



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

November 2, 1982

Joseph M. Mason
Secretary, District Board
Southern Ohio District
Church of the Brethren
6987 Union Road
Clayton, Ohio 45315

In the Matter of
Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company, et al.
(William H. Zimmer Nuclear Power Station, Unit No. 1)
Docket No. 50-358

Dear Mr. Mason:

I have been requested to respond to your recent letter to Chairman Palladino in which you express concern regarding the Zimmer Nuclear Power Station at Moscow, Ohio, approximately 60 miles from Dayton. You suggest that the local views of the community should be heard regarding the facility and that there should be public hearings before any licensing action is taken with regard to the facility.

The Commission's regulations provide for public participation in its licensing process. In fact, as part of the Commission's licensing process to date with regard to the Zimmer facility, more than eight weeks of public hearings have been held in Cincinnati during which those groups raising admissible issues were afforded the opportunity to submit evidence. In addition, 127 citizens appeared at those hearings and made limited appearances expressing their views. Many other public meetings have been held by NRC and other groups, both to inform the public and to obtain their views. For example, NRC Region III Administrator, James Keppler, conducted an open meeting regarding Zimmer at the Americana Hotel in Covington, Kentucky, on October 19, 1982. That meeting was well attended by the news media and local citizenry. In addition, Commissioner Asselstine addressed a meeting of the Environmental Advisory Council of the City of Cincinnati on September 16, 1982. Thus, the Commission has clearly provided for public input and public hearings concerning the licensing of the Zimmer facility.

As you may know, one of the parties which participated in the public hearings, Miami Valley Power Project, is currently seeking to reopen the public hearings

to consider Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company's quality assurance program during construction of the Zimmer facility. This question is pending before the Commissioners and they have not issued any decision.

Sincerely,

(S)

Guy H. Cunningham, III
Executive Legal Director

DISTRIBUTION

CBarth
SATreby
MKarman
Christenbury/Scinto
JLieberman
ELD Formal File (2)
Kadambi-128
WDircks-128
VStello
HDenton
Keppler, Region III
DMB-PDR
EDO-12409
Chron CAB
Chron GHC

DS07

OFC	:OELD <i>JB</i>	:OELD <i>ST</i>	:OELD <i>ESC</i>	:OELD <i>GR</i>	:	:
NAME	:CBarth/les	:STreby	:EChristenbury	GCunningham	:	: : :
DATE	:11/2/82	:11/2/82	:11/2/82	: 11/2/82	:	:

FROM: Joseph H. Mason Southern Ohio District Church of The Brethren	ACTION CONTROL	DATES	CONTROL NO.
	COMPL DEADLINE	11/16/82	12428
	INTERIM REPLY		DATE OF DOCUMENT
	FINAL REPLY	<i>1/5 Cunningham</i>	10/18/82
TO:	FILE LOCATION		PREPARE FOR SIGNATURE OF:
Chairman Palladio	<i>11/2/82</i>		<input type="checkbox"/> CHAIRMAN <input type="checkbox"/> EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR OTHER: Cunningham
DESCRIPTION <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LETTER <input type="checkbox"/> MEMO <input type="checkbox"/> REPORT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER		SPECIAL INSTRUCTIONS OR REMARKS	
Req public hearing previous to issuing an operating license to Zimmer Nuclear Station		<i>jon</i> <i>amb</i> <i>Frederick</i>	
ASSIGNED TO	DATE	INFORMATION ROUTING	
Cunningham, ELD	10/27/82	Dircks Roe Rahn Stello Austin Keppler, Reg. III Denton DeYoung	

To Tom Combs — to refer to PA

No. 1044

Logging Date 10/21/82

NRC SECRETARIAT

- TO: Commissioner _____ Date _____
- Exec. Dir./Oper. _____ Gen. Counsel _____
- Cong. Liaison _____ Solicitor _____
- Public Affairs _____ Secretary _____
- _____ Inspector & Auditor _____
- _____ Policy Evaluation _____

Incoming: Joseph M. Mason
From: Southern Ohio District

To: Palladino Date 10/18/82

Subject: xxx req that the NRC require Region III ofcs to hold public hearings previous to issuing an oper lic to the Zimmer station

- Prepare reply for signature of:
- Chairman _____
- Commissioner _____
- EDO, GC, CL, SOL, PA, SECY, IA, PE _____
- Signature block omitted _____
- _____
- Return original of incoming with response _____

Trend is ex parte communication

- For direct reply*
- For appropriate action
- For information

10/27/82
JF sent to you to check out kind of mtg they have in mind.

Rec'd Off. EDO
Date 10-25-82
Time 2:50 pm

Remarks: docket

For the Commission: billie

*Send three (3) copies of reply to Secy Correspondence and Records Branch