

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III
789 ROOSEVELT ROAD
GLEN ELLYF, ILLINOIS 60137

JAN 1 0 1991

Docket No. 50-295 Docket No. 50-304

Commonwealth Edison Company ATTN: Mr. Cordell Reed Senior Vice President Opus West III, Suite 300 1400 Opus Place Downers Grove, IL 60515

Gentlemen:

This refers to the routine safety inspection documented by Report No. 50-295/90031 (DRP); 50-304/90031 (DRP) and forwarded by our letter dated January 4, 1991. There is a typing error in the cover letter to the report. Please replace the cover page with the enclosed page. We regret any inconvenience this may have caused.

If you have any questions regarding this matter, please contact R. Landsman of my staff at (708) 790-5587.

Sincerely,

William L. Formey, Deputy Director Division of Reactor Projects

SCOLDES)

cc w/enclosure:
M. Wallace, Vice President,
PWR Operations
T. Kovach, Nuclear
Licensing Manager
T. Joyce, Station Manager
Chandu Patel, Project Manager, NRR
DCD/DCB (RIDS)
OC/LFDCB
Resident Inspectors, Byron,
Braidwood, Zion
Richard Hubbard
J. W. McCaffrey, Chief, Public
Utilities Division
Mayor, City of Zion



## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III
788 ROOSEVELT ROAD
GLEN ELLYN, ILLINOIS 60137

JAN 1 0 1991

Docket No. 50-295 Docket No. 50-304

Commonwealth Edison Company ATTN: Mr. Cordell Reed Senior Vice President Opus West III, Suite 300 1400 Opus Place Downers Grove, IL 60515

Gentlemen:

This refers to the routine safety inspection conducted by M. J. Farber, W. G. Rogers, and P. B. Moore of this office from October 22 through December 10, 1990 of activities at the Zion Nuclear Generating Station, authorized by License Numbers DFR-39 and DPR-48, and to the discussion of our findings with Mr. T. Joyce at the conclusion of the inspection.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

We noted a strength in your program to improve maintenance procedures. However, we also noted a number of weaknesses such as problems with operator response to annunciators, control room logs, maintenance involvement in work order prioritization and followup on lost maintenance work requests. Certain of your activities appeared to be in violation of NRC requirements, as specified in the enclosed Notice. A written response is required.

With respect to violation 50-295,304/90031-02, your response should address the identification of a root cause and future review by internal audit organizations of the identified issue.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter, the enclosures, and your response to this letter will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

The responses directed by this letter and the accompanying Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980. PL 96-511.