82 NOV -8 AT :43

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY EXECUTIVE

PETER F. COHALAR

JOHN C. GALLAGHER

November 8, 1982

100 - MUMBER 50 - 322 0L

The Honorable Nunzio J. Palladino
The Honorable Victor Gilinsky
The Honorable James K. Asselstine
The Honorable John F. Ahearne
The Honorable Thomas Roberts
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Meesrs. Chairman and Commissioners:

On behalf of the citizens of Suffolk County, I am writing to inform you that the hearing on the safety of the Shoreham nuclear power plant is being marred by the procedural irregularity of your Licensing Board. I ask that you promptly intercede to exercise the Commission's supervisory authority over the conduct of the hearing.

Last week, the Licensing Board tentatively decided to discard normal hearing procedures on certain critical issues of emergency preparedness and quality assurance. The Board stated its intention not to preside over the cross-examination of expert witnesses and, thus, in effect not to exercise its important role of helping to shape the development of probative evidence in the adversarial framework established by law.

Instead, the Board directed the parties to schedule questioning among themselves by the invention of so-called "evidentiary depositions," outside the public hearing room and in the absence of the Board Members. The Board indicated that it would later rule on the admissibility of portions of the parties' question-and-answer transcripts at a brief public session and ask the witnesses any questions the Board might then have.

8211090411 821108 PDR ADDCK 05000322 G FDR

0503

HAUPPAUGE RY. 11786

191 64 360-4000

The Board's proposal displays either ignorance of or indifference to the meaning and importance of a public hearing. The proposal is a gross departure from the norm and is unacceptable to Suffolk County. Accordingly, I am instructing the County's counsel and expert consultants not to participate in the Board's proposed procedures.

By joining the BRC's Shoreham hearing, Suffolk County assumed and accepted the applicability of established rules and customery procedures. We now insist that your Licensing Board apply those rules and procedures. In Suffolk County, a "public bearing" means just that, nothing rore and nothing less. A hearing is a forum of right and privilege in which to develop facts. The personal involvement of attentive adjudicators is indispensable. Your Licensing Board's invention of so-called "evidentiary depositions" as a substitute for the normal hearing procedures not only does violence to the settled adjudicatory framework of the NRC, but it cheapens the roles of both the Board and the parties to the proceeding.

Shorehom's safety, the issues being heard by the Licensing Board are serious matters. We hold the Board accountable to perform its judicial functions with care, temperament, and maturity befitting the high public responsibility with which it has been entrusted. The Board's proposal to discard normal hearing procedures in this case is an insult — a suggestion that the MPC does not consider the public's safety concerns at Shorehom to be important enough to justify following the ordinary course.

I ask that you promptly act to terminate this potentially divisive controversy by instructing the Licensing Board to use normal public hearing procedures in the Shoreham proceeding. Suffolk County is not willing to permit the Shoreham safety hearing to become a Laboratory for experiments in regulatory procedure.

Sincerely yours,

PETER P. COHALAN

SUFFOLK COUNTY EXECUTIVE

Dr. Peter A. Morris
Dr. James L. Carpenter