

**08** 18 1982

Mr. T. Green, Superintendent  
Painesville Electric Division  
7 Richmond Street  
Painesville, Ohio 44077

RE: OPERATING LICENSE REVIEW OF THE PERRY/DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR PLANTS

Dear Mr. Green:

To date we have not received your response from our letter inquiry regarding the captioned nuclear plants (see letter dated August 9, 1982, attached).

In order to thoroughly address all areas of possible changed activity by CAPCO member systems, we would very much appreciate your response to our inquiry within two weeks of the date of this letter. If you have any questions, please feel free to telephone Mr. William Lambe of my staff at (301) 492-4922.

Thank you for your cooperation.

Sincerely,

Argil L. Toalston, Chief  
Antitrust & Economic  
Analysis Branch  
Division of Engineering

Attached: Ltr dtd 8/9/82

Distribution

AEAB rdg  
AEAB subject  
WLambe rdg  
AToalston rdg  
JRutberg, ELD  
PDR  
LPR  
TERA

**Central Files**

NSIC

8211060475 821018  
PDR ADOCK 05000346  
M PDR

OFFICE	AEAB	AEAB				
SURNAME	WLambe:bjp	AToalston				
DATE	10/14/82	10/18/82				

AUG 9 1982

Mr. T. Green, Superintendent  
Painesville Electric Division  
7 Richmond Street  
Painesville, Ohio 44077

Dear Mr. Green:

OPERATING LICENSE ANTITRUST REVIEW OF THE PERRY/DAVIS-BESSE NUCLEAR PLANTS

The NRC staff is presently reviewing the application of the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, hereinafter, CEI, (as one of the co-applicant CAPCO pool members) for an operating license for Unit 1 of the Perry Nuclear Plant. The purpose of this review is to establish whether any significant changes, which have antitrust implications, have occurred as a consequence of CEI's (or other CAPCO members') activities since the construction permit antitrust review was completed in 1977.

As a means of assisting in our analysis of significant changes, we would appreciate your response to the following questions:

1. Has CEI completed the second 138 kv transmission line to the Painesville electric system?
2. What effect has the interconnection or lack of the interconnection with CEI had on the system planning and the operation of the Painesville electric system?
3. What type of service, if any, is the City of Painesville taking from CEI?
4. What effect (or anticipated effect), if any, have the changes in the Basic CAPCO Operating Agreement and the discontinuation of joint CAPCO generating units had on the City's planning and system operation? and,
5. What is your judgment of the viability of the Painesville electric system?

8208240081

To assure a timely review of the captioned operating license application, we would appreciate your response to this inquiry within thirty days.

Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

*A/* A. L. Toalston

Argil Toalston, Chief  
Antitrust and Economic  
Analysis Branch  
Division of Engineering  
Office of Nuclear Reactor  
Regulation