NUREG/CR-4918 Vol. 4

# Control of Water Infiltration Into Near Surface LLW Disposal Units

Progress Report on Field Experiments at a Humid Region Site, Beltsville, Maryland

Prepared by R. K. Schulz/UC R. W. Ridky/UM E. O'Donnell/NRC

University of California

University of Maryland

Prepared for U.S. Nucl: ar Regulatory Commission

> 9101040285 901231 PDR NUREG CR-4918 R PDR

#### AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Availability of Reference Materials Cited in NRC Publications

Most documents sited in NRC publications will be available from one of the following sources:

- 1. The NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Lower Level, Washington, DC 20555
- 2. The Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 37082, Washington, DC 20013-7082
- 3. The National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161

Although the listing that follows represents the majority of documents cited in NRC publications, it is not intended to be exhaustive.

Referenced documents available for inspection and copying for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room include NRC correspondence and internal NRC memoranda; NRC Office of Inspection and Enforcement bulletins, circulars, information notices, inspection and investigation notices; Licensee Event Reports; vendor reports and correspondence; Commission papers; and applicant and licensee documents and correspondence.

The following documents in the NUREG series are available for purchase from the GPO Sales Program: formal NRC staff and contractor reports, NRC-sponsored conference proceedings, and NRC booklets and brochures. Also available are Regulatory Guides, NRC regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations, and Nuclear Regulatory Commission Issuances.

Documents available from the National Technical Information Service Include NUREG series reports and technical reports prepared by other federal agencies and reports prepared by the Atomic Energy Commission, forerunner agency to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal and periodical artifies, and transactions. *Federal Register* notices, federal and state legislation, and congressional reports can usually be obtained from these libraries.

Documents such as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings are available for purchase from the organization sponsoring the publication cited.

Single copies of NRC draft reports are available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request to the Office of Information Resources Management, Distribution Section, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at the NRC Library, 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Rethesda, Maryland, and are available there for reference use by the sublic. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating argame con or, if they are American National Standards, from the American National Standards Institute, 1430 Brill devay, New York, NY 10018.

#### DISCLAIMER NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, or any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability of responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed in this report, or represents that its use by such third party would not infringe privately owned rights.

NUREG/CR-4918 Vol. 4 RW, CY, CO

# Control of Water Infiltration Into Near Surface LLW Disposal Units

Progress Report on Field Experiments at a Humid Region Site, Beltsville, Maryland

Manuscript Completed: November 1990 Date Published: December 1990

Prepared by R. K. Schulz/University of California R. W. Ridky/University of Maryland E. O'Donnell/U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Department of Soil Science University of California Berkeley, CA 94710

Subcontractor: Department of Geology University of Maryland College Park, MD 20742

Prepared for Division of Engineering Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 NRC FIN B8958

### ABSTRACT

Three kinds of waste disposal unit covers or barriers to water infiltration are being investigated. They are: (1) resistive layer barrier, (2) conductive layer barrier, and (3) bicengineering management. The resistive layer barrier consists of compacted earthen material (e.g. clay). The conductive layer barrier consists of a conductive layer in conjunction with a capillary break. As long as unsaturated flow conditions are maintained the conductive layer will wick water around the capillary break. Below grade layered covers such as (1) and (2) will fail if there is appreciable subsidence of the cover. Remedial action for this kind of failure will be difficult. A surface cover, called bioengineering management, is meant to overcome this problem. The bioengineering management surface barrier is easily repairable if damaged by subsidence; therefore, it could be the system of choice under active subsidence conditions. The bioengineering management procedure also has been shown to be effective in dewatering saturated trenches and could be used for remedial action efforts. After cessation of subsidence, that procedure could be replaced by a resistive layer barrier, or perhaps even better, a resistive layer barrier/conductive layer barrier system. This latter system would then give long-term effective protection against water entry to waste and without institutional care. These various concepts are being assessed in six large (70' x 45' x 10' each) lysimeters at Beltsville, Marvland,

