RETURN TO URFO SS-467

DISTRIBUTION PDR Docket File No. 40-8771 URFO r/f URFO w/f NRC Region IV YAYoung JJLinehan HJPettengill RDSmith JTCollins BFisher

URF0:YAY Docket No. 40-8771 04008771050E

MEMORANDUM FOR: Docket File No. 40-8771

FROM:

Yvonne Young, Project Manager Uranium Recovery Field Office

NOV 0 1 1982

SUBJECT:

REVIEW OF MALAPAI RESOURCES COMPANY'S REVISIONS TO THEIR WYDEQ PERMIT APPLICATION (MAY 25, 1982) AND PERMIT ISSUED BY WYDEQ (MAY 3, 1982)

I have reviewed Malapai's revisions to their WyDEQ Mine Permit application, and the Mine Permit issued by WyDEQ.

With the exception of the cost breakdown for the proposed surety to cover all groundwater restoration, reclamation and decommissioning at the specified site, the material submitted to WyDEQ as well as the stipulations to the permit issued by WyDEQ contain no new information or data beyond that previously reviewed by the NRC. The staff fur' reviewed the cost breakdown for the proposed surety to determ: the data and information provided would satisfy the requirements of data License Condition No. 58 which requires Malapai to submit a proposed surety supported by a detailed cost breakdown prior to injection of lixiviant. The purpose of this review was to notify Malapai if the data submitted to WyDEQ would not satisfy License Condition 58 prior to Malapai formally submitting the information to us.

Subsequently, the staff's review of Malapai's cost breakdown for their proposed surety in Malapai's WyDEQ mine permit application shows that additional supporting information is needed, when compared to the requirements of the NRC's License Condition No. 58.

The NRC will notify Malapai Resources Company by letter that the following additional items (including itemized quantities, unit costs and total costs) will have to be addressed in their submittal to the NRC.

40-8771/yay/82/07/21/0

OFC :	· · · ·		:	÷ .
NAME			 	
DATE :	OFFICIAL DOCKET	COPY		
	B211070053 B21101 PDR ADOCK 04008771 C PDR			

Docket File No. 40-8771 04008771050E

- 2 -

NOV 0 1 1982

Item 1 - Groundwater Restoration

Basic Restoration Equipment Used (Reverse Osmosis, IX, Etc.,)

- Groundwater Monitoring and Analytical Costs
- Manpower, Technical Supervision

Item 2 - Evaporation Ponds

Removal of liners and leak detection system and cost associated with disposal of liners and evaporation pond residues and liquids, and transportation of the liquid and solid wastes to a disposal site.

Item 3 - Decontamination

Gamma and soil surveys to verify site decontamination. Decontamination surveys for buildings and equipment.

In addition, based on costs estimates from other R&D ISL's and groundwater restoration costs from "Report of Groundwater Quality After In Situ Uranium Leaching", prepared for the U.S. Bureau of Mines by Ford, Bacon, and Davis Utah, Inc, it appears that the \$20,000 for groundwater restoration is inadequate.

MRC will be requested to include the above information as well as that previously submitted to DEQ in their submittal required by License Condition No. 58. Upon receipt of MRC's submittal of their proposed surety supported by a detailed cost breakdown, prior to injection of lixiviant, the NRC will review the surety and determine if it satisfies the requirements of License Condition No. 58.

Original Signed By:

Yvonne Young, Project Manager Uran'um Recovery Field Office

Original Signed by: J. J. Linehan

Approved by:

J. J. Linehan, Section Leader Uranium Recovery Field Office

Case closed DY008771050E 40-8771/yay/82/07/21/0

OFC URFO NAME YAYoung 42 1 nehan / - 1 -DATE :82/10/21 *