POLICIOS DE TRANSPORTO DE TRAN

Log # TXX-901047 File # 10200

Ref. # 50.73(a)(2)(1)

TUELECTRIC

December 27, 1990

William J. Cahill, Jr. Executive Vice President

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

SUBJECT: COMANCHE PEAK STEAM ELECTRIC STATION (CPSES)

DOCKET NO. 50-445

OPERATION PROHIBITED BY TECHNICAL SPECIFICATIONS

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 90-043-00

#### Gentlemen:

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report 90-043-00 for Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station Unit 1, "Containment Penetration Not Properly Isolated Due to Personnel Error."

Sincerely,

William J. Cahill, Jr

DEN/daj

Enclosure

c - Mr. R. D. Martin, Region IV Resident Inspectors, CPSES (3)

9101030298 901227 PDR ADOCK 05000445

TERR

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)								COMMISSION	APPROVED DMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: \$0.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-536), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC. 20556, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUCGET, WASHINGTON, DC. 20503.												
Facility Na	me (t)	VC.	HE	PF	- AI	< ·	U	NIT	4		-			Docket Nu		010	14141	5 1	Page	(3)	0 1 7
Title (4)	-	ITA	INM	EN	TF	EN	IET			NOT	PRO	PER	LY ISOLA	TED DI	JE TO	cog	NITIVE				
Event Da	te (5)	-		nice species	ERN	Jumb	er (6)	-	NAME OF THE OWNER, WHEN	Rec	port Date	(7)			Ot	her Facility	es Involved (8)	-			
	ay Ye	a:	Year			quent			Revision Number	Month	Day	Year		Facility Nan	nes		0.1.5.1	010	101	1	1
1112	17 9	0	910	-	0	4	3	-	010	112	217	910	Check one or m	N/A	Salara (7)		0151	010	101	_	
Operating Mode (9) Powe Lava (10)	0.14	18	30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 3	0.402 0.406 0.406 0.406	mainte de la contra	(i) (ii) (iii) (iv)			20.4 50.9 50.9 50.7 50.7	05(a) 6(a)(1) 6(a)(2) 3(a)(2)(i) 3(a)(2)(ii) 3(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)( 50.73(a)(2)( 50.73(a)(2)( 50.73(a)(2)( 50.73(a)(2)( 50.73(a)(2)(	(v) v) vii) viii)(A) viii)(B)		73.71			and in Teo	d.	
	-	-	-	-	eccessis.						cense	e Contact	For This LER (1	5)	-	-	Telephone	hi contract			-
T. A	но	PE							SUPI	ERVI	SOF	2.00	MPLIAN	ICE .	8	a Code		- passed and subse	161	31	710
***		-				-	-	-	anningen mayer	removement tem	s Line Fr	or Each C	component Failur	e Described	in This Ri	sport (13)	-			_	
Cause	System		Compo	ment		Mar	rufac	turer		portable NPRDS			Cause	System	Corre	ionent	Manufacturer		ortable NPRDS		
	1					1	1	1								1	111				
	1	Т	1 1	1	T	-1	1	1								-1	111				
PARTY BOOM STATE	herakees	******	-	-	-	-		mende	and an a	Supplem	rental Re	sport Expe	ected (14)		nhaeure keranni	incine sense	Expected	Month	Day		Year
Yes (i	yes, con	npiete	Expec	ed S	ubmi	ssion	Date	1)		X N	0						Submission Date (15)				1

Abstract (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16

At 1337 on November 17, 1990, Post Accident Sampling System containment isolation valve 1-HV-5560 was declared inoperable due to failure of the valve to remain closed. As a result, 1-HV-5560 was deactivated, isolating the containment penetration as required. At approximately 1400 on November 19, 1990, with repairs on 1-HV-5560 complete, the Unit Supervisor authorized the stroke test of 1-HV-5560.