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT	,			e'x			•	• •		÷	•			,		•	. ,			111
LIST OF FIGURES													,	,						vii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS						4									•			.,		ix
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY																				xi
INTRODUCTION																	 			1
EXPERIMENTAL AND DEMONST	RA	TI	0	N													 			3
BIOENGINEERING MANAGEMEN RESISTIVE LAYER BARRIER	т.				• •					•			*	÷	•		 			4 8
CONDUCTIVE LAYER BARRIER	• • •								•	• •		•		•						11
APPLICATION			, ,				. ,			•	. ,						 			15
REFERENCES																	 		-	16

# LIST OF FIGURES

1.	Resistive layer barrier
2.	Conductive layer barrier 1
з.	Bioengineering management 2
4.	Plan view showing placement of experimental lysimeters at Beltsville, MD
5.	Bioengineering plots at Beltsville 5
6.	Side view of bioengineered lysimeter 6
7.	Water table vs. time in reference lysimeters
8.	Water table vs. time in bioengineered lysimeters
9.	Fate of precipitation in bioengineered, reference, and UMTRA lysimeters
10.	Resistive layer barrier with rock cover 9
11.	Resistive layer barrier with grass cover
12.	Water flow in an unsaturated porous medium
13.	Substitution of rock layer for holes shown in Fig. 12
14.	Imperfectly constructed conductive layer with "pocket" extending down into rock (or capillary break) layer
15.	Schematic of laboratory apparatus for measulement of water tension using different materials and varying flow rates
16.	Mini-soil beam used for evaluation of materials for possible use in conductive layer barrier application
17.	Soil water tension at various flow rates

18.	Large soil beam used for final selection of diatomaceous earth as conductive layer material.	13
19.	Soil water tension at various flow rates and as determined over a 21 ft. long flow distance.	13
20.	Combination resistive layer barrier over a conductive layer barrier	14
21.	Resistive layer barrier overlying a conductive layer barrier as it might be used in an aboveground deposit cell (e.g. "tumuius")	16

### ACKNOWLEDGEMEN 'S

Funding for this project was provided by the Waste Management Branch, Division of Engineering, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research. Dr. Edward O'Donnell is the Project Manager, Mr. Mel Silberberg is Chief of the Waste Management Branch, and Mr. L. A. Shao is Director of the Division of Engineering.

The authors wish to express their thanks to Lester M. Fujii for his contribution to this study. Furthermore, we are indebted to the support provided this project by the University of Maryland's Experiment Station, Beltsville Unit staff; not/ably Peter Godwin, Timothy Badger and Matthew Weaver.

## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The project's objective is to assess means for controlling water infiltration through waste disposal unit covers in humid regions. Experimental work is being performed in large scale lysimeters ( $75' \times 45' \times 10'$ ) at Beltsville, MD, and results of the assessment are applicable to disposal of low level radicactive waste (LLW), uranium mill tailings, hazardous waste, and sanitary landfills.

Three kinds of waste disposal unit covers or barriers to water infiltration are being investigated. They are: (1) resistive layer barrier, (2) conductive layer barrier, and (3) bioengineering management. The resistive layer barrier consists of compacted earthen material (e.g., clay). The conductive layer barrier consists of a conductive layer in conjunction with a capillary break. As long as unsaturated flow conditions are maintained, the conductive layer will wick water around the capillary break. Below grade layered covers such as (1) and (2) will fail if there is appreciable subsidence of the cover. Remedial action for this kind of failure will be difficult. A surface cover, called bioengineering management, is meant to overcome this problem. The bioengineering management surface barrier is easily repairable if damaged by subsidence; therefore, it could be the system of choice under active subsidence conditions. The bioengineering management procedure also has been shown to be effective in dewatering saturated trenches and could be used for remedial action efforts. After cessation of subsidence, that procedure could be replaced by a resistive layer barrier, or perhaps even better, a resistive layer barrier/conductive layer barrier system. This latter system would then give long-term effective protection against water entry to waste and without institutional care.

