AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO.	50-346
UNIT	Davis-Besse Unit 1
DATE	August 7, 1981
COMPLETED BY	Bilal Sarsour
TELEPHONE	<u>(419) 259-5000</u> , Ext. 251
	EXC. 201

DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
17	0
18	0
19	74
20	
· 21	658
22	852
23	872
24	876
25	871
26	868
27	878
28	870
29	875
30	297
31	0
	17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30

1001

INSTRUCTIONS

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in the reporting month. Compute to the nearest whole megawatt.

(9/77)

OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO.	50-346
DATE	August 7, 1981
COMPLETED BY	Bilal Sarsour
TELEPHONE	(419) 259-5000,
	Ext. 251

OPERATING STATUS

1. Unit Name:Davis-Besse Unit 1	Note
2. Reporting Period:July, 1981	
3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 2772	
4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 925	1
5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe):906	
6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe):934	
7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe):890	

8. If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since Last Report, Give Reasons:

9. Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe): _____ None

10. Reasons For Restrictions, If Any: ____

This Month	Yrto-Date	Cumulative
744	5,087	34,396
271.4	3,297	17,681.2
39.8	74.6	2,956,7
267.6	3,146.6	16,194.4
0	0	1,731.4
642,660	7,034,943	33,939,749
212,015	2,350,398	11,325,732
193,927	2,196,512	10,461,013
36.0	61.9	47.8
36.0	61.9	53.1
29.3	48.5	35.9
28.8	47.7	35.3
64.0	32.0	26.2
	$ \begin{array}{r} 744 \\ 271.4 \\ 39.8 \\ 267.6 \\ 0 \\ 642,660 \\ 212,015 \\ 193,927 \\ 36.0 \\ 36.0 \\ 29.3 \\ 28.8 \\ $	$\begin{array}{c cccccc} 744 & 5,087 \\ \hline 271.4 & 3,297 \\ \hline 39.8 & 74.6 \\ \hline 267.6 & 3,146.6 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \\ \hline 642,660 & 7,034,943 \\ \hline 212,015 & 2,350,398 \\ \hline 193,927 & 2,196,512 \\ \hline 36.0 & 61.9 \\ \hline 29.3 & 48.5 \\ \hline 28.8 & 47.7 \\ \hline \end{array}$

24. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of Each):

25. If Shut	Down At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup:	August 14,	, 1981
26. Units In	Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation):	Forecast	Achieved
	INITIAL CRITICALITY		
	INITIAL ELECTRICITY		
	COMMERCIAL OPERATION		

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

REPORT MONTH July, 1981

DOCKET NO. UNIT NAME DATE COMPLETED BY

TELEPHONE

TE <u>August 7, 1981</u> BY <u>Bilal Sarsour</u> NE (419) 259-5000, Ext. 25

Davis-Besse Unit 1

50-346

Method of Shutting Down Reactor³ Component Cude⁵ Reuson? Duration (Hours) System Code4 Cause & Corrective Licensee Typel Action to Event Sec. Date Prevent Recurrence Report # The reactor tripped due to a loss of CTBRT 81 06 24 3 NP-33-81-44 EB F 436.6 H 12 E2 power during control rod drive Cont breaker logic surveillance testing. Loss of E2 was due to mechanical shock from construction in the area. NA The reactor tripped on reactor pro-39.8 3 NA NA 81 07 30 F A 13 tection system (RPS) low reactor coolant system (RCS) pressure. See operational summary for further \mathbf{h}^{i} details. 4 3 Exhibit G - Instructions Method: F: Forced Reason: for Preparation of Data 1-Manual A-Equipment Failure (Explain) S: Scheduled Entry Sheets for Licensee 2-Manual Scram. **B**-Maintenance of Test Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-3-Automatic Scram. C-Refueling 0161) 4-Continuation **D**-Regulatory Restriction E-Operator Training & License Examination 5-Reduction

6-Other

Exhibit I - Same Source

5

(1)/77)

F-Administrative

11-Other (Explain)

G-Operational Error (Explain)

OPERATIONAL SUMMARY JULY, 1981

7/1/81 - 7/19/81 The unit remained shutdown following the reactor trip on June 24, 1981 to repair the reactor coolant pump (RCP) seals and investigate the failure of control rod 8 in group 5.

