



January 9, 1991

3F0191-06

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Subject: Licensee Event Report (LER) 90-02-01

Dear Sir:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report (LER) 90-02-01 which is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73.

This supplement includes additional information and provides the status of the subject item.

Sincerely,

drich

G. L. Boldt Vice President Nuclear Production

WLR:mag

10 108

Enclosure

xc: Regional Administrator, Region II Project Manager, Region II Senior Resident Inspector

9101150142 910109 EDR ADOCK 05000302 FDR

1E22

ARC FORM	1 366					U.S. NUCL	EAR RE	GULATO	RY COMMISS	ON		APPROVED	CIMI)	NÓ. 31	sc 0104			
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)									SETIMA INFORM COMMEN AND RE REQUEN THE PA OF MAN	EXPIRES 4/30/92 DETINATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST BUD MRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN EFTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND RESORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (PE30) US NUCLEAR REDULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20565 AND TO THE PAREFWORK REDUCTON PROJECT (31560 JUN), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, DC 20563								
ACILITY	NAME (1	-	CRYS	TAL RIVER	MELC 3	tra talan da ana a		A Di Loc mi			DOCKE	I NUMBER	(2)	3.1	112	1 10	ELO	15
TTLE IA	F1) CO	RE DA	MPERS R FLO	MAY NOT C	LOSE UN	NDER V DRIGIN	ENTI AL D	LLAT	ION FLO N CRITE	W CONDI'	NRC	DUE IEN 8	TO 9-5	FAI	LURE	TO	1.	P.
EVE	NT DATE	(6)		LER NUMBER (6	Anna and a second second second	REPO	TAG TR	£ (7)	and the second se	OTHER	I FACILI	TIES INVO	LVED	(0)		tana ana ina		
LATAGE	DAY	VEAR	VEAD	REQUENTIAL	RE VIERON	S NONTH DAY VEAR				FACILITY N	ACILITY NAMES			DOCKET NUMBER(S)				
a contra a contra a				NUMBER	NUMBER					N/A			0	1510	010	101	1	1
0 2	1 6	9 0	90	002	- 01	0 1 0	9 0	9 1		N/A			0	1510	010	0	1	1
OPSI	RATING		THE REP	ORT IS BURNITTED	PURSUANT 1	O THE BLO	QUIREM	ENTE OF	10 CFR \$ /C	hack one or mor	e of the l	onawing/ (1	(1)					
MODE (9) 5		20.4	K0(2 (%)		20.406 le1				60.73(a)(2)(w)				73.71(b)					
POWER		20.4	ROM5 (#311)1(1		\$0.36(c)(1)				50.73(a)(2)(v)	0.73(a)(2)(v)			73.71 (c/					
(10)	0	0,0	20 4	405(#3113(8)		\$0.36(c)(2)				50.73(e)(2)(vii	50.73(#1(2)(vii)			OTHER (Specify in Abstract				
Terrorent.		Corrector e acti	20.4	406(a)(11(iii)		60.73(41)	21(i) -			60.73(a)(2)(vii	i(A)			366.	Li			
			20.4	405(a)(1)((v)	X	\$0.73(a)(21(0)			80.73(e)(2)(vi)	1(8)							
			20.	405 (a) (1) (v)		60.73(a)(2163			60.73(e)(2)(a)	5. C. S.							
			a construction		1	ICENSEE C	ONTAC	FOR TH	(IS LER (12)									
NAME													121	EPHON	E NUM	教室科		
	W. K	, BAN	DHAUE	R, NUCLEA	R OPERA	TIONS	SUPE	RINT	ENDENT			REA CODE						
												910 4	7	191	51+	161	4 18	8 16
				COMPLETE	ONE LINE FOR	R EACH CON	MPONEN	IT FAILU	RE DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REP	ORT (19	(
CAUSE	SVETEN	0003	ONENT	NANUFAC TURER	REFORTABLE TO NERDS			CAU	SE SYSTEM	COMPONEN	, , ,	TURER		TO NP	ASLE			
в	K P	DIM	1 ^p 1	A 1 1 2 4	NO	-												
	1	1	1.1	111								1.1.1						
	A			SUPPLEM	INTAL REPOR	T EXPECTE	D (14)						TED		MÖRET	(DA	Y	YEAR
V VER US AN ANNALE EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE:							SUBMIS DATE	ISION (15)		0.14	1.	5	0.11					
ABUTUA	F. W. 14 14 14	10 2400	1940 AL . A	and mately fiftee	single space fu	Dewritten fin	(118)			macrosoft, Additional					A 14	- A. A.	circul	and a

