

A Centerior Energy Company

EDISON PLAZA 300 MADISON AVENUE TOLEDO, OHIO 43652-0001

IE22

Log No.: AB-91-0001 NF 33-90-19

Docket No. 50-346

. .

License No. NPF-3

January 11, 1991

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Gentlemen:

## LER 90-015 Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1 Date of Occurrence - December 12, 1990

Enclosed please find Licensee Event Report 90-015, which is being submitted to provide 30 days written notification of the subject occurrence. This LER is being submitted in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i).

Very truly yours,

Jacus 7

Louis F. Storz Plant Manager Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station

LFS/eld

Enclosure

cc: Mr. A. Bert Davis Regional Administrator USNRC Region III

> Mr. Poul Byron DB-1 NCC Sr. Resident Inspector

9101170190 910111 PDR ADOCK 05000344 S

IBC Para 1431	2001	*	179 BC (16 - 16			LIC	ENSE	E EVEN	T REF	ORT	LER)		PROVE	6/31.486 -			
ACILITY	R.A.A.M. (1)	-	-	NAMES OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTIONO		AND CONTRACTOR OF STREET,				Construction of Color Solid	COLUMN THE OWNER PROVIDE		3)		575	1.15	
Dav	is-Be		Unit	No.	1							0 15 10 10 1	01	314 16	1 OF	013	
1718 14	di Musudini i	rdfirdf5olfteran	in de la Minalfra	or alloid Beatly o	section and the second section	the second s	And Mary Description		and a serie of the second second								
construction and the second	e Pan	and indication provide the	47200	Contraction of the second second	and the second se	and the second se				eeded.	Late Dat	PACILITIES INVOL	VED M	D			
IVERY DATE (8)			LE MURRER B				REPORT DATE (7)			FACILITY NAMES			DOCKET NUMBERIS				
MONTHI DAY Y		YEAR	YEAR	AR NUMBER		ALVERON NUMBER	MONTH DAY		YEAR				0 151010101				
				11				1	-					1	at an and so read	hearing	
112	1/2	90	010		0/1/5	- 0 0	011	111	9/1				0 18	51010	101	11	
and a ninet	- Antonia de		THIS R	EPORT	ID BURNNETTS	D - HAUANT T	THE P	E OUI 3 2000	NTS DF 10	CPR 4: 10	haat one or many	or one horsessings (11	)				
0PERATING MODE 801 1 20.480900				20.4056401				10.7740102HM			73.71 0)						
POWER I R BWDA			and the second sec	00.384a2(1)				60.73(a)(2)(v)			73.71 60						
LEVEL	1 11	01.0	american 24	0.4086a4	(1)(0)		80.204	(2)4			00.734a1021449)			OTHER ISS	anthy in Alter	treat	
	PC HER & LOUIS A	a branche and	3	0.0094sH	en 16803	XX	80.736	142500			\$0.734a2C2Hv#2	LAJ		XSEAJ			
		and and a second	2	0.400407	en 16841		80.736	+CE) (B)			60.7340103114931	(8)					
			3	0.499402	(3 HWI		\$9.734	+C25 (88)			80.73ia1(2)ia)						
							CEMPIN	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LER (12)		and the second second				-	
NAME									AREA CODE	TELEPHONE NUMBER							
J .	C. St	otz,	Eng	inee		clear Li							131	2111-	1715	141	
				-	COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	EACH C	OMPONENT	PAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPO	RT (13)					
CAUSE	-	COMP	ONENT		TURER	TO NPROS			I CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	HANUFAC TURER		NPROS	·		
					manuralisette das Scottanards trainip												
	1	1	1.1		11							- Li Li Li	-				
	1		11	-	11				-		Lala				Lipping		
BUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)							EXPECTED		MONT	HI DAY	YE						
								OATE (15)				1					
1.61	5 117 year. a				KSBROW DA P	E) - annon-actacut (75		VN NO							-	-	

On December 12, 1990, at 0700 hours, the Shift Supervisor recognized that at 0005 hours the late date for Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement (SR) 4.3.3.8.2 had been exceeded for local fire panel C4720C. This panel monitors the Containment fire detection zones. The SR done by DB-MI-03806 is a check of the supervised circuits. The test was run in September, but a deficiency (which was evaluated as not affecting operability) prevented the test from being signed off as complete. The failure to resolve the deficiency by the test sponsor and the designated reviewer caused the test to exceed its late date. Oversight by the Shift Supervisor in not declaring the panel inoperable before the late date was exceeded caused this incident to be reportable. The missed surveillance requirement is reportable as an LER under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition prohibited by the Technical Specifications.