At 1600 on November 19, 1990, Operations personnel discovered that 1-HV-5560 had been stroke tested without the upstream containment isolation valve, 1-HV-5561, deactivated in the isolated position as required. This was in noncompliance with Technical Specification (TS) 3.6.3.

The root cause of this event was a cognitive personnel error based on the mis-interpretation of the TS action statement. Less than adequate Shift Supervisor oversight was also identified as a root cause. Corrective actions include counselling personnel involved concerning entry into TS action statements and the correct interpretation of TS 3.6.3.

MRC FORM 966A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC. 20565, AND TO THE PARERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC. 20603.

Facility Name (1)	Dockel Number (2)	LER Number (6)	Page (3)
		Year Sequentia Hevision Number	

COMANCHE PEAK - UNIT 1 015101010141415 910 - 01413 - 010 012 0F 017

### I. DESCRIPTION OF THE REPORTABLE EVENT

### A. REPORTABLE EVENT CLASSIFICATION

Any operation or condition prohibited by the plant's Technical Specifications.

### B. PLANT OPERATING CONDITIONS BEFORE THE EVENT

On November 17, 1990, Comanche Peak Steam Electric Station (CPSES) Unit 1 was in Mode 1, Power Operation, at approximately 48 percent power.

# C. STATUS OF STRUCTURES, SYSTEMS, OR COMPONENTS THAT WERE INOPERABLE AT THE START OF THE EVENT AND THAT CONTRIBUTED TO THE EVENT

Not applicable - no structures, systems or components were inoperable at the start of the event that contributed to the event.

# D. NARRATIVE SUMMARY OF THE EVENT, INCLUDING DATES AND APPROXIMATE TIMES

At 1337 on November 17, 1990, Post Accident Sampling System (EIIS:(IP)) containment isolation valve 1-HV-5560 (EIIS:(ISV)(IP)) was declared inoperable due to failure of the valve to remain closed. Technical Specification (TS) 3.6.3 requires isolation of the containment penetration (EIIS:(PEN)(NH)) within 4 hours of the inoperability of a containment isolation valve associated with that penetration. Containment penetration isolation requires at least one deactivated automatic valve secured in the isolation position, or at least one closed manual valve or blind flange to be used. As a result, 1-HV-5560 was deactivated, isolating the containment penetration as required.

At 1337 on November 17, 1990, a Limiting Condition for Operation Action Requirement (LCOAR) was initiated to document the entrance into the TS action statement. The LCOAR identified the TS action requirements and stated that the upstream isolation valve, 1-HV-5561 (EIIS:(ISV)(IP)), should be maintained closed. The LCOAR did not require 1-HV-5561 to be deactivated.

	ENT REPORT (LER)	APPROVED DMB NO. 3180-0104 EXPIRES: 4/30/92 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER REDPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-5/30), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON DO. 20565, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (\$150-010) OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC. 20500.							
Facility Name (1)	Dockel Number (2)	LER Number (6) Page (3)							
		Year Sequential Revision Number Number							
COMANCHE PEAK - UN	VIT 1 0   5   0   0   0   4   4   5	9101-1014131-1010101310F1017							

At approximately 1400 on November 19, 1990, with repairs on 1-HV-5560 complete, the Unit Supervisor (utility, licensed) performed a review of the work order and authorized the stroke test of 1-HV-5560. At 2107 on November 19, 1990, 1-HV-5560 was declared operable and the LCOAR closed.

# E. THE METHOD OF DISCOVERY OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE OR PROCEDURAL ERROR

At 1600 on November 19, 1990, during the review of LCOAR termination requirements, Operation's personnel (utility, licensed) discovered that 1-HV-5560 had been stroke tested without 1-HV-5561 deactivated in the isolated position. Although 1-HV-5561 was closed, it was not isolated per TS requirements. With 1-HV-5560 being stroke tested, and 1-HV-5561not deactivated in the isolated position, the containment penetration was not isolated as required by TS 3.6.3. All work on 1-HV-5560 was immediately stopped, 1-HV-5561 was deactivated in the isolated position, and the event documented via appropriate plant procedures.