As mentioned in the preceding paragraph, a bioengineering management cover might well be the cover of choice during the active subsidence phase of a waste disposal unit. Some maintenance is required during that period. Final closure, using geological materials, could follow cessation of subsidence. No further significant maintenance would then be required. If the geological material used is merely a clay barrier to water infiltration to the waste, the cover will be "sensitive", ie. sensitive to imperfect construction or degradation by penetrating roots. The roots will die and decay, causing markedly increased permeability of the clay with the passage of time. A system using a conductive layer under the clay layer as a water scavenging system will, in comparison, be "robust." Roots will still degrade the clay layer, but will not degrade the scavenging layer. A root hole through the conductive layer will be analogous to a hole through a wick. It will do no significant damage. The combination of a resistive layer with a conductive (scavenging layer) underneath is thus less dependent on perfect construction techniques and will be resistant to damage by root invasion. In the absence of subsidence such a system will function effectively for millennia.

### INTRODUCTION

1

Infiltration of water into the waste is the foremost problem associated with near surface disposal of LLW. Up to this time, disposal unit covers have generally been constructed from soil materials. In the humid areas, these soll or clay covers have generally proved less than satisfactory; often the cover itself has served as the principal pathway for water entry into the waste (1). Water infiltrating to buried wastes, contacting the wastes, and then exiting the area can reasonably be expected to be the most important of radionuclide transport agents. Some radionuclides, such as tritium, present as tritium oxide, and those present in anionic form, will essentially move with the flow of water; others present as multivalent cations will move much more slowly, but all will move to a greater or lesser degree. Clearly then, it is advantageous to reduce water infiltration to buried waste to as low a level as reasonably achievable. It is the purpose of this work to examine and demonstrate various approaches of achieving that goal.

Three kinds of waste disposal unit covers or barriers are being investigated in this work:

- [1] Resistive Layer Barrier
- [2] Conductive Layer Barrier
- [3] Bloengineering Management

The resistive layer barrier is the well known compacted clay layer and depends on compaction of permeable porous materials to obtain low flow rates. A simplified model is shown in Fig. 1. Flow through porous media is described by Darcy's law (2). Investigations on flow through such layers have gone on for over 100 years, so further progress in this area can be expected to be slow.





The conductive layer barrier (1) is a special case of the capillary barrier (3). Use is made of the capillary barrier phenomenon not only to increase the moisture content above an interface, but to divert water away from and around the waste. During such diversion water is at all times at negative capillary potential or under tension. A simplified model is shown in Fig. 2.



Fig. 2. Conductive layer barrier

This system consists of a porous media underlain by a capillary break (rock layer). Infiltration barriero such as a conductive layer barrier or a clay layer barrier (or a combination thereof) must fail if subjected to substantial shearing caused by waste subsidence. Reestablishment of a layered system after subsidence failure is a difficult undertaking and is exacerbated by increasing complexity of the layered system.

# CAUTION!

BURIED MULTI-LAYER COVERS MUST FAIL IF THERE IS APPRECIABLE SUBSIDENCE! The failure potential of in-ground layered systems during the subsidence period argues for development of an easily repairable surface barrier for use during that period. To that end a procedure called "bioengineering management" was developed (4). The bioengineering management technique utilizes a combination of engineered enhanced run-off and moisture stressed vegetation growing in an overdraft condition to control deep water percolation through disposal unit covers. An artist's conceptual drawing is shown in Fig. 3.



Fig. 3. Bioengineering management

# EXPERIMENTAL AND DEMONSTRATION

In this section we will discuss experiments being conducted in large-scale lysimeters at a humid region site in Beltsville, MD (see Fig. 4.).



Lysimeter		Date Completed	
1	Bioengineering management	5/87	
2	Bioengineering management	5/87	
3	Vegetated crowned soll cover	5/87	
4	Rip-Rap over resistive layer barrier	10/88	
5	Resistive layer barrier over conductive layer barrier	1/90	
6	Vegetation over resistive layer barrier	4/89	

Design type and completion dates of experimental lysimeters located at Beltsville, MD.

