

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

# SAFETY EVALUATION BY THE OFFICE OF NUCLEAR REACTOR REGULATION

#### RELATED TO AMENDMENT NO. 104 TO FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE NO. DPR-6

#### CONSUMERS POWER COMPANY

#### BIG ROCK POINT PLANT

#### DOCKET NO. 50-155

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

By letter dated August 14, 1990, Consumers Power Company (the licensee) requested amendment to the Technical Specifications (TSs) appended to Facility Operating License No. DPR-6 for the Big Rock Point Plant. The proposed amendment would revise Technical Specification Sections 6.2.2.g(4), 6.5.1.2, and 6.3.4 to reflect changes in the organizational structure of the Operations and Engineering Departments.

#### 2.0 EVALUATION

A. Revise the first paragraph following Section 6.2.2.g(4) to read as follows:

"Any deviation from the above guidelines shall be authorized by the Plant Manager or his designated alternate, or higher levels of Management, in accordance with established procedures and with documentation of the basis for granting the deviation."

This change removes the reference to titles from the portion of the Technical Specifications dealing with working hour limitations. This proposed change follows the intended guidance of Nuclear Regulatory Commission Generic Letter 88-06 dated March 22, 1988.

B. Revise Section 6.5.1.2 to delete the Operations Supervisor as a member of the PRC and change "Production and Performance Superintendent" to "Operations Superintendent."

This change modifies the composition of the Plant Review Committee (PRC) due to the changes discussed above. This proposed change was reviewed against the Standard Technical Specifications (NUREG-0123, Revision 3), Section 6.5.1, Unit Review Group and found to be in compliance with the guidelines.

C. Revise Section 6.3.4 to read as follows:

"The Operations Superintendent shall hold a Senior Reactor Operator License. The Operations Superintendent shall be responsible for directing the activities of licensed operators. He shall meet the

Based on the above, we find the requested changes acceptable.

# 3.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATION

This amendment involves changes which are administrative in nature. The Commission has previously issued a proposed finding that this amendment involves no significant hazards consideration and there has been no public comment on such finding. Accordingly, this amendment meets the eligibility criteria for categorical exclusion set forth in 10 CFR section 51.22(c)(10). Pursuant to 10 CFR i1.22(b), no environmental impact statement or environmental assessment need be prepared in connection with the issuance of this amendment.

## 4.0 CONCLUSION

The staff has concluded, based on the considerations discussed above, that:
(1) there is reasonable assurance that the health and safety of the public will not be endangered by operation in the proposed manner, and (2) such activities will be conducted in compliance with the Commission's regulations, and the issuance of the amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public. The staff therefore concludes that the proposed changes are acceptable.

Principal Contributors: A. Masciantonio

Date: January 2, 1991