> Three RCP seals have been removed, refurbished, and reinstalled.

The cause of the failure of control rod 8 in group 5 to respond to withdrawal commands was determined to be a broken leaf spring lodged in the control rod drive mechanism.

- 7/19/81 The reactor was critical at 0048 hours. The turbine-generator was synchronized on line at 0439 hours.
- 7/20/81 7/30/81 The reactor power was slowly increased and attained 100% power on July 22, 1981. Reactor power was maintained at 100% full power until July 30, 1981 when the boot seal between the low pressure turbine and high pressure condenser started to leak causing an increase in condenser pressure. When condenser vacuum could not be maintained, the operator initiated a manual reduction of reactor power. This rapid power decrease caused Tave and RCS pressure to drop causing a RPS trip on low RCS pressure which tripped the reactor at 14% power at 0813 hours.

The unit remained shutdown to repair the boot seal.

REFUELING INFORMATION

.

	e standardare	March, 1982
Scheduled date for next	refueling shutdown:	
Scheduled date for resta	rt following refueling:	May, 1982
specification change or in general, will these b and core configuration b	other license amendment e? If answer is no, ha een reviewed by your Pl unreviewed safety ques	after require a technical ? If answer is yes, what, as the reload fuel design ant Safety Review Committee tions are associated with
Reload analysis is sche	eduled for completion as	of December, 1981. No tech-
nical specification cha	anges or other license a	mendments identified to date.
		sing action and supporting
Important licensing cons	ary, 1982 siderations associated w	with refueling, e.g., new or
Important licensing cons	siderations associated w supplier, unreviewed d anges in fuel design, no	with refueling, e.g., new or lesign or performance analysi w operating procedures.
Important licensing cons different fuel design or methods, significant cha	siderations associated w supplier, unreviewed d anges in fuel design, no	lesign or performance analysi
Important licensing cons different fuel design or methods, significant cha None identified to	siderations associated w supplier, unreviewed d anges in fuel design, no	lesign or performance analysi
Important licensing cons different fuel design or methods, significant cha None identified to	derations associated w supplier, unreviewed d unges in fuel design, no date	lesign or performance analysi
Important licensing cons different fuel design or methods, significant cha None identified to 	derations associated w supplier, unreviewed d unges in fuel design, no date	and (b) in the spent fuel 44 - Spent Fuel Assemblies
Important licensing cons different fuel design or methods, significant cha None identified to	derations associated w supplier, unreviewed d unges in fuel design, no date	and (b) in the spent fuel
Important licensing cons different fuel design or methods, significant cha None identified to 	biderations associated we supplier, unreviewed do inges in fuel design, no date bilies (a) in the core a (b) ent fuel pool storage capacity that has	and (b) in the spent fuel 44 - Spent Fuel Assemblies 8 - New Fuel Assemblies
Important licensing cons different fuel design or methods, significant cha None identified to 	biderations associated we supplier, unreviewed do anges in fuel design, no date bilies (a) in the core a (b) ent fuel pool storage capacity that has bilies.	and (b) in the spent fuel 44 - Spent Fuel Assemblies 8 - New Fuel Assemblies
Important licensing cons different fuel design or methods, significant cha None identified to 	biderations associated we supplier, unreviewed do anges in fuel design, no date date (b) (b) ent fuel pool storage capacity that has oblies. Increase size the last refueling that of	and (b) in the spent fuel 44 - Spent Fuel Assemblies 8 - New Fuel Assemblies apacity and the size of any been requested or is planned a by 0 (zero) can be discharged to the spen