On February 16, 1990, at 1132, Crystal River Unit 3 determined that fire dampers may not be operable under expected ventilation flow conditions due to a design error. This conclusion was based on the results of testing and evaluation of plant fire dampers and ventilation flows performed as a result of Information Notice 89-52. Roving fire watch patrol routes were reviewed and revised to assure 100 percent coverage of the fire areas. Continuous fire watches were posted in areas where fire detectors were inoperable. The root cause of this event is the failure of the original design criteria to address the need to close the dampers under ventilation flow conditions. This condition had been identified in 1985 by an internal contractor evaluation but, due to personnel error, had not been pursued and resolved. Dampers which are installed in locations with excessive ventilation flows will be reevaluated to determine the necessity for closure under air flow conditions and/or modified to assure closure. Design basis documents will be updated to reflect the need for fire dampers to close under ventilation flow conditions.

NEC FORM 366A (6.60)	APPROVED OME NO. 3150-0104. EXFIREE 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS NEORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 600 HRS FORWARD COMMENTE REGARDING BUHDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F30): U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WARHINGTON. DC 2055. AND TO THE PAPERWORK REGULTION PROJECT (3150-0104): OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON. DC 20503					
LICENSEE EVENT REP TEXT CONTINUAT						
FACILITY N. WE (1)	DOCKEY NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3				
CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3	2월 24 - 1988	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER				
	0 5 0 0 0 3 0 2	910 -01012 -011	012 OF 0 15			

an a tare date a transfer as an antita a transfer a

EVENT DESCRIPTION:

On February 16, 1990, at 1132, Crystal River Unit 3 (CR-3) determined that fire dampers [KP,DMP] may not be operable under expected ventilation flow conditions due to a design error. Several curtain-type fire dampers may not fully close with ventilation air flow.

On August 30, 1989, Florida Power Corporation (FPC) evaluated NRC Information Notice 89-52, "Potential Fire Damper Operational Problems", and determined the concerns identified by this notice may apply to CR-3. On November 10, 1989, FPC completed a review of damper flow rates and identified a representative sample of single section dampers corresponding to approximately 10% of the dampers to drop test under actual flow conditions. On January 26, 1990, CR-3 began drop testing the selected dampers.

On February 6, 1990, when three out of five dampers tested failed, an action plan was developed and a Nonconforming Operations Report was initiated. At this time, CR-3 was in MODE 1(POWER OPERATION), 97% power. The action plan included:

- i. Perform a root cause evaluation of the fire damper failures.
- ii. Assure the hourly fire watch patrol route included all areas associated with the Technical Specification required fire dampers.
- Assure a continuous fire watch is posted in areas with inoperable fire detectors [IC,28].
- iv. Begin repair of the failed fire dampers.
- v. Continue testing the selected dampers.

At 1132, on February 16, 1990, the root cause evaluation concluded the damper failures were caused by design deficiency. At the time, CR-3 was in MODE 5(COLD SHUTDOWN) with Reactor Coolant System temperature 95 degrees and at atmospheric pressure. CR-3 had been shutdown since February 12 to repair RCV-8, Pressurizer Code Safety/Relief valve [AB,RV]. At 1235, the NRC Operations Center was notified of this event per 10CFR50.72(a)(2)(i). This written report is being made per the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(ii)(B) for operation outside the plant design basis.

