contribution to the s total workload.
contribution to the s total workload.
contribution to the s total workload. $\frac{3/30/82}{Date}$
contribution to the s total workload. ogram <u>-7/30/8 z</u> Date
ogram <u>7/30/82</u> Date
10 hulos
10 hulos
Date
construction deficiency the welding of con- conduit seismic Power Plant, Units 1 ed: quality assurance
ONTOTIAT

REPO	DRT :	99900787/82-01	INSPECTION RESULTS:	PAGE 2 of 8
Α.	VIO	LATIONS:		
	NON	CONFORMANCES		
в.	NUN	Contrany to Critani	on V of Appondix P to 10 CEP Pant 50 Ca	nalina
	1.	Power and Light Com paragraph 6.8.2.8 o AI Inspection Repor coated conduit seis quired by paragraph	pany Purchase Order No. H-36933, and f AWS D1.3, two welders, who were listed t (Form 12) as having performed welding mic support members, had not been qualif 6.8.2.8 of AWS D1.3.	on the on galvanize ied as re-
	2.	Contrary to Criteri paragraphs 9.1.6 an Manual, weld gages not been calibrated	on V of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50, an d 12.1.9.3 of the AI Quality Assurance P on a Lincoln welding machine (Model R3S-	d olicy 600) had
	3.	Contrary to Criteria of the AI welding p of the AWS D1.1 Cod performed, as evide the January 15, 198 4476 studs; (b) only 1982, shipment of en and (c) only 19 ben shipment of embedde	on V of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50, pa rocedure specification W-001 and paragra e, required numbers of stud bend tests w nced by: (a) only 21 bend tests were pe 2, shipment of embedded strip plates whi y 20 bend tests were performed on the Ja mbedded strip plates, which contained 38 d tests were performed on the January 28 d strip plates, which contained 2376 stu	ragraph 5.1 ph 4.2.6.2 ere not rformed on ch contained nuary 19, 36 studs; , 1982, ds.
	4.	Contrary to Criteri Power and Light Com of the AWS D1.1 Cod test, was observed	on V of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50, Ca pany Purchase Order No. H-36933 and para e, an AI employee, who had not been qual tack welding on a fabrication for Job No	rolina graph 5.3.1 ified by . 321357.
с.	UNR	ESOLVED ITEMS:		
	An tor gal Com ins	AI memorandum dated M at the conclusion of vanize coating was to pliance with these in pection.	arch 15, 1982, was presented to the NRC the inspection, which gave instructions be removed from strut weld areas by gri structions will be ascertained during a	inspec- that the nding. subsequent

REPO	DRT	99900787/	/82-01	INSPECTION RESULTS:	PAGE 3 of 8
D.	OTH	ER FINDINGS	OR COMMENTS:		
	1.	Carolina Plant, Un	Power and Lig	ht Company (CP&L): Shearon Harris Nu	clear Power
		a. Prob	lem reported	was stud separation in 59 of 1001 pla	tes tested.
		(1)	Background:		
			CP&L notifie tion occurre On March 19, deficiencies ments of 10	ed the NRC on February 16, 1982, that ed in 59 of 1001 concrete embedded str 1982, CP&L submitted their final rep in welded studs, in accordance with CFR Part 50.55(e).	stud separa- ip plates. ort of the the require-
		(2)	Findings:		
			CP&L placed 1981, with A varied in le ricated in a required 3/4 Type S3L, or	their Purchase Order No. 22AA8, dated I for 1950 concrete embedded strip plan ength from 1 to 4 feet. The plates we accordance with CP&L Drawing No. MPS-B -inch diameter by 7-inch long Nelson r approved equal to be welded to the p	December 23, ates, which re to be fab- -838, which Studs, lates.
			The fabricat shipped by A repaired by Nuclear Powe the NRC insp of the stud source inspe	tion of the 1950 plates had been complex AI and the 59 plates returned by CP&L AI and shipped back to the Shearon Ha er Plant prior to the NRC inspection; bector could not visually verify the e failures, but did verify that CP&L ha ection.	eted and had been rris therefore, xact nature d waived
			The NRC insp Reports DDR Part 50.55(e deficient pl	Dector reviewed CP&L Deficiency and Di No. 806 and No. 812, the CP&L final 1 a) report, and AI Inspection Reports f lates.	sposition O CFR or the 59
			The NRC insp that during one stud was fied that th because of u also being r	pector verified that CP&L: (1) DDR No. receipt inspection of 400 studs for 3 s bent 30° and failed; and (2) DDR No. he majority of the 59 embedded plates undercut of the stud welds, with some rejected because of lack of fusion of	806 stated, 60° flash, 812 identi- were rejected of the plates the stud

