

Telephone (412) 393-6000

December 28, 1990 ND3MNO:3080

Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit No. 2 Docket No. 50-412, License No. NPF-73 LER 90-024-01

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Gentlemen:

In accordance with Appendix A, Beaver Valley Technical Specifications, the following Licensee Event Report is submitted:

LER 90-024-01, 10 CFR 50.73.a.2.i, "Missed Surveillance - Boric Acid Storage Tank Samples ".

This revised report is being issued to correct a date specified in the ABSTRACT.

Very truly yours,

K. L. Ostrowski jor

T. P. Noonan General Manager Nuclear Operations

DW/dh

Attachment

JE22

December 28, 1990 ND3MNO:3080 Page two

cc: Mr. T. T. Martin, Regional Administrator United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region 1 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

C. A. Roteck, Ohio Edison 76 S. Main Street Akron, OH 44308

Mr. A. DeAgazio, BVPS Licensing Project Manager United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

J. Beall, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, BVPS Senior Resident Inspector

Larry Beck Cleveland Electric 6200 Oak Tree Blvd. Independence, Ohio 44101

INPO Records Center Suite 1500 1100 Circle 75 Parkway Atlanta, GA 30339

G. E. Muckle, Factory Mutual Engineering 680 Anderson Drive #BLD10 Pittsburgh, PA 15220-2773

Mr. J. N. Steinmetz, Operating Plant Projects Manager Mid Atlantic Area Westinghouse Electric Corporation Energy Systems Service Division Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Mr. Richard Janati Department of Environmental Resources P. O. Box 2063 16th Floor, Fulton Building harrisburg, PA 17120

Director, Safety Evaluation & Control Virginia Electric & Power Co. P.O. Box 26666 One James River Plaza Richmond, VA 23261

December 28, 1990 ND3MNO:3080 Page three

> W. Hartley Management Analysis Company 112671 High Bluff Drive San Diego, CA 92130-2025

J. M. Riddle
NUS Operating Service Corporation
Park West II
Cliff Mine Road
Pittsburgh, PA 15275

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

EXTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P.630) U.S. NUCLEAR HEGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20555, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3)50-0104, OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, DC 20503

FACILITY	NAME !	1)																			Do	DÇ.R	ET.	NUN	BEF	(2)						AGE	(3)	-
Beave															1	5	0	10	10	0 1	4	11	2	1 (OF	01	4							
TITLE (4)							-		-		-												-		****		-			- consider	-	imash		
Misse	d Sui	veil	an	ce	- B	oric	A	cid	St	ora	ge '	Tar	nk S	am	ple	S																		
EVENT DATE (5) LER NUMBER (6)									REPORT DATE (7) OTHE								OTHER F	R FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)																
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REV							REVI	SION	MON	н	DAY		YE	AR			FACILITY NAME	ES				DOCKET NUMBERIS												
	-									-		-							N/A							0	1	5	0 1	0 1	0			
1 1	2 1	9	0	9	0		0	2	4	-	0	1	1	2	2	8	9	0								G		5	0	0	01			
OPE	RATING			THIS	REP	TRO	18 81	BMI	TTE	D PU	RSUA	INT 1	C THE	Rh	QUIF	REME	ENTS	OF 1	O CFR §	(0)	heck one or more of	the	to	Own	19/ [11)								
MODE (9) 4 20.402(b)							20.405(c) 50.73(a)(2)(iv)																73.71(6)											
POWER 20.406(a)(1)(ii								50.36(c)(1) 50.73(a)(2)(v)														73.71(e)												
101 0 0 0 0 20.405(4)(1)(II)									50.38(c)(2) 50.73(a)(2)(vii)														OTHER Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form											
20.406(a)(1)(iii) X								X	50.73(a)(2)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(viii)								50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A					F		366			***		r ger					
20.406(a)(1)(iv)							50.7	3(a)(21(ii)						50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)					L														
		tine to each			20.4	06 (a)	(13/9)		-				50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(x)																-		-			
													ICENS	EE C	ONT	ACT	FOF	A THE	LER (12															
NAME																											LE	HOM	NE N	IMBI	R	-	-	
																						1			ODE	1.								
T.P. N	roons	in, c	ier	nera	al M	an	age	IL D	luc	lea	ro	pe	ratio	ns									4	1	12		6	4	3	-	11	2	5	8
		-		-			00	MPLE	TE	ONE	LINE	FOR	EACH	CON	MPON	NENT	FA	LUA	DESCRI	BEI	D IN THIS REPORT	11	3)							- peers	erene.	*****		*****
CAUSE	SYSTEM	co	IMPO	DNEN	7		ANU				ORTA		CAUSE SYSTEM COMPC								COMPONENT	MANUFAC- TURER							ORTABLE O NPRDS					
А	СВ	CB TK N														4 4 4																		
				- 9																T														
									bi																									
							SI	JPP L	EME	NTAI	LRE	PORT	EXPE	OTEC	114	0								FX	PECT	ED			MON	TH	DA	Y	YEA	AR
YES III yas complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE) X NO													SUB	MISS	ION					1		1												

