

Process Technology North Jersey

Subsidiary of RTI Inc.

108 LAKE DENMARK ROAD, ROCKAWAY, NJ 07866
(201) 625-8400 • FAX: (201) 625-7820

June 13, 1989

Mr. Jack Davis
Nuclear Materials Safety Section C
Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Region I
475 Allendale Road
King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

Re: License 29-13613-02 Amendment 26
dated June 1, 1989

Dear Mr. Davis:

Thank you for your letter of June 1, 1989 enclosing Amendment Number 26 to the above cited license. After careful review and to ensure that we fully comply with all of the requirements, we would like clarification of several points.

The name of the Corporation is stated in item 1 as "...Subsidiary of RTI, Inc.". The correct name is RTI Inc without the comma.

Item 3. states "In accordance with letter dated December 2, 1988". We believe it should be December 12, 1988.

In our letters of December 12, 1988 and March 23, 1989, both listed on Amendment 26, we stated that the December 12, 1988 amendment application replaces the previous letters of April 8, May 25, and June 7, 1988. These letters, however, are still listed as attachments on Amendment 26. It is our understanding that the December 12, 1988 and subsequent letters replace the older three letters in their entirety.

Condition 11.A relating to training specifies training described in the June 3, 1987 letter. Our December 12, 1988 letter modified the training. We are now complying with the requirements as specified in the December 12 letter.

Condition 12 refers to General Electric Model GEP-916. The 916 is a descriptive term relating to a physical dimension. The source is more correctly identified as GEP-186.

Condition 14.C specifies leak test data to be "...kept in units of microcuries...". Since this data is so low, we request approval to maintain data in picocuries.

11:58 AM NOV 68.

9101220349 900913
PDR FOIA
JONES90-334 PDR

541

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY ML 10

B/24
110027

Page 2
June 13, 1989
Mr. Jack Davis


Condition 22.A refers to Procedure 9.100.B as submitted with the letter dated December 12, 1988. In fact 9.100.C was submitted with the letter dated May 1, 1989 and should be the current revision.

Condition 22.B refers to Procedure 9.102.C as submitted with the letter dated May 1, 1989. It was submitted with the letter dated December 12, 1988.

Condition 26.H refers to a letter dated May 1, 1989. In fact we sent 3 letters to the NRC on that date. One letter was addressed to Mr. John White with a check for \$230.00 requesting the license be amended by adding Mr. Schlecht and Mr. Shapiro's names as RSO's. A second letter was sent to Chief, Nuclear Materials Safety Branch regarding the site characterization as required by license Condition 24. The third letter was to Mr. Jack Davis and related to the RTI Inc name change and financial responsibilities. Are all of these letters now part of amendment 26 or, if not, which one(s)?

We would appreciate your prompt attention to these questions. If you have any further questions or concerns please contact me.
Thank you.

Very truly yours,



John B. Russen
Plant Manager and
Radiation Safety Officer

JBR:jk