REPORT NO.:	9990	0787/82-01	INSPECTION RESULTS: PAGE 4 of 8					
		welds. The that the emb pass the AWS	CP&L 10 CFR Part 50.55(e) final report bedded strip plates contained studs the 5 D1.1 specified acceptance test.	t states at could not				
		The NRC insp specificatio qualified in was listed o welding prod	bector verified that the AI welding properties of W-001, Revision 1, for stud welding a accordance with the requirements of the AI Inspection Reports as the appreciation used for the stud	ocedure had been AWS D1.1 and plicable welding.				
		AI evaluace: stud welds a March 1, 198 recurrence of humidity was serviced by duction, and day when stu the followin (2) each stu it a slight	d that high humidity was the cause of and issued a corrective action report 32, which stated their corrective action of stud weld failure was: (1) on days above 90% the stud welding equipment AI maintenance personnel prior to state d when the humidity had been above 90% uds were welded, the equipment would be and morning regardless of the humidity and would be hit after welding, hard end bend, in order to assure complete fus	defective to CP&L on on to prevent when the would be rt of pro- during the e serviced level; and ough to give ion.				
		During revie tor, one nor Sixty 15° st welded studs the AI weld test for eve minimum of 1	ew of the AI Inspection Reports by the inconformance was identified (see parag- tud bend tests had been performed on the s. Paragraph 4.26.2 of D1.1 and parag- ing procedure specification W-001 requ- ery 100 welded studs, which would neces 107 bend tests.	NRC Inspec- raph B.3). he 10,688 raph 5.1 of ire one bend ssitate a				
		The AI quali welding prod accordance w of embedded and performa tests.	ity assurance program did assure that cedure specification W-001 had been qua with AWS D1.1, but did not preclude the strip plates with identified defective ance of an insufficient number of 15°	the stud alified in e shipment e stud welds stud bend				
	b.	Problem reported undercut weld det	was 36 of 79 crane rail embedded plate fects.	es had				
		(1) Background:						

CP&L notified NRC on April 29, 1982, that during receipt inspection, 36 of 79 crane rail embedded plates were found

REPORT NO.:	99900727/	/82-01	INSPECTION RESULTS:	PAGE 5 of 8
		to have un item to de	dercut weld defects and CP& termine its reportability.	L was evaluating the
	(2)	Findings:		
		CP&L place February 1 accordance plates wer 22 inches Type S3L N plied by C	d their Purchase Order No. 9, 1982, for 312 crane rail with their drawing MPS-B-8 e 1½ inches thick by 45 inc wide, with five 7/8 inch di elson studs and 5 cadweld s P&L) welded to each plate.	H-37507, dated embedded plates in 52, Revision 2. The thes long by ameter by 8 inches long plice sleeves (sup-
		AI personn DDR-880 on shipment of defects. the Shearo of May 198 embedded p was waitin first and splice sle straight e were reject of an inch for repain fourth and embedded p ally verif	el informed the NRC inspect April 19, 1982, notifying f crane rail embedded plate AI stated that: (1) when t n Harris Nuclear Power Plan 2, CP&L had received the se lates and the third shipmen g to be unloaded; (2) the 1 second shipments were laid eve welds were inspected fo dge and wire feeler gages; ted because the weld underc and returned to the AI. En s; and (4) none of the 77 e final shipment were reject lates were onsite, the NRC y the depth and magnitude o	or that CP&L issued their them that 36 of the first s contained weld undercut heir personnel arrived on t site, the second week cond shipment of 45 t of 81 embedded plates 54 embedded plates of the out and the cadweld or undercut using a (3) 16 embedded plates cut was greater than 0.030 iterprise, Alabama, plant embedded plates of the ced by CP&L. Since all inspector could not visu- of the weld undercut.
		The NRC in had waived	spector verified by review source inspection.	of documents that CP&L
		The NRC in tion 321BB however, t was perfor ification	spector reviewed AI welding -1 and verified that it had he AI Inspection Reports st med in accordance with ALFA W-004.	procedure specifica- been accepted by CP&L ated that the welding B welding procedure spec-

AI personnel informed the NRC inspector that the welding of the cadweld splice sleeve had been performed in accordance with their welding procedure specification 32BB-1 and agreed that their documentation did not confirm their statement.