Fig. 4. Plan view showing placement of experimental lysimeters at Beltsville, MD.

Bioengineering management is a procedure where the necessary run-off is provided by features installed at or above the soil surface rather than within the profile. The procedure has been described by Schulz et al. (4) and was designated bioengineering management. The principle advantage of the bioengineering management system is that subsidence can be easily managed by relatively simple, inexpensive maintenance of the above ground features rather than difficult reconstruction of below ground layers. It should be noted, that after a sufficient passage of time so that the organics have decayed out and the waste containers have completed failure, subsidence will cease and a layered system could be then installed which could last over geologic time periods.

In essence, the "bioengineering management" technique utilizes a combination of engineered enhanced run-off and stressed vegetation in an overdraft condition to control deep water percolation through disposal unit covers. To describe it further: if a waste burial site is selected so that incoming subsurface flow is negligible, then precipitation is the sole source of input water. In a simplified model, that water has three possible fates: (1) evapotranspiration, (2) run-off, and (3) deep percolation. Evapotranspiration has a definite limit governed by Ideally, deep percolation energy input. should be zero, leaving only the run-off component available for unlimited manipulation. Positive control of run-off becomes difficult with the use of compacted porous media trench caps as the sole barrier to water infiltration. The compacted material tends to become more permeable with the passage of time, due to fractures caused by waste subsidence and from the inexorable

process of root growth followed by death and decay of the roots, thus creating water channels. Evapotranspiration is then not adequate to use all of the infiltrating water, and water percolates downward to the waste. As stated before, evapotranspiration has a theoretical maximum dictated by solar energy input to the system; only run-off remains available for nearly unlimited management. This run-off can be surface or subsurface as long as it occurs before water reaches the waste.

Surface run-off can be managed to as high as 100 percent (perfect leak-proof roof, expensive and hard to guarantee). Alternately, adequate but not total run-off can be engineered rather inexpensively by using an impermeable ground cover over part of the surface to achieve high and controlled levels of run-off. Vegetation planted between areas of impermeable cover will extend over the cover to intercept incoming solar energy to evaporate water. Roots will extend under the cover in all directions to obtain water.

Such a system can be visualized by conceiving in one's mind a supermarket parking lot where trees are planted in islands among an extensive paved area with the islands having curbing around them. Utilizing this concept, it should be possible, by combining engineered run-off with vegetation, to maintain the soil profile in a potential overdraft condition on a yearly basis.

Initial investigations of the bioengineering management technique were carried out in lysimeters at Maxey Flats, KY. Results obtained in seasonal 1984-85 and 1985-86 were reported by O'Donnell et al. (5). In that work a fescue grass crop was used with an engineered cover of stainless steel. Following seasonal 1985-86 the grass cover was removed, a new stainless steel engineered cover was constructed and Pfitzer junipers were planted in the lysimeters. After establishment of the junipers, percolation data was again collected in 1988 and reported by Schulz et al. (6). The performance of the woody junipers was excellent in preventing deep percolation of water in the lysimeter.

The encouraging initial results obtained in the Maxey Flats lysimeter experiment has ied to the establishment of a large scale field demonstration at Beltsville, Maryland. Fig. 4 shows the placement of the experimental lysimeters and Fig. 5 is a photograph of lysimeter 1, bioengineering management, taken in January 1990, three years after planting of the Pfitzer junipers. Alternating panels of aluminum and fiber glass were used as the hard cover. These plots or lysimeters are 70 ft long by 45 ft wide and the bottoms are 10 ft below grade. Figure 6 shows a side view of construction details of lysimeters 1 and 2 (bioengineering management). The only difference between the two was the initial level of the water tables. The water table was 90 cm above the bottom in lysimeter 1 and 190 cm above the bottom in lysimeter 2. In addition to the two bioengineered lysimeters, two reference lysimeters were initially constructed. The reference lysimeters were similar except that they were merely cropped with fescue grass.



