UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY	Docket No. 50-322 (OL)
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station,)	

NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO "[LILCO] MOTION TO STRIKE OR FOR RECONSIDERATION WITH RESPECT TO SUFFOLK COUNTY'S SUPPLEMENTING ITS QA WITNESS PANEL"

I. INTRODUCTION

At the November 3, 1982 evidentiary session in this proceeding,

Applicant Long Island Lighting Company (LILCO or Applicant) filed a

"Motion to Strike or for Reconsideration with Respect to Suffolk County's

Supplementing its QA Witness Panel" (motion). For the reasons noted

below, the NRC Staff supports LILCO's motion.

II. BACKGROUND

The background events leading to the filing of the motion are set out at pages 2-3 of the LILCO motion. $\frac{1}{}$ In sum, the Board previously granted Intervenor Suffolk County's motion to add Messrs. William Bland

Certified By OSO 7 SAIS

^{1/} The Staff's support of LILCO's motion does not extend to the subsidiary dispute between LILCO and Intervenor Suffolk County, set forth at page 3 of the motion. This dispute involves travel expenses for Mr. George Inskeep in the event his deposition, recessed on October 21, 1981, is resumed. The Staff has no view with regard to that dispute between the two parties.

and George Inskeep to its QA witness panel. The Board also provided LILCO an opportunity to depose Messrs. Bland and Inskeep.

III. DISCUSSION

LILCO's motion amply demonstrates, by extensive reference to and verbatim quotation from the testimony given by Messrs. Bland and Inskeep on October 20 and 21, respectively, 2/ that:

- (1) they have not demonstrated sufficient background knowledge of the particulars of the contentions in this proceeding which would enable them to adopt the prefiled direct testimony of Mr. Richard B. Hubbard;
- (2) they lack sufficient knowledge or expertise regarding the facts stated in Mr. Hubbard's testimony; and therefore it must be concluded that
- (3) they cannot testify in the broad manner represented by the County, nor in the narrower manner envisioned by the Board in originally permitting the County to add them to the panel.

Additionally, the Staff notes, in the narrow context of Messrs. Bland and Inskeeps' deposition, $\frac{3}{}$, that the County has not demonstrated that they are qualified to testify on quality assurance and quality control programs for the nuclear power industry in this proceeding. $\frac{4}{}$

NRC Staff counsel was present at and participated in the depositions given by Messrs. Bland and Inskeep.

^{3/} See pages 61-73 of LILCO's Motion and pages of deposition testimony there cited.

The Staff is not suggesting that QA/QC experts from other industries cannot under appropriate circumstances qualify as experts on QA/QC matters in NRC proceedings. We urge only that the depositions of Messrs. Bland and Inskeep conclusively establish that they lack the requisite knowledge to join Mr. Hubbard on the County's witness panel in this proceeding.

As noted by the Board (Tr. 12,930), the Staff did not originally oppose the addition of Messrs. Bland and Inskeep to the County's QA panel. However, in response to the Board's inquiry, Id, the information developed at the depositions, which is fully spread out in the Applicant's motion, changes the Staff's understanding of what the situation was with regard to Messrs Bland and Inskeep at the time of the County's motion and oral argument thereof. That change in information clearly leads the Staff to the contrary view, expressed in this response, in support of Applicant's motion.

IV. CONCLUSION

The NRC Staff supports LILCO's motion to strike or for reconsideration with respect to Suffolk County's supplementing its QA witness panel.

Respectfully submitted,

Bernard M. Bridenick

Bernard M. Bordenick

Counsel for NRC Staff

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 10th day of November, 1982.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	
LONG ISLAND LIGHTING COMPANY	Docket No. 50-322 (OL)
(Shoreham Nuclear Power Station,)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certity that copies of NRC STAFF RESPONSE TO "[LILCO] MOTION TO STRIKE OR FOR RECONSIDERATION WITH RESPECT TO SUFFOLK COUNTY'S .

SUPPLEMENTING ITS OA WITNESS PANEL" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class ors, as indicated by an asterisk, through deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 10th day of November, 1982.

Lawrence Brenner, Esq.*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. James L. Carpenter*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Dr. Peter A. Morris*
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, DC 20555

Matthew J. Kelly, Esq. Staff Counsel New York Public Service Commission 3 Rockefeller Plaza Albany, NY 12223 Ralph Shapiro, Esq. Cammer and Shapiro 9 East 40th Street New York, NY 10016

Howard L. Blau, Esq. 217 Newbridge Road Hicksville, NY 11801

W. Taylor Reveley III, Esq. Hunton & Williams P.O. Box 1535 Richmond, VA 23212

Cherif Sedkey, Esq.
Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Johnson
& Hutchison
1500 Oliver Building
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

Stephen B. Latham, Esq. John F. Shea, III, Esq. Twomey, Latham & Shea Attorneys at Law P.O. Box 398 33 West Second Street Riverhead, NY 11901

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board Panel* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Herbert H. Brown, Esq.
Lawrence Coe Lanpher, Esq.
Karla J. Letsche, Esq.
Kirkpatrick, Lockhart, Hill,
Christopher & Phillips
1900 M Street, N.W.
8th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20036

Docketing and Service Section*
Office of the Secretary
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Daniel F. Brown, Esq.*
Attorney
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

David A. Repka

Counsel for NRC Staff

COURTESY COPY LIST

Edward M. Barrett, Esq. General Counsel Long Island Lighting Company 250 Old County Road Mineola, NY 11501

445.5

Mr. Brian McCaffrey Long Island Lighting Company 175 East Old Country Road Hicksville, New York 11801

Marc W. Goldsmith Energy Research Group, Inc. 400-1 Totten Pond Road Waltham, MA 02154

David H. Gilmartin, Esq.
Suffolk County Attorney
County Executive/Legislative Bldg.
Veteran's Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

Mr. Jeff Smith Shoreham Nuclear Power Station P.O. Box 618 North Country Road Wading River, NY 11792

MHB Technical Associates 1723 Hamilton Avenue Suite K San Jose, CA 95125

Hon. Peter Cohalan
Suffolk County Executive
County Executive/Legislative Bldg.
Veteran's Memorial Highway
Hauppauge, NY 11788

Mr. Jay Dunkleberger New York State Energy Office Agency Building 2 Empire State Plaza Albany, New York 12223