At 2100 on November 19, 1990, work on 1-HV-5560 was complete and the stroke test was successful. At 2107 on November 19, 1990, 1-HV-5560 was declared operable, and the LCOAR closed.

On November 27, 1990, a TS Interpretation/Reportability Review of this event was completed. Based on this review this event was dtermined to be reportable.

# II. COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURES

# A. FAILURE MODE, MECHANISM AND EFFECT OF EACH FAILED COMPONENT

Not applicable - there were no component failures associated with this event.

# B. CAUSE OF EACH COMPONENT OR SYSTEM FAILURE

Not applicable - there were no component failures associated with this event.

ARC FORM 966A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

APPROVED DMB NO. 9150-0104 EXPIRES 4/90/92

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST. SOLDHAS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-800), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC. 2065S, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3:160-0:104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC. 2060S.

COMANCHE PEAK - UNIT 1 0   5   0   0   4   4   5   9   0   0   4   3   0   0   0   4   0   0   7	Tabletti talita in tali	Proposition Lawrence Part		A STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PAR	the second secon	Charles to the second second
COMANCHE PEAK - UNIT 1 0   5   0   0   4   4   5   9   0   -   0   4   3   -   0   0   4   0   0   7			Year Seque	entral Revision		1
	COMANCHE PEAK - UNIT 1	015101010141415	910-014	4 13 - 010	014 OF	017

# C. SYSTEMS OR SECONDARY FUNCTIONS THAT WERE AFFECTED BY FAILURE OF COMPONENTS WITH MULTIPLE FUNCTIONS

Not applicable - there were no component failures associated with this event.

#### D. FAILED COMPONENT INFORMATION

Not applicable - there were no component failures associated with this event.

#### III. ANALYSIS OF THE EVENT

### A. SAFETY SYSTEM RESPONSES THAT OCCURRED

Not applicable - no safety system responses occurred as a result of this event.

# B. DURATION OF SAFETY SYSTEM TRAIN INOPERABILITY

Not applicable - there were no safety systems which were rendered inoperable.

# C. SAFETY CONSEQUENCES AND IMPLICATIONS OF THE EVENT

Operability of the containment isolation valves ensures that the containment (EIIS:(NH)) atmosphere will be isolated from the outside environment in the event of a release of radioactive material to the containment atmosphere or pressurization of the containment. These valves also serve as a Reactor Coolant System (EIIS:(AB)) pressure boundary. Although operation of 1-HV-5560 under plant conditions at the time had no effect on operation of the plant, with 1-HV-5560 inoperable, and 1-HV-5561 not deactivated in the isolated position, the potential for a failure resulting in an release to the outside environment was increased.

However, based on actual plant conditions at the time of the event, the fact that no release to the containment atmosphere was in progress, and that the upstream isolation valve, 1-HV-5561, was maintained closed, no actual threat to the health and safety of the public occurred.

MRC FORM 966A

U.S. NUCLEAR REQUIATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION DOLLECTION REQUEST: SOLDHRIS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-890), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC. 2088. AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (0.150-0104) OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC. 20803.

# TEXT CONTINUATION

						_	-				
Ì	Facility Name (1).	Docket Nurreier (2)			EFI Number (6)					Page (3)	
			Yea/		Sequentia) Nurreser			Number			
1	COMANCHE PEAK - UNIT 1	015101010141415	910	*	0141	3	-	010	015	OF	01

# IV. CAUSE OF THE EVENT

### **ROOT CAUSE -1**

The root cause of this event was a cognitive personnel error. The Unit Supervisor who authorized the stroke test was cognizant of the LCOAR, the clearance, and the work being done on 1-HV-5560. The Unit Supervisor authorized the stroke test of 1-HV-5560 based on his understanding of the TS action statement. He understood that a controlled entry into an action statement to test a valve or perform maintenance was acceptable, and he thought he had four hours to close the valve after it had been re-opened, per the action statement. TS 3.6.3 requires that the affected penetration be isolated within four hours of discovery. The containment isolation valve, 1-HV-5560, was discovered inoperable at

1337 on November 17, 1990, and was stroke tested approximately 48 hours later. The four hours had expired. This time limit cannot be reset until the Limiting Condition for Operation (LCO) is met without reliance on the TS action statement.