Fig. 5. Bioengineering plots at Beltsville. Photo taken in January of 1990, three years after planting of Pfitzer junipers. Run-off is 74% of precipitation.

They were labeled lysimeter 3 and 4. No hard cover was present but surface slopes were similar. Performance data of the reference lysimeters are given in Fig. 7.

The water table in the two reference plots or trenches, lysimuters 3 and 4, i.e. the plots cropped to fescue, rose until near the surface. At that time pumping of water from the water table was initiated to keep the plots (trenches) from running over. The graphs of the water tables in the bioengineered plots (lysimeters 1 and 2) show an entirely different story as evidenced in Fig. 8. Hure, in both cases, the water table has been eliminated. It appears that the bioengineering approach not only could be used to prevent water infiltration to a disposal unit; it also could be used for a remedial action in dewatering existing problem sites such as Maxey Flats.

On February 4, 1988, lysimeter 4 was pumped out to prevent overflow. It was then discontinued as a reference lysimeter and converted to a rock surfaced resistive layer barrier plot. Lysimeters 1 and 2 (bioengineered) and lysimeter 3 have been continued. A summary of run-off, evapotranspiration and pumping from those three lysimeters is given in Fig. 9.

Figure 9 shows that there was very little run-off from the grass covered plot. The bulk of the precipitation was disposed of by evapotranspiration by the fescue crop but this was not adequate to prevent the rise of the water table. During the past year about 74% of the precipitation was disposed of as run-off in the bioengineering plots and only about 26% as evapotranspiration. However, that combination eliminated the water table as shown previously in Fig. 8.



Fig. 8. Side view of bioengineered lysimeter. Surface run-off is collected from both engineered surface and soil surface. Soil moisture content is measured with neutron probe. Water table is measured in well.



Fig. 7. Water table vs. time in reference lysimeters. The crowned surface is cropped with fescue grass. Water table increased with time until pumping of water table was necessary to keep trench from running over. Surface run-off was 8% of precipitation.



Fig. 8. Water table vs. time in bioengineered lysimeters. Decline of water table levels with passage of time shows bioengineered covers were very effective in preventing water percolation. Elimination of water table shows that this procedure could be used for remedial action ("drying out") of existing water-logged burial sites. Contrast this with Fig. 7.

### RESISTIVE LAYER BARRIER

As previously mentioned, on February 4, 1988, lysimeter 4 was pumped out. discontinued as a reference lysimeter, and converted to a rock surfaceo resistive layer The primary reason for barrier plot. constructing that particular cover is the likelihood of such covers being used for uranium mill tailings. An end view of that plot or lysimeter is shown in Fig. 10. This lysimeter was completed in the fall of 1988 and data collection, i.e., measuring performance, has begun. The most important information to be gained here will be the relative weighing of the advantages and disadvantages of rock surface vs. a vegetated surface. Performance of that lysimeter during 1989 is given in Fig. 9. So far, the clay layer has operated perfectly; no

DEC. 1, 1987-NOV. 30, 1988

measurable water has percolated through the clay layer to the pan shown in the diagram.

In addition to the UMTRA or rock surface resistive layer barrier plot, construction of a vegetated resistive layer barrier plot was carried out. The primary purpose of this plot is for comparative measurements. Essentially this plot is similar to the rock surfaced plot except that topsoil replaces the rock layer and the plot is planted to fescue grass. Less than one year's data has been collected to date; therefore, results are not shown in Fig. 9, but so far there has been no leakage through the clay layer to the pan. A diagram of this plot is given in Fig. 11.

### DEC. 1, 1988-NOV. 30, 1989



Fig. 9. Fate of precipitation in bioengineered, reference, and UMTRA lysimeters. Pumping to prevent overflow was required in reference lysimeter but no: needed in bioengineered or UMTRA lysimeters.



