

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (1) (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

(0) 1 | M E M Y P 1 | (2) 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 | (3) 4 1 1 1 | (4) | (5)
7 8 9 14 15 25 26 30 57 CAT 58
 LICENSEE CODE LICENSE NUMBER LICENSE TYPE 57 CAT 58

CON'T
 (0) 1 | R E P L | (6) 0 5 0 0 0 3 0 9 | (7) 0 8 0 8 8 2 | (8) 1 0 2 9 8 2 | (9)
7 8 60 61 68 69 74 75 80
 REPORT SOURCE DOCKET NUMBER EVENT DATE REPORT DATE

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)
 (0) 2 | During normal plant operations, an Auxiliary Operator found a small leak in a 3/4" |
 (0) 3 | sample line. The sample line is teed off the "A" train HPSI pump suction line. |
 (0) 4 | Isolating the "A" train HPSI pump suction line for the weld repair placed the plant |
 (0) 5 | in a degraded mode with respect to the operability of safeguard systems. "B" train |
 (0) 6 | remained operable throughout the repair. The NRC was informed of the leak via the |
 (0) 7 | Emergency Notification System (ENS). The "A" train HPSI pump repair was completed |
 (0) 8 | and the system placed in service in less than 26 hours. Technical Specifications |
7 8 9 (see attached sheet) 80

(0) 9 | S F | (11) B | (12) C | (13) D I P E X X | (14) A | (15) Z | (16)
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 18 19 20
 SYSTEM CODE CAUSE CODE CAUSE SUBCODE COMPONENT CODE COMP. SUBCODE VALVE SUBCODE

(17) LER/PO REPORT NUMBER | (8) 2 | | 0 2 5 | | 0 3 | | X | | 1 |
21 22 23 24 26 27 28 29 30 31 32
 EVENT YEAR SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. OCCURRENCE CODE REPORT TYPE REVISION NO.

ACTION TAKEN | FUTURE ACTION | EFFECT ON PLANT | SHUTDOWN METHOD | HOURS | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | NPRD-4 FORM SUB. | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER |
 (18) Z | (19) Z | (20) Z | (21) Z | (22) 0 0 0 0 | (23) Y | (24) Y | (25) Z | (26) Z 9 9 9 |
32 34 35 36 37 40 41 42 43 44 47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)
 (1) 0 | Visual inspection of the single crack in the weld did not conclusively indicate the |
 (1) 1 | failure mode. The weld was sectioned, polished and then examined under a microscope |
 (1) 2 | with and without acid etching. Microscopic examination indicated that the crack was |
 (1) 3 | caused by lack of fusion. The failed 3/4 inch sample line was removed and replaced |
 (1) 4 | with new material. All welds were liquid penetrant examined and the line was |
7 8 9 80

(1) 5 | E | (28) 0 9 2 | (29) NA | (30) A | (31) Operator observation | (32)
7 8 9 10 12 13 44 45 46
 FACILITY STATUS % POWER OTHER STATUS METHOD OF DISCOVERY DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION

(1) 6 | Z | (33) Z | (34) NA | (35) NA | (36) NA |
7 8 9 10 11 44 45
 ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY LOCATION OF RELEASE

(1) 7 | 0 0 0 | (37) Z | (38) NA | (39) NA |
7 8 9 11 12 13
 PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER TYPE DESCRIPTION

(1) 8 | 0 0 0 | (40) NA | (41) NA | (42) NA |
7 8 9 11 12
 PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER DESCRIPTION

(1) 9 | Z | (42) NA | (43) NA | (44) NA |
7 8 9 10 11 12
 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE DESCRIPTION

(2) 0 | N | (44) NA | (45) NA | (46) NA |
7 8 9 10
 PUBLICITY ISSUED DESCRIPTION

8211060382 821029
 PDR ADDOCK 05000309
 S PDR

NRC USE ONLY

(0) 1 | N | (44) NA | (45) NA | (46) NA |
7 8 9 10
 NAME OF PREPARER Almon D. Rivers PHONE: 207/623-3521

GPO 91-7-926

Maine Yankee Reportable Occurrence #82-025/03X-1

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES CONTINUED

allows one train out of service for 72 hours therefore there was no effect on the public health or safety.

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS CONTINUED

hydrotested. All other welds made during the installation of the plant change associated with this failure were reexamined using liquid penetrant process. No other defects were found.