Based on this incorrect interpretation, the Unit Supervisor reviewed the work order and authorized the stroke test of 1-HV-5560, but failed to identify the additional actions required to ensure compliance with TS 3.6.3.

### **ROOT CAUSE - 2**

Shift Supervisor (utility, licensed) oversight of the Unit Supervisor was less than adequate. The Shift Supervisor was included in the discussion concerning the stroke test of 1-HV-5560 and did not recognize that compensatory actions were required prior to stroking the valve to ensure compliance with TS 3.6.3.

### V. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

# A. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS TO PREVENT RECURRENCE

# ROOT CAUSE - 1

The root cause of this event was a cognitive personnel error (the mis-interpretation of the TS action statement).

NRC FORM 966A

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BUILDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-830), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON DC. 20858, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (\$150-0104) OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC. 20503.

Facety Name (1)

Dooket Number (2)

COMANCHE PEAK - UNIT 1 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 0

### **CORRECTIVE ACTION - 1**

The Unit Supervisor has been counselled concerning entry into TS action statements and the correct interpretation of TS 3.6.3. Counselling included emphasis on the verification of TS action statements prior to re-entry into TS LCOs and CPSES Management expectations for compliance with TS and other regulatory requirements during the operation of CPSES.

### **ROOT CAUSE - 2**

Shift Supervisor oversight of the Unit Supervisor was less than adequate.

### **CORRECTIVE ACTION - 2**

The Shift Supervisor has been counselled concerning this event and the correct interpretation of TS 3.6.3. Counselling included CPSES Management expectations for compliance with TS and other regulatory requirements during the operation of CPSES.

### CORRECTIVE ACTION TAKEN ON GENERIC CONCERNS IDENTIFIED AS A DIRECT RESULT OF THE EVENT

### GENERIC CONSIDERATION

The possibility exists for other Operations Supervisors to misinterpret TS 3.6.3.

#### CORRECTIVE ACTION

This event and the correct interpretation of TS 3.6.3 will be discussed with all Operations Supervisors and will include CPSES Management expectations for compliance with TS and other regulatory requirements during the operation of CPSES.

Enclosure to TXX-901047

	INTINUATION	APPROVED OMB NO. 0150-0 EXPIRES: 4/30/RE ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMP COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRB. FORWARE BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY DC. 2065B, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUC OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHI	LY WITH THIS INFORMATION D COMMENTS REGARDING D REPORTS MANAGEMEN COMMISSION, WASHINGTO OTION PROJECT (\$150-010)
Facility Name (1)	Dockel Number (2)	LER Number (6)	Page (3)

COMANCHE PEAK - UNIT 1 0 5 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 4 1 4 1 5 9 10 - 0 1 4 1 3 - 0 10 0 1 7 OF 0 1

#### VI. PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

LER 90-014 involved a Technical Specification noncompliance resulting in a containment penetration not being properly isolated. The root cause of that event was an inadequate review of the work order by the Unit Supervisor. The work order requested partial release of the clearance, however, the Unit Supervisor released the entire clearance, unisolating the containment penetration prior to completion of the required operability testing. The root cause of the event described in this LER (90-043) was cognitive personnel error based on the misinterpretation of a TS action statement. The specific cause of this event and the specific cause of the event described in LER 90-014 are different. The corrective actions taken to resolve the root cause of the LER 90-014 would not have prevented this event. Therefore, no previous similar events have been reported pursuant to 10CFR50.73.

### VII ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

The times listed in the report are approximate and Central Standard Time.