

Big Rock Point Nuclear Plant, 10269 US-31 North, Charlevoix, MI 49720

January 9, 1991

Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

DOCKET 50-155 - LICENSE DPR-6 - BIG ROCK POINT PLANT - LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 90-008 (INCOMPLETE PRC REVIEW OF A PROPOSED MODIFICATION BEFORE IMPLEMENTATION)

Licensee Event Report (LER) 90-008 (1. )mplete PRC Review of a Proposed Modification Before Implementation) is attached. This event is reportable to the NRC per 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(i).

William L Beckman Plant Manager

CC Administrator, Region III, USNRC NRC Resident Inspector - Big Rock Point

Attachment

OC0191-0001B-BL01

9101140221 910109 PDR ADOCK 05000155 PDR 1521

72 A			

U.S. NUCLEAR REQUILATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 8/31/85

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

ACILITY	NAME (1	1		-		-		-	****	OF STREET, STREET, SQUARE, SQUARE,	THE RESIDENCE OF THE PARTY OF T	and the control of th	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	DOCKET NUMBER	(2)	MATERIAL STATES	SECTION AND DESCRIPTION AND DE	FA	3 (3)	
BIG	ROCK	POIL	T I	NUCLI	MAR P	LAN	m							0 15 10 10	101	115	15	1 05	0.1	
ITLE (4)								NAME OF STREET	-			BH-0181000-0000			dend		ah iomi	-	demonsters	
INCO	MPLET	E PI	C I	REVI	W OF	Α	PRO	POST	D MO	DIFIC	ATION	BEFOR	RE IMPLEM	ENTATION						
EVE	NT DATE	(5)			ER NUME		61		RE	PORT DA	TE (7)	AND DESCRIPTION AND DESCRIPTION AND	OTHER	FACILITIES INVO	LVED	(8)	THE REAL PROPERTY.		THE RESERVE	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR.	EAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVERO NUMBER NUMBE				EVENON	MONTH DAY YEAR			FACILITY NAMES			DOCKET NUMBERIS						
								N/A			0 15 10 10		10111							
1 2	1 9	9 0	9	0 -	0 0	8		010	0 1	0 9	9 1				0	5   0	10	01	1.1	
	RATING		THIS	REPOR	T IS BUBN	HTTE	D PUR	BUANT	TO THE R	EQUIREM	ENTS OF 10	OFR 5: 10	thack one or more	of the following) (1	11			Assessed to the same	adares a adminis	
MC	OF (8)	N	-	20.402(b)				to the same of the			50.73(a)(2)(iv)	73,71(b)		b)						
POWE		0 0	-	20.408(a)(1)(i)							50.73(a)(2)(v)			73.71(e)						
(10)	101	812	-	20.406				-	50.3610			50,73(a)(2)(vii)			-	OTHER (Specify in Abstract				
			-	20.4061				X	80.73(a			-	80.73(a)(2)(viii)(	A)		366A)				
20.408(a)(1)(iv)		-	80.73(a)(2)(ii)			80.73(a)(2)(v(k)(8)														
Name of Street, Street	20.406(a)(1)(v) 50.73(a)(2)(iii)		50.73(a)(2)(a)																	
AME	-	-			-		and the same of the		ICENSEE	CONTAC	FOR THIS	LER (12)	-					-		
ictoria.														AREA CODE	TELE	PHONE	NUMB	EH		
RJ	Alexa	inde	,	Pechi		-	-	and the state of the state of						6,1,6	5	4,7	-	6,5	131	
			-	-	COMI	ETE	ONE L	INE FO	EACH O	DMPONEN	T FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPOR	RT (13)				***********		
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONEN	T	TURER		REPO	RTABLE		DATE MATERIAL NEWS	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC TURER		PORTAL D NPRO			********	
						1				**********	A94								PPOPPRODA	
									-					Lini						
\$UPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)							EXPEST	ED	MC	HTMC	DAY	YEAR								
	(III yes, co	modes 4	VARA	ten ere	MISSION.	0.454			-	77				SUBMISS DATE (	ON					
1.60		-	Name a Branch		THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS.	DATE OF THE PARTY			EWTITURO III	( NO										

Big Rock Point Technical Specification 6.5.1.6.d requires "The PRC shall be responsible for a review of all proposed changes or modifications to plant systems or equipment that affect nuclear safety".

Contrary to the above, on December 19, 1990 during a Specification change closeout, the Engineering Superintendent discovered that the PRC Chairman's signature was omitted from the form documenting the PRC review of the Specification change.

Cause of this omission was determined to be a personnel error. Further review of this event concluded that this was an isolated occurrence. Corrective Action included completion of the form and PRC review by the Chairman. The event has been discussed in an internal correspondence addressed to each member of the engineering staff to prevent recurrence.

## LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO

APPROVED DMB NO 3180-0104

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		· k	ER NUMBER (6)	PADE (3)		
		YEAR		SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVEION NUMBER		
BIG ROCK POINT NUCLET PLANT	0  5  0  0  0   1   5	5 91	0	01018	- 010	012 01	012

#### Description of Event

Big Rock Point Technical Specification 6.5.1.6.d requires that "The Plant Review Committee (PRC) shall be responsible for review of all proposed changes or modifications to plant systems or equipment that affect Nuclear Safety".

During the closeout of Specification Change 90-019; Cycle 25 Core Reload-Core Data, the Engineering Superintendent discovered the omission of the PRC Chairman's signature on the form documenting the PRC review of the modification. This was contrary to Administrative Procedures which requires completion of PRC and QA review prior to implementation.

This event was considered to be in violation of Technical Specification 6.5.1.6.d stated above.

### Cause of the Event

The cause of the problem has been attributed to personnel error because the project engineer failed to follow Administrative Procedures, resulting in an incomplete PRC review of the proposed modification. Further review concludes this to be an isolated occurrence.

# Corrective Action

The package had received a proper PRC/QA review except for the omission of the Chairman's signature. Therefore, the review was completed by obtaining the Chairman's signature. The Specification Package was then re-reviewed and closed out by the Engineering Superintendent. The Project Engineer then drafted an Internal Correspondence describing the event. The correspondence was routed to Reactor Engineering and Project Engineering personnel to avoid recurrence.

#### Safety Significance

The Specification Change package involved summarizes the Cycle 25 Final Physics Package (produced by the Big Rock Point Reactor Engineering Section). The Final Physics Package is reviewed and approved by the Physics Design Supervisor before the Specification Change is processed. Low Power Physics Testing is performed in accordance with a related SC Package and documented per PRC/QA approved procedures.

In consideration of the above, and the fact that the SC package received PRC/QA review (except for the Chairman) the safety significance associated with this event was very low.