REPORT NO.:	9990	00727/	/82-01	INSPECTION RESULTS:	PAGE 6 of 8
			The AI quali of crane rai of cadweld s that welding rather than cation 32BB-	ty assurance program did not preclude 1 embedded plates with identified defi leeves and the AI Inspection Reports procedure specification W-004 had be the customer accepted welding procedur 1.	the shipment ective welds recording en used, re specifi-
	c.	Prob unde	olem reported ercut weld def	was 87 of 309 conduit support assembl iciencies.	ies had
		(1)	Background:		
			CP&L notifie deficiency, AI had under	d NRC on May 5, 1982, of a potential 87 of 309 conduit support assemblies cut weld deficiencies.	construction received from
		(2)	Findings:		
			CP&L placed January 19, accordance w Site Specifi members were Grade B, tub Size B-52, w CP&L purchas with AWS D1.	their Purchase Order No. H-36933, data 1982, for 600 conduit seismic support with their Drawing No. MPS-B-845, Revise cation No. 025. The conduit seismic fabricated from 3 inch square ASTM A ing with a B-Line System, Inc., galva welded to it with 1/8 inch skip fillet e order requires the welding to be in 1 and AWS D1.3.	ed members in sion 2, and support -500, nized strut, welds. The accordance
			The NRC insp inspection o support memb Power Plant.	ector verified that CP&L had waived f if the conduit seismic support member ers had been shipped to the Shearon H	inal source and that all arris Nuclear
			AI personnel ment of cond the site and been shipped inspector of	informed the NRC inspector that the luit seismic support members had been scrapped. Additionally, all support which precluded direct verification the magnitude of the weld deficienci	first ship- returned from members had by the NRC es.
62			Paragraph 6. galvanized s material. 0	8.2.8 of AWS D1.3 requires welders we heet steel to be qualified using galv one nonconformance was identified by t	lding on anized he NRC

REPORT NO.:	99900727/82-01	INSPECTION RESULTS:	PAGE 7 of 8
	inspector (s tion that tw for the firs the galvaniz been qualifi	ee paragraph B.1) as a result of the o welders were listed on the AI Inspec t shipment of support members (which ed coating removed in the weld areas) ed for welding on galvanized coated s	identifica- ction Report did not have , who had not teel.
	AI personnel coating had support memb shipments; h the inspecti coating had personnel pr dum, dated M galvanize co grinding.	informed the NRC inspector that the been removed in the weld area of the ers for the first shipment and all su owever, no documentation was made ava on, which would substantiate that the been removed. After the exit intervi- esented to the NRC Inspector an inter- arch 15, 1982, which gave instruction ating was to be removed from the stru	galvanized replacement bsequent ilable during galvanize ew, the AI nal memoran- s that the ts by
	Compliance w during a sub and inspecti solved (see	ith this internal memorandum will be sequent inspection by review of appli- on documentation. This item is consi- paragraph C.).	ascertained cable process dered unre-
	CP&L accepte however, AI were welded tion W-004,	d AI welding procedure specification in Inspection Reports stated that the sup in accordance with AI welding procedur Revision 7.	22CC-1; pport members re specifica-
	The AI quali of conduit s welds, ungua and the AI I specificatio accepted wel	ty assurance program did not preclude eismic support members with identified lified welders welding on galvanize conspection Report recording that welding n W-004 had been used, rather than the ding procedure specification 22CC-1.	the shipment d defective oated steel, ng procedure e customer
2.	Control of Special Pro	cesses-Welding:	
	Reviewed Sections 9 an Manual, five welding p observed fabrication a	d 12 of the AI Quality Assurance Polic rocedures, three welder qualification nd welding in progress.	cy s, and
	Two nonconformances we by the NRC inspector o qualified in accordanc	re identified as a result of the obse f: (a) An AI employee, who had not be e with the requirements of AWS D1.1.	rvations been was

REPORT NO.:	99900727/82-01	RESULTS:	PAGF 8 of 8
	observed tack weldi paragraph B.4); and Model R3S-600, whic in progress, had no	ng on a fabrication for Job No. 321357 ( (b) the weld gages on a Lincoln welding h was located in the bay where nuclear w t been calibrated (see paragraph B.2).	see machine, ork was
	The NRC inspector a tack welder had not Inspector, although was being performed	dditionally noted, that the use of an und been identified by the AI Quality Assur- present in the immediate area where the	qualified ance activity
3.	Review of QA Progra	<u>m</u> :	
	Reviewed: (a) the menting procedures welding and nondest of inspection, weld that the quality as evidenced by the no	AI Quality Assurance Policy Manual; (b) for receiving inspection, shop inspection ructive testing procedures; and (c) the o ing, and calibration. The NRC inspector surance program was not being fully imple nconformances identified in Section B of	imple- n, and documentation identified emented, as this report.