The Unit #2 Boric Acid Storage Tanks (2CHS-TK21A & 2CHS-TK21B) were sampled on Monday, 11/12/90, in preparation for entry into Mode 4 (Hot Shutdown) following the second refueling outage. The concentration of the boric acid in each tank was verified to be within the 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm range required by Technical Specifications. Boric Acid Storage Tank samples are normally scheduled for their Technical Specification weekly samples on Wednesdays. On Wednesday 11/14/90 the samples we not taken as they normally would be because they were just done on the previous Monday. On Monday 11/19/90 it was not realized that the tanks were due to be sampled. The tanks were sampled again on Wednesday (as they normally would be) 11/21/90 at 0912 hours for tank 21A and at 2155 hours for tank 21B. The boric acid concentration in each tank was again verified to be within the 7000 to 7700 ppm range. It was at this time that it was noticed that the time between samples had exceeded the seven day surveillance as required by our Technical Specifications including the 25% grace period. There were no safety implications due to this event.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 500 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS RECARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P.830), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20565, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503

MONTH

EXPECTED

DAY

YEAR

FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2) Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 2 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 1 OF TITLE (4) Missed Surveillance - Boric Acid Storage Tank Samples EVENT DATE (8) LER NUMBER IS REPORT DATE (7) OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED IS SEQUENTIAL MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR MONTH DAY VEAR N/A 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 910 111 9 0 0 2 4 1/2 011 3 1 910 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | THIS REPORT IS SUBMITTED PURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS OF 10 CFR 5. (Check one or more of the following) (11) MODE (9) 4 20.405 (b) 20.406(a) 50.73(a)(2)(iv) 73.73 (b) 20:406(a)(1)(i) 50.38(c)(1) 50.73(x)(2)(v) 73,71(4) 01010 20.405(+)(1)(0) OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Text, NRC Form 363A) 50.36 (4)(2) 50 73(a)(2)(vii) 20.406(a)(1)(iii) 50.73(a1(2)(i) 80 73(a)(2)(viii)(A 20.405(a)(1)(iv) 80 73(41(2)/(() 50 73(a)(2)(viii)(B) 20.408(4)(1)(v) 50.73(4)(2)(iii) 50 73(a)(2)(x) LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER (12) NAME TELEPHONE NUMBER AREA CODE T.P. Noonan, General Manager Nuclear Operations 6 4 3 - 1 1 2 5 8 4 112 COMPLETE ONE LINE FOR EACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED IN THIS REPORT 1131 MANUFAC TURER MANUFAC REPORTABLE CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT CAUSE SYSTEM COMPONENT O NEBDS A C B N

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces, i.e., approximately lifteen single space typewritten lines) (16)

YES III ver complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE!

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED 114

#2 Boric Acid Storage Tanks (2CHS-TK21A & 2CHS-TK21B) Unit were sampled on Monday, 11/12/90, in preparation for entry into Mode 4 (Hot Shutdown) following the second refueling outage. The concentration of the boric acid in each tank was verified to 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm range required by Technical be within the Specifications. Boric Acid Storage Tank samples are normally scheduled for their Technical Specification weekly samples on Wednesdays. On Wednesday 11/14/90 the samples were not taken as because they were just done on the they normally would be previous Monday. On Monday 11/19/90 it was not realized that tanks were due to be sampled. The tanks were sampled again the Wednesday (as they normally would be) 11/21/90 at 0912 hours for tank 21A and at 2155 hours for tank 21B. The boric acid concentration in each tank was again verified to be within the 7000 to 7700 ppm range. It was at this time that it was noticed that the time between samples had exceeded the seven day surveillance as required by our Technical Specifications including the 25% grace period. There were no safety implications due to this event.

