

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

EXHIBIT A

CONTROL BLOCK: [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] [] (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 | F | L | G | R | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | [] [] [] [] (5)
7 8 9 LICENSE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 23 24 LICENSE TYPE 30 31 CAT 32

01 | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 0 | - | 0 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 7 | 8 | 2 | 9
7 8 REPORT SOURCE 9 DOCKET NUMBER 20 21 EVENT DATE 24 25 REPORT DATE 28 29

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

012 | In February 1982 monthly surveillance of the containment cooling system
013 | was not performed as is required by T.S. 4.6.2.3.a. This event was iden-
014 | tified by a QA audit on 9-27-82. There was no effect on public health or
015 | safety. This is the first time that monthly surveillance required by
016 | T.S. 4.6.2.3.a. was not performed as required.
017 |
018 |

019 | S | B | 11 | A | 12 | A | 13 | Z | Z | Z | Z | Z | 14 | [] | 15 | 7 | 16
7 8 9 SYSTEM CODE 10 CAUSE CODE 11 CAUSE SUBCODE 12 COMPONENT CODE 13 CORR SUBCODE 14 VALVE SUBCODE 15
17 LER NO. 18 REPORT NUMBER 19 EVENT YEAR 20 21 SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. 22 OCCURRENCE CODE 23 REPORT TYPE 24 REVISION NO.
20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
ACTIONS TAKEN 33 FUTURE ACTIONS 34 EFFECT ON PLANT 35 SHUTDOWN METHOD 36 HOURS 37 ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED 38 NRC/DOE FORMS/SUB 39 PRIME CORR. SUPPLIER 40 COMPONENT MANUFACTURER
H | Z | 19 | Z | 20 | [] | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | Y | 23 | N | 24 | Z | 25 | Z | 19 | 19 | 19 | (47)
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47
CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

110 | This event was caused by personnel error. Personnel were relying on an
111 | uncontrolled document (control room status board) to determine the sur-
112 | veillance requirements rather than the approved procedures. On 9-27-82
113 | personnel were instructed on the importance of using controlled docu-
114 | ments in daily operations.

115 | G | 3 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 29 | N/A | 30 | B | 31 | Quality Programs Audit | 32
7 8 9 FACILITY STATUS 10 NRC/DOE 11 OTHER STATUS 12 METHOD OF DISCOVERY 13 DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 14
116 | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | N/A | 35 | N/A | 36 | LOCATION OF RELEASE 37
7 8 9 ACTIVITY CONTENT 10 RELEASED OR RELEASE 11 AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 12 LOCATION OF RELEASE 13
117 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 38 | Z | 39 | N/A | 40
7 8 9 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES 10 NUMBER 11 TYPE 12 DESCRIPTION 13
118 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 40 | [] | 41 | N/A | 42
7 8 9 PERSONNEL INJURIES 10 NUMBER 11 DESCRIPTION 12
119 | Z | 42 | [] | 43 | N/A | 44 | 45
7 8 9 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY 10 TYPE 11 DESCRIPTION 12
120 | N | 44 | [] | 45 | N/A | 46 | 47
7 8 9 REGION 10 DESCRIPTION 11
NRC USE ONLY
58 59

Name of preparer: P. G. Hughes PHONE: (904) 795-5486

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION

REPORT NO: 50-302/82-060/O3L-0

FACILITY: Crystal River Unit #3

REPORT DATE: October 27, 1982

IDENTIFICATION DATE: September 27, 1982

OCCURRENCE DATE: February 1982

IDENTIFICATION OF OCCURRENCE:

On September 27, 1982, Florida Power Corporation Quality Programs Department reported that no objective evidence existed to show that the containment cooling system monthly surveillance had been completed for February 1982.

CONDITIONS PRIOR TO OCCURRENCE:

Mode V (Cold Shutdown)

DESCRIPTION OF OCCURRENCE:

In February 1982, Technical Specification personnel were verbally informed that the containment cooling system surveillance frequency was being changed from monthly to quarterly. The control room surveillance status board was apparently changed to reflect the anticipated revision. The anticipated revision, however, was never approved or issued, thus the surveillance was not performed in February.

DESIGNATION OF APPARENT CAUSE:

This event was caused by personnel error, failure to follow approved procedures.

ANALYSIS OF OCCURRENCE:

There was no effect on public health or safety. Containment cooling system surveillance performed in March showed that the system was operable.

CORRECTIVE ACTION:

On September 27, 1982, personnel were instructed on the importance of using approved procedures in daily operations.

FAILURE DATA:

This is the first time that monthly surveillance required by Technical Specification 4.6.2.3.a was not performed as required.