Fig. 10. Resistive layer barrier with rock cover. No vegetation. Possible UMTRA cover. Possible advantages over vegetated resistive layer barrier. (1) Clay layer remains wet and more efficient barrier to escape of radon. (2) Initially, superior erosion protection, and (3) No root penetration of waste. Major disadvantage: no plant transpiration, therefore requiring a clay barrier of extremely low hydraulic conductivity. For clarity, most instrumentation and some details not shown.



Fig. 11. Resistive layer barrier with grass cover. Similar to UMTRA cover but has vegetation in place of rip-rap. See Fig. 10.

#### FLOW UNDER NEGATIVE MATRIC POTENTIAL



- WATER FLOW NO WATER LEAKAGE WASTE
- Fig. 12. Water flow in an unsaturated porcus medium. If a drop of water were to be placed at one of the holes shown, it would flow upward into the soil.
- Fig. 13. Substitution of rock layer for holes shown in Fig. 12. Voids between rocks act exactly like holes shown in Fig. 12 in forming a capillary discontinuity, therefore preventing leakage downward under the influence of gravity.

### CONDUCTIVE LAYER BARRIER

If we consider the case of water flowing downhill in an unsaturated porous medium we have the case shown in Fig. 12. The 'holes" shown in the diagram could be a rock layer affording a capillary break or capillary discontinuity. This is illustrated in Fig. 13. Under appropriate conditions, water everywhere in these cross-sections will be under tension and there will be no leakage. This might then serve as an excellent means of protecting waste by conducting water around the waste. Figure 12 simulates a conducting porous media such as a fine sandy loam soil smoothly laying on top of a rock layer. Problems with water flow under saturated conditions could certainly arise where a less than smooth surface ends up being constructed as depicted in Fig. 14.

FLOW UNDER NEGATIVE MATRIC POTENTIAL





Fig. 14. Imperfectly constructed conductive layer with "pocket" extending down into rock (or capillary break) layer. No leakage if conditions required to maintain tension are met.

That is, what happens if imperfections are constructed so that "pockets" of soil extend down into the rock layer? Figure 14 represents that case. Again, there will be no leakage, provided conditions are such that the water in all parts of the conductive layer remains under tension.

The big question is, can conditions required to maintain the necessary soil water tension be practically maintained while using this procedure to effectively protect waste disposal units? To answer this question an apparatus schematically depicted in Fig. 15 was constructed.

#### WATER PRESSURE DISTRIBUTION (VERTICAL)



Fig. 15. Schematic of laboratory apparatus for measurement of water tension using different materials and varying flow rates.

The apparatus constructed to make the necessary measurements were called soil beams. Several "mini-soil beams" were constructed for use in the laboratory so a variety of candidate conductive layer materials could be quickly evaluated. A photograph of a laboratory scale "mini-soil beam" is shown in Fig. 16.



Fig. 16. Mini-soil beam used for evaluation of materials for possible use in conductive layer barrier application. This soil beam has a total length of 4.5 ft.

A number of materials were evaluated using the mini-soil beams. It was quickly established that it would be necessary to construct a resistive layer barrier above the conductive layer barrier to have a practical system. The standard was set that the resistive layer barrier have an easily achievable conductivity of not greater than 10<sup>-6</sup> cm/sec. On this basis it was found that soil material such as fine sandy loam could provide an effective conductive layer barrier. That is, conduct around the waste 100% of water percolating through the resistive layer. However, the measurements showed that such materials would not provide the desired (factor of 10) safety margin.

Further investigations turned up a material, diatomaceous earth, that would fit these requirements. Measurements of tension vs. distance of flow are shown in Fig. 17.

SOLL WATER TENSION AT VARIOUS FLOW RATES, (cm/sec) TENSION VS HORIZONTAL DISTANCE FROM DISCHARGE POINT



Fig. 17. Soil water tension at various flow rates. Tension vs. horizontal distance from discharge point. Results suggest that at rates of  $4.2 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/sec or less, water would remain under tension at any beam length.