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3180-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92

TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BUHDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST, 80.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P.83.0), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20658, AND TO THE FAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20603.

FACILITY NAME (1)	D	OCH	KET	NUN	AB E R	(5)			_		OME, SARRY	LE	RNU	MBER	(6)		-	No. chance	P./	AGE (3)	_
										YE	AR		SEQU	ENT	AL R	REVIS	ION EER					
Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 2	(0	5	0	0	0	4	1	2	9	0		0]	2	4	 0	1	0	2	OF	0	3

TEXT (If more space is required, use enditional NRC Form 306A's) (17)

Description of Event

On 11/12/90 (Monday) Unit #2 was in mode 5 (Cold Shutdown), preparing to enter mode 4 (Hot Shutdown), following its second refueling outage. The Boric Acid Storage Tanks (2CHS-TK-21A and 218) were sampled at 0410 and 0415 hours, respectively, in anticipation of the Unit going into moda 4 later that same day. Technical Specification Surveillance 4.1.2.8.a.1 requires weekly samples which are normally scheduled to be taken every Wednesday. The concentration of the boric acid in each tank was verified to be within the 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm range required by Technical Specifications. On 11/14/90 (Wednesday) these samples were not taken, as they normally would have been, since they had just been sampled on the previous Monday. On Monday, 11/19/90, seven days following the last sample, it was not realized that the tanks were due to be sampled. The tanks were sampled again on 11/21/90 (Wednesday), as they normally would be, at 0912 hours for tank 21A and at 2155 hours for tank 21B. The Boric Acid concentration in each tank was again verified to be within the 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm range. It was at this time that it was noticed that the time between samples had exceeded that allowed by our Technical Specifications including the grace period of 25%.

Cause of Event

The Boric Acid Storage Tank samples are normally taken every Wednesday. Samples were taken on 11/12/90, a Monday, due to the plant preparing to enter mode 4. The Chemistry Technical Specification Status Board was not updated to reflect this abnormal evolution. The status board is used by the chemists to track the performance dates and the due dates of all the samples to be performed. As a result, on the following Monday, 11/19/90, there was no obvious indicator to alert the Chemist that these samples were due this day. On Wednesday, 11/21/90, the samples were taken as they normally would be. It was at this time that it was noticed, through supervisory review, that the time between samples had exceeded that allowed by our Technical Specifications.

STIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST, BOD HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20655, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (1150-0104), DEFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20603.

TEXT CONTINUATION

ACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (2)										LER NUMBER (6)								PAG			(3)	
									YE	AR		sequi NU	MBER	AL		NUMB!	ON ER					
Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 2	0	16	0	0	0	4	1	2	9	0		0	2	4	-	0	1	0	3	OF	0	3

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17).

Corrective Actions

- Chemistry supervision has stressed to its personnel the importance of keeping the Technical Specification Status Board up-to-date with accurate information.
- This item shall be reviewed by all chemists / analysts during their continuing training.
- 3. The Technical Specification Status Board was reviewed and all other entries were verified to be up-to-date and accurate.
- 4. All Additions made to the Boric Acid Storage Tanks from the Boric Acid Batch Tanks were verified to be within the 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm range required.

Previous Similar Events

In the most recent previous similar event, documented in LER 1-87-016, the Chemistry Dept. failed to analyze the Diesel Fuel Oil within the required time limit. There are three other previous similar events. They are documented in LER 1-80-064, LER 1-80-053, and LER 1-79-043.

Safety Evaluation

There were no safety implications due to this event. Both tanks were verified to be within the Technical Specification allowable range of 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm both before and after this time period. Operations had added Boric Acid to tank 21A during this time period, but by procedure (C 2.7.4.I), the addition was sampled and was within the same 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm range before it was transferred to the Boric Acid Storage Tank.