DB-MI-03806 was completed and the action statement exited at 2142 hours on December 12, 1990. The incident will be reviewed with all Shift Supervisors as well as those personnel who have responsibilities for performing Technical Specification Surveillance Requirements and those who help resolve deficiencies.

	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	1	LER NUMBER (.	*A08 (3)			
	그는 김 영양이라 영양이는 것을	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL REVISION				
Davis-Besse Unit No. 1	0   5   0   0   3   4   6	9 0-	-0115-010	012 010 3			

EXT III more space is required, use additioner NRC Form JB64's/(17)

### Description of Occurrence:

At 0700 hours on December 12, 1990, the Shift Supervisor recognized that at 0005 hours (the same day) the late date for Technical Specification Surveillance Requirement 4.3.3.8.2 had been exceeded for local fire panel C4720C. This panel monitors the fire detection zones in Containment and their supervisory circuits. These circuits monitor for faults and grounds in the fire detection zone wiring. Surveillance Requirement (SR) 4.3.3.8.2 checks the "NFPA Code 72 D Class A supervised circuits supervision" at least once per 6 months. This SR is satisfied by DB-MI-03806, Channel Functional Test of C4720C Class A Supervisory Circuits Supervision. The action statement was entered at 0700 hours. Since the involved fire detection zones are identified in Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 as inaccessible during normal plant operation, an hourly fire watch was not required. Therefore, the action statement of Technical Specification 3.3.3.8 was not violated.

Failing to complete the SR by the late date is reportable as an LER under 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i)(B) as a condition prohibited by Technical Specifications.

## Apparent Cause of Occurrence:

The SR late date was exceeded because a deficiency noted when the test was run in September 1990 was not resolved by the test sponsor or the designated reviewer in a timely manner. The deficiency was in the section of the test that demonstrates the functionality of the ground fault module. This was the first time this modified test using a limited ground fault was performed on panel C4720C. The module did not actuate when the fault was applied. It was determined at that time that the problem was with the test procedure. Although the deficiency was evaluated at that time as not affecting the operability of the fire panel or its related fire detection zones, the test could not be signed as complete. The test had been statused as "suspended" since September 28, 1990.

This event became reportable as an LER because the Shift Supervisor did not declare the related zones inoperable and enter the appropriate action statement before the SR late date was exceeded. The Shift Supervisor had reviewed the test schedule as part of his turnover review when starting his shift at 2300 hours on December 11, 1990. While he did recognize this test would exceed its late date on December 12, 1990, he did not recognize that it would be late just five minutes after the start of the new day.

	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET HUMBER (2)	NA AND DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION		6)		-			
		~ E & P	SEDUENTIAL	NEVERN		T			
Davis-Besse Unit No. 1	0  5  0  0  0  3  4  6	010	01115			OF			

## Analysis of Occurrence:

The performance of the six month surveillance of the supervisory circuits provides assurance that a fault or a line break in the fire detection zone circuits will be detected. This provides assurance that the fire detectors in each zone in the panel will be able to alarm to the panel. Not completing the test by its due date does not, by itself, affect the functionality of the fire detection equipment. The incident did not result in a reduction in the level of fire protection.

# Corrective Action:

DB-MI-03806 was completed and the action statement was exited at 2142 hours on December 12, 1990.

This incident will be reviewed with all Shift Supervisors to emphasize the importance of a careful review of the test schedule.

This event will also be reviewed with other personnel who have responsibility for implementing Technical Specification Surveillance Requirements. This includes those who have responsibilities for performing tests as well as those who review and resolve deficiencies.

#### Failure Data:

The previous report involving failure to satisfy Surveillance Requirements where a test was not completed by its late date even though it was properly listed on the test schedule is LER 89-008. The oversight was a personnel error.

NP 33-90-19

PCAQ NO. 90-0754