The results of this experiment in the 4.5 ft long beam suggest that as long as the flow rate is no greater than  $4.2 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/sec the soil water will remain under tension regardless of the soil beam length. These results show that with the use of diatomaceous earth for the conductive layer

and following the easily achievable standards set above for the resistive layer, it should be possible to construct a barrier that would allow no water leakage to a waste disposal unit. However, before final selection of the diatomaceous earth as the





conductive layer material was made, it was felt prudent to conduct tests in a large scale soil beam. A large beam was constructed and used for this purpose. The large beam is shown in Fig. 18 and has a soil beam length of 21 ft. As shown in Fig. 19, a matric potential of about -15 to -20 cm of water is maintained over the entire 21 ft length of the beam when the flow rate does not exceed 3.1 x 10<sup>-4</sup> cm/sec.

The studies carried out in the large soil beam verified closely the data obtained in the mini-beam. Accordingly, diatomaceous earth was used as the conductive layer material in the demonstration lysimeter (lysimeter 5). It has been estimated that purchasing and s. ipping the diatomaceous

SOIL WRITER TENSION AT VARIOUS FLOW RATES,  $({\rm cm/sec})$  TENSION vs HORIZONTAL DISTANCE FROM DISCHARGE



Fig. 19. Soil water tension at various flow rates and as determined over a 21 ft long flow distance. At -15 to -20 cm matric potential water flow rate is approximately  $3 \times 10^{-4}$  cm/sec. At this flow rate unsaturated flow can be maintained over an infinite distance which confirms the results of the mini beam measurements (Fig. 17.).

earth to a job site any place in the U.S. will add about \$0.50 per cubic feet of disposed waste. This is over the cost of using locally obtained soil and based on waste being 10 ft deep.

After the time-consuming task of selecting the conductive layer material was accomplished, a resistive layer barrier over a conductive layer barrier was constructed in lysimeter 5. It was completed in January 1990. A local clay from Beltsville, Maryland, the Cristiana clay, was selected as the resistive layer barrier. Testing has shown this material more than meets specifications. A cross section of the cover system is shown in Fig. 20.



Fig. 20. Combination resistive layer barrier over a conductive layer barrier. The clay barrier (resistive layer barrier) needs only to provide protection to approximately 10<sup>-6</sup> cm/sec. Conductive layer barrier of diatomaceous earth will readily transport percolating water around waste.

### APFLICATION

The three procedures described in the Introduction may be used singularly or in combination to protect disposal units from percolating water. The principles apply equally to aboveground or below-ground disposal. For example, a combination of covers [1] and [2] described in the introduction of this article could be ideal for a stabilized shallow land burial facility whether it is above or below ground; e.g., the subsurface disposal could be in below-ground vaults and the above-ground disposal unit could be earth-mounded concrete bunkers. A drawing showing a combination of a resistive layer over a conductive layer in a "tumulus" or above-ground application is shown in Fig. 21. The resistive (clay) layer is the primary barrier. The small amount of water passing through the clay layer will be diverted around the waste by the conductive layer. This cover over the waste can be, in theory, 100% effective. A resistive layer alone must leak somewhat due to the nature of construction of compacted porous material (clay). The bioengineering concept could be advantageous for either a tumulus or shallow land burial unit that would be likely to exhibit subsidence. If desired, and after subsidence had ceased, a combination of covers [1] and [2] could be constructed with geological materials to give extremely long-term isolation without further maintenance.



Fig. 21. Resistive layer barrier overlying a conductive layer barrier as it might be used in an aboveground deposit cell (e.g. "tumulus"). Any water leaking through the clay layer will be transported around the waste by the conductive layer.