	PERSONS CONTACTED	1		
Company ALFAB Inc.	_	Dates	Aug 9 - 1	982
Docket/Report No. 99900 787	_	Inspector	Wm D. Kelle	4
			Page 1 of	7

NAME(Please Print)	TITLE(Please Print)	ORGANIZATION(Please Print)
B.R. Dicus	Exec Vice President	A.I.
	\$ Secretary	
M. Hall	Plant Manager	A.1
R. Hilburn	Engineering Manager	A.L.
B. Grantham	Quality Assurane Manager	A.1
M. R. Salsman	Contract Administrator	A.1
M.N. Brown	Sales Manager	A.1.

Docket No. 99900787 Report No. 82-001 Page Z of Z	Doc Date Doc Rev	ual 5/13/82 12.	12/23/81	y Related & Seismic 5		bedded Plates 11/17/81 0	on thear Connector	2" 2nd 76" Shid Flax Shids 3/23/72	2 Certification 9/2/81	or flux barameters	for Weldinia	· ··	for Manual . 4	el la	lare No Wool .	22448 1/15/82	Conformance A 7584 1/7/80		W NO. 4A 98381- MW 3/28/80
Kelley Encies of the walds DOCUMENTS EXAMINED Is to embedded	TITLE/SUBJECT	ALFAB Quality Assurance Policy Nanual	CPAL Purchase Order 22AAB	CP 16 Specification No. 025 Nuclear Safety Relate	- Class I Embedded Steel & Related Items	CPAL Dwg. No MPS-B-838 Type IL Embedded	IRW Nelson Division Cartification Nelson Shea	Stid Weldability Qualification-Nelson 34" and 70	TRW Nelson Division letter to ALFAB Certi	"no changes in any critical study ferrile or flux ,	ALFAB Procedure W-001 Procedure for 4	Steel Studs to Steel	ALFAB Procedure W-007 Procedure for M	Arielded Are Welding of Carbon Steel	ALFAB luspection Report per Procedure No	ALFAB Inspection Report Job No. 22 AA	ERICO Products Inc. Certification of Conform	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1	WEL Electrode 105T Report WCHENDO, 4
- WM 0 Deficientes	Doc. Type	4	5	2		1	8		2		5		2		8	8	B	6	α
Enspector Scope	Item Nb.	10	02	03		04	. 05		06		20		08		60	01	11		21

Purchas Order Internal Memo Letter Other (Specify-if necessary)

.

6. . . .

Document Types: 1. Drawing 2. Specification 3. Frocedure 4. QA Manual

ector e/Module	Docket No. 9990 Report No. 82 Page <u>3</u> of <u>7</u>				
1	strip 2	plates. TITLE/SUBJECT	3	4	
13	8	Conners Steel Co-Cartified Mill Test Report NT 26426 + 141772	1/4/82		
14	8	ALFAB Certificate of Conformance	1/15/02		
15	8	ALFAB Shop Release for Shipment	1/15/82		
16		ALFAB Memo Dicus to Hall RE. 59 Rejected Embed Plate	2/11/82		
10	8	CP4L LETTER Subject, CF4LISC, HISESUG, CIMPER FISTES	2/2/82		
19	8	CPAL " " " DDR No812	2/2/82		
20	7	ALFAB letter to CPOL Corrective Action Report	3/1/82		
			and the second second		
			1.0		

Document Types:

1. Drawing 2. Specification

3. Procedure 4. QA Manual

7. Letter 8. Other (Specify-if necessary)

5. Purchas Order 6. Internal Memo

Columns:

- Sequential Item Number
   Type of Document
   Date of Document

- 4. Revision (If applicable)

