). These rulings were entirely correct when made and continue to be valid at this time; the Joint Intervenors have not demonstrated any reason why reconsideration as to the admissibility of there contentions is now appropriate. Accordingly, the Staff opposes the admission of proposed Contentions 10, 11, 13 and 14.

## B. The Admissibility of Contentions 30-36.

On May 12, 1982, the Joint Intervenors orally requested that the record be allowed to remain open to permit litigation of the health effects of nuclear waste, based upon the Court of Appeals' decision in <u>NRDC</u> v. <u>NRC</u>, <u>supra</u>. In denying the Joint Intervenors' request, the Licensing Board observed that the Joint Intervenors had been unable to

<sup>1/</sup> This response is filed pursuant to the extension of time granted by the Licensing Board in its Order of July 19, 1982.

<sup>2/ &</sup>quot;Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on Storage and Disposal of Nuclear Waste," 44 Fed. Reg. 61,372 (Oct. 25, 1979).

explain the holding and significance of the decision in <u>NRDC</u> v. <u>NRC</u> (Tr. 3939), but noted that the Licensing Board might be receptive to a motion to reopen once counsel for Joint Intervenors had read that decision and could advise the Roard as to its significance (<u>id</u>.).

The Joint Intervenors' Motion responds to the Licensing Board's comments, and raises seven new contentions concerning the health effects and uncertainties associated with the disposal of high level waste. Those contentions agair raise an issue which is the subject of the Commission's waste confidence generic rulemaking proceeding, which the Commission has specifically directed should not be litigated in individual Picense proceedings. <u>44 Fed. Reg.</u> 61,372. <u>See generally, Potomac</u> <u>Electric Power Co</u>. (Douglas Point Nuclear Generating Station, Units 1 and 2), ALAB-218, 8 AEC 79, 85 (1974). In addition, the contentions seek to raise Table S-3 issues in reliance upon <u>NRDC</u> v. <u>NRC</u>, which the Commission has now directed should not be litigated:

> [T]he Commission directs its Licensing and Appeal Boards to proceed in continued reliance on the Final S-3 rule until further order from the Commission, provided that any license authorizations or other decisions issued in reliance on the rule are conditioned on the final outcome of the judicial proceedings.

Statement of Policy, "Licensing and Regulatory Policy and Procedures for Environmental Protection; Uranium Fuel Cycle Impacts," 47 <u>Fed. Reg</u>. 50,591, 50,593 (Nov. 8, 1982). Accordingly, litigation of these contentions should not be permitted at this time.

- 3 -

# CONCLUSION

For the reasons set forth above, the Staff opposes the Joint Intervenors' Motion and recommends that it be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

Sherwin ETurk

Sherwin E. Turk Counsel for NRC Staff

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 16th day of November, 1982.

1

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

LOUISIANA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

Docket No. 50-382

(Waterford Steam Electric Station, Unit 3)

### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of NRC STAFF'S RESPONSE TO JOINT INTERVENORS' MOTION TO RECONSIDER AND REOPEN in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, or, as indicated by an asterisk, through deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 16th day of November, 1982.

\*Sheldon J. Wolfe, Esq., Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Walter H. Jordan Administrative Judge 881 West Outer Drive Oak Ridge, TN 37830

Dr. Harry Foreman Administrative Judge Box 395, Mayo Minneapolis, MN 55455

E. Blake, Esq. B. Churchill, Esq. Shaw, Pittman, Potts & Trowbridge 1800 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Mr. Gary L. Groesch 2257 Bayou Road New Orleans, LA 70119

Linda B. Watkins, Esq. 7434 Perkins Road Suite C Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70808

Brian P. Cassidy Regional Counsel FEMA John W. McCormack Post Office & Courthouse Boston, MA 02109 Luke B. Fontana, Esq. 824 Esplanade Avenue New Orleans, LA 70116

Malcolm Stevenson, Esq. Monroe & Lemann 1424 Whitney Building New Orleans, LA 70130

\*Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

\*Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

\*Docketing and Service Section Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

William J. Guste, Jr., Esq. Attorney General for the State of Louisiana 234 Loyola Avenue 7th Floor New Orleans, Louisiana 70112

Sherwin E. Turk Counsel for HRC Staff