### REFERENCES

- Schulz, Robert K., Robert W. Ridky, and Edward O'Donnell, 1988. "Control of Water Infiltration into Near Surface LLW Disposal Units - A Discussion", NUREG/CR-4918, Vol. 2.
- Darcy, H., 1856. "Les fontaines publiques de la ville de Dijon", Victor Dalmont, Paris.
- Von Zunker, F., 1930. "Das verhalten des Bodens zum Wasser", In Handbuck Der Bodenlehr, Edited by E. Blanck, v. 6, Verlag von Julius Springer, Berlin, pp. 66-220.

- Schulz, Robert K., Robert W. Ridky, and Edward O'Donnell, 1987. "Control of Water Infiltration into Near Surface LLW Disposal Units." NUREG/CR-4918, Vol. 1.
- O'Donnell, Edward, Robert W. Ridky, and Robert K. Schulz, 1987. "Control of Water Infiltration into Near Surface LLW Disposal Units." In Oak Ridge Model Conference, CONF-871075, Vol. 1. PT. 3, pp. 355-384.
- Schulz, Robert K., Robert W. Ridky, and Edward O'Donnell, 1989. "Control of Water Infiltration into Near Surface LLW Disposal Units." NUREG/CR-4918, Vol. 3.

NRC FORK 335 12 54 NRCM 1102 3201, 3202 BIBLIOGRAPHIC	NUREG/CR-4918 Vol. 4								
SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE		T WAT T							
Control of Water Infiltration Into Nea	) LEAVEBLANK								
Progress Report on Field Experiments	at a Humid Region	A DATE REPORT COMPLETED							
Site, Beitsville, Maryland		MONTH	YEAR						
5 AUTHORIS		November	1990						
R. K. Schulz, University of California	- Berkeley	6 DATE REP	ORT ISSUED						
R. W. Ridky, University of Maryland E. O'Donnell, U. S. Nuclear Regulato	December	1990							
PERFORMING DEGANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADD 1831	E PROJECT/TASK WORK UNIT NUMBER								
University of California - Berkeley Department of Soil Science Berkeley, California 94720	B FIN OR GRANT NUMBER B8958								
TO SPONSORING ORDANIZATION NAME AND MAILING ADDRES	5 linesude d'as Cadel	114 TYPE OF REPORT							
Division of Engineering Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research		Technical							
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555	E BERIOD COVERED linelwier delast								
17 SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	and a second bar. All the state of the first state of the second s	L	t er i - n David Star av de - er e stat Dab						
11 #85THACT (200 moral or feil)									

The project objective is to assess means for controlling water infiltration through waste disposal unit covers in humid regions. Experimental work is being performed in large scale lysimeters ( $70'\times45'10'$ ) at Beltsville, MD and results of the assessment are applicable to disposal of LLW, uranium mill tailings, hazardous waste, and sanitary landfills. Three concepts are under investigation: (1) resistive layer barrier, (2) conductive layer barrier, and bioengineering water management. The resistive layer barrier consists of compacted earth (clay). The conductive layer barrier is a special case of the capillary barrier and it requires a flow layer (e.g. fine sandy loam) over a capillary break. As long as unsaturated conditions are maintained water is conducted by the flow layer to below the waste. This barrier is most efficient at low flow rates and is thus best placed below a resistive layer barrier. Such a combination of the resistive layer over the conductive layer barrier promises to be highly effective provided there is no appreciable subsidence. Bioengineering water management is a surface cover that is designed to accommodate subsidence. It consists of impermeable panels which enhance run-off and limit infiltration. Vegetation is planted in narrow openings between panels to transpire water from below the panels. This system has successfully dewatered two lysimeters thus demonstrating that this procedure could be used for remedial action ("drying out") existing water-logged disposal sites at low cost.

infiltration lysimeter	hazardous waste disposal toxic waste disposal	hydrology capillary barrier	Unlimited			
S IDA TITIERSIDPEN EN JED TERMS	sanitary landfill	remedial action	Unclassification			
			Unclassified			
			18 PRICE			

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PRINTED USING RECYCLED PAPER.

#### UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

.

0

TIN

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300 SPECIAL FOURTH CLASS RATE POSTAGE & FEES PAID USNRC PERMIT No. G-67