The fire dampers are designed with several interlocking slats which are retracted in a configuration similar to a raised venetian blind. The fire dampers are actuated by fusible links. When the damper is released, the damper is pulled into position by retracting springs and may be assisted by gravity. As the damper attempts to close, the increasing air velocity induced pressure can lock up the dampers and prevent them from fully closing. For some dampers, this may cause the closing spring to break. The fire dampers affected are various sizes and manufactured by Air Balance, Inc., Model Nos. N319ALV (vertical) and N319ALH (horizontal), three hour UL-rated.

NRC FOHM 386A (6-89)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO	APPROVED OME NO. 3160-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/02					
LICENSEE EVENT REP TEXT CONTINUAT	RETIMATED BUPDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION LILECTION REQUEST BOD HES FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BUHDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F50) US NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON DC 2055E AND TO THE FARERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT 0150-0100, OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGYOS, DC 20503						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (G)	PAGE (3)				
CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3	14년 11년 11년 11년 11년 11년 11년 11년 11년 11년	YEAR BEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER					
이 것이 아니는 것 같아요. 이 것 같아. 한 것 같이 있는 것 같이 같이 같이 했다.	0 15 10 10 10 1 3 10 12	2 910 - 010 2 - 011	013 OF 015				

In June 1985, during an evaluation of CR-3 fire protection features by an FPC contractor, the need to verify the ability of dampers, especially multisection dampers, to close under ventilation flow conditions was identified. A summary of maximum acceptable velocities through Air Balance type 319 fire dampers was provided by the contractor. As a result of the contractor's finding, a study was performed to determine the ability of multi-section dampers to close. This study concluded several dampers should have larger nine pound springs, rather than the currently installed four pound springs. No action was taken to follow up on these recommendations at that time.

CAUSE:

The root cause of this event is the failure of the original plant design to consider the need to close the dampers under ventilation flow conditions. At the time the plant was designed, this was the standard practice since closure under air flow is not required by the NFPA code. When Appendix R was implemented at CR-3 a contractor performed a study to identify deficiencies in the air damper capabilities. The study resulted in several recommendations for improvement of the fire dampers. Due to FPC engineering personnel error, the recommendations in the study were not pursued. This was a violation of engineering procedures which were in effect at the time of the study.

EVENT EVALUATION:

The purpose of these fire dampers is to help assure a fire is limited to a single area. By limiting the spread of the fire and by protecting certain trains of equipment, availability of alternate aquipment located in adjacent areas, which may be needed for safe shutdown, is assured.

The design error affects less than ten of approximately 120 total fire dampers. Several damper designs include an automatic trip of the associated operating fans. Most of the dampers tested to date satisfactorily completed the closure test under ventilation flow conditions. The dampers which have failed are those installed in areas where the ventilation flow is very large. Attached is a list of the dampers affected, their location and a general description of the areas protected by the damper. This LER will be supplemented to include additional nonconforming dampers, if necessary.

Since 1985, CR-3 has maintained an hourly roving fire watch. The roving fire watch is required to walk an established route each hour and observe for fires. If a fire is observed, the watch reports the fire immediately and extinguishes the fire if possible. The route(s) established since 1985 cover approximately 90 percent of the plant fire areas. In addition, the operability of fire detectors on at least one side of each fire barrier has been maintained and monitored.

Considering the safety aspects derived from the roving fire watch and existing fire detection and suppression systems in the areas of concern, the failure of some dampers to close under flow conditions does not create undue risk to the safety of the public.

LICENSEE EVI TEXT CON	APPROVED OME NO 31500104 EXPIRES 430000 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REDUEST 800 HRS FORWARD COMMERTS RECARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND FEDRETS MANAGEMENT BRANCH IP 530 U.S. NUCLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON DO 20050 AND TO THE FAPERWORK REDUCTION FROLECT (31500104) OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON DO 20050						
FACILITY NAME IT	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6) PAGE (3)					
	양물감 물건물 경제가 어떤 것을 받았다.	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVEION NUMBER NUMBER					
CRYSTAL RIVER UNIT 3	0 5 0 0 0 3 0 2	910 - 01012 - 011 0 4 OF 015					

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

Each fire damper flow rate has been compared to test data which reflects the maximum flow rate under which the damper will successfully close. Some damper testing at 110% of design flow rate has been conducted. A series of initial modifications made under vendor recommendation, including the use of multiple closure springs, more powerful nine pound springs, and a redesigned spring bracket, have not proven successful. This has caused some delay in achieving resolution of the noted deficiencies. Further evaluations and testing are to be performed in the effort to achieve effective modification of the identified deficient dampers.