<u>dieves</u> <u>in the</u> <u>disleeves</u> <u>bedded plates</u> <u>pocuments EXAMIMED</u> <u>Report No. 99900767</u> <u>Report No. 82-01</u> <u>Page 4 of 2</u>	Doc Date Doc Rev	5/13/82 12 .	2/19/82		11	- // -		5/17/82	3/24 /62	/ /	•	4/2/02	3/26 thru 4/4/6	3/26 thy 4/5/62	4/2/82	4/15/8/	10/20/80	7/20/81	
	TITLE/SUBJECT	ALFAB Quality Assurance Policy Manual	CPAL Purchase Order H-37507 For	312 each 1"×11-10"×3"-9" Embedded Plates	CPAL AS-7 Documentation Submittal Checklist	EBASCO Provert Specification CAR-5H-AS-7	Structural Steel - Seismic Class 1 & Non-Seismic Class 1	CPAL P.O Change Order 2 Change thekness to 1'2"	Daniel Construction Loi - Cadweld Test Report	At ALFAB Qualified Joint Welding Procedure 32 8B-1	Cadweld sleeves	CPAL letter to ALFAB Approval of ALFAB Procedure 3283-1	ALFAB Inspection Report Form #12	ALFAB Maguetic Particle Examination Report.	ALFAB Inspection Report Form #14 (Seven)	WEC Electrode Test Report HT 46744	UCC-Linde Div. Certified Material Test Report HT 686076	AMICO Report of Chemical and Physical Tests HT 15603	
Deficien ds ef cau	Doc. Type	4	5		8	2		ø	8	2		4	0	8	Ø	0	8	Ø	
Enspector Scope well	Item No.	10	02		03	04		05	06	10.		08	60	10	11	12	13	14	

Letter Other (Specify-if necessary)

\*

Purchas Order Internal Memo 5. 9.

Document Types: 1. Drawing 2. Specification 3. Procedure 4. QA Manual

0787 -01 of <u>7</u>	E Doc Rev	2	2	7					
9990 82	Doc Dat	4/19/8							
DOCUMENTS EXAMINED Report No. Report No. Pag	TITLE/SUBJECT	and Disposition Report DDR 880	5-B-B52 FHB-Embedded Plates Closne Runary	For Gas Metal Are Welding of Carbon Steel.					
noises in the scies in the scadweld steeves		CPAL Deficiency	ALFAB Procedure +	ALFAB Precedure +					
Deficie de of th	Doc. Type	Ø	- 03	3					
spector Scope well	tem Nb.	15	16	18					1

- Purchas Order Internal Memo Letter Other (Specify-if necessary)
- 8.7.6.
- Document Types: 1. Drawing 2. Specification 3. Procedure 4. QA Manual

01 01 01	Doc Rev	12 .	6	د ۲													
999000 82- ge 4	Doc Date	1-1-1	14/19/19/			3/8/82		3/10/02	2/1/82	2/4/82	10/26/02	1/19/82	3/18/82	3/22/87		Abiles	
2. Kelley noise of the DOCUMENTS EXMINED Report No. the conduit Pa	TITLE/SUBJECT	ALFAB Quality Assurance Policy Manual	CPAL Furchase Order H-36933 CPAL Site Specification No 025 Nuclear Setety Related	CPAL Conduit Seismic Subhart Member Tune FAI-3	ALFAB Sheet Steel Welding, Procedure Specification 22661	CPAL letter to ALFAB Subject & Acceptance of ALFAB	Specification 23001, Revil	ALFAB Mapection Report Form #12	Imperial Coatings Lock - Supplier Reard of Jast Results	B-Line Sustems, Inc - Statement of Conformance	Union Carbide Corp-Linde Div- Material Cartificstion	Independence Tube Corp - Material Certification	ALFAB Certificate of Conformance	CPUL Record of Telephone Conversation - Subject	Final Source Examination waived	CPAL Deficiency and Disposition Report	DDR No 891
Deficie Deficie Ids of	Doc. Type	4	2	-	3	7		0	a	Ø	8	8	Ø	8			00
Inspector Scope	Item No.	10	02	64	50	00		67	00	60	10	11	12	13			+1

Purchas Order Internal Memo Letter Other (Specify-if necessary)

×

5. 6. 8.

Document Types: 1. Drawing 2. Specification 3. Procedure 4. QA Manual

94900787 82-01 ge Z of Z	Doc Date Doc Rev	7/29/82		•		:	:			
Docket No Report No Pa										
is of the DOCUMENTS EXMINED	TITLE/SUBJECT	FAB Credit Memo to CPAL								<ul> <li>Purchas Order</li> <li>Internal Memo</li> <li>Letter</li> <li>Other (Specify-if necessary)</li> </ul>
WMD.Ke Deficience las of the bearts	Doc. Type	8								nt Types: awing ecification ocedure Manual
Inspector Scope	Item No.	15							•	Docume 1. Dr 2. Sp 3. Pr 4. QA