Design basis documents will be updated to reflect the need for fire dampers to close under ventilation flow conditions.

Since 1985, several procedural and organizational changes have occurred which should prevent recurrence of the personnel error associated with the 1985 Damper Study. These changes include strengthening engineering procedures by adding requirements for management review and approval of studies, improving and proceduralizing engineering problem reporting, and establishing a Design Basis Engineering Group.

PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS:

There have been three prior events related to fire dampers. Two of these events were related to design errors. This is the first event involving a design failure concerning damper closure under ventilation flow conditions.

NEC FORM 3664 (6-80)	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	NUCLEAR REDULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED OME NO S160.0104 EXPIRES 430.02 SETIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WTH THE INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 600 HRS FORWARD DOMMENTE REGARDING BURDEN EETIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND FEPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH PESOL U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY O "MMISSION WARHINGTON, DC 20665 AND TO THE FAFEWORR REDUCTION FROMEDT 31500104). DFFICE OF MANAGEMENT & ND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20603						
CRYSTAL	RIVER UNIT 3	0 15 0 0 0 3 0 2	LEE NUMBER 160 VEAR REPORT AL REVENDA 9 0	PAGE (3)					
TEXT (if more space is required, use	artelitional NAIC Form 3064 3.1 (17)	e de ser se alle ser se de la ser se de la ser se de se se de se se de ser se de se se de se se de se se de se	doughtender allene on dougstand dereitened	unah mahmindi samakan					

ATTACHMENT

FIRE DAMPER TAG NUMBER DESCRIPTION/EVALUATION*

FD-239

This multi-section damper is located in the floor of the 164' elevation of the Control Complex [NA]. They separate the Ventilation Equipment Room (CC-164-121A) from the Main Control Room (CC-134-118B). In the event of a fire that spreads between these areas, control of safe shutdown equipment will be transferred to the Remote Shutdown Panel and the dedicated Control Complex HVAC will be used. The dedicated HVAC should not be affected by a fire in this area.

- FD-266 This damper is located in the floor of the 119' elevation of the Auxiliary Building [NE]. It separates the Reactor Coolant Pump Seal Injection Filter Room (AB-95-3Y) from the 119' elevation Hallway (AB-119-6Q). A fire could affect the safe shutdown equipment on the 95' elevation and then move upward through this damper to the 119' elevation. Should a design basis fire spread to both of these areas, then Decay Heat [BP] and Nuclear Service Closed Cycle Cooling Water [CC] systems may not be available for shutdown.
- FD-271 This damper is located in the floor of the 119' elevation of the Auxiliary Building [NE]. It separates the Miscellaneous Radioactive Waste Rooms (AB-95-3K) from the 119' elevation Central Hallway (AB-119-6J). Should a design basis fire spread to both of these areas, then the Makeup Injection [BG] and Decay Heat [BP] systems may not be available for shutdown.
- FD-273 This damper is located in the floor of the 119' elevation of the Auxiliary Building [NE]. It separates the 119' elevation Central Hallway (AB-119-6J) from the 95' elevation Central Hallway (AB-95-3G). Should a design basis fire spread to both of these areas, then the Makeup Injection and Decay Heat systems may not be available for shutdown.
- FD-278 This damper is located in the wall between the Intermediate and Auxiliary [NE] Buildings on the 95' elevation. This damper separates the 95' elevation North Hallway Nuclear Sample Room (AB-95-3B) from the Intermediate Building Penetration and Fan area (IB-95-200C). Should a design basis fire spread to both of these areas, at least one train of safe shutdown equipment will still be available.
- * Evaluation is based on the Crystal River Unit 3 Updated Fire Hazards Analysis, Revision 2, November 1989.