

Tenhessee Valley Authority, 1101 Market Street, Chattanooga, Tenhessee, 37402

Joseph R. Bynum Vice President, Nuclear Operations

December 27, 1990

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Gentlemen:

-

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY - SEQUOYAH NUCLEAR PLANT UNIT 2 - DOCKET NO. 50-328 - FACILITY OPERATING LICENSE DPR-77 - LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) 50-328/90019

The enclosed LER provides details concerning an improper test of a thermal overload heater on 2-FCV-63-72. This event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(1) as an operation prohibited by technical specifications.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact M. A. Cooper at (615) 843-8422.

Very truly yours,

TENNESSEE VALLEY AUTHORITY

J. R. Bynur.

Enclosure cc: See page 2

FUL

2101020289 201227 FDR ADOCK 05000326 PDR 210034 U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission December 27, 1990

cc (Enclosure): Mr. J. N. Donohew, Project Manager U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint, North 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, Maryland 20852

> INPO Records Center Institute of Nuclear Power Operations 1100 Circle 75 Parkway, Suite 1500 Atlanta, Georgia 30339

NRC Resident Inspector Sequoyah Nuclear Plant 2600 Igou Ferry Road Soddy Daisy, Tennessee 37379

Mr. B. A. Wilson, Project Chief U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region II 101 Marietta Street, NW, Suite 2900 Atlanta, Georgia 30323

| NRC Form 366<br>(6-89)  | U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION<br>LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)  | Approved OMB No. 3150-0104<br>Expires 4/30/92   |
|---|--|---|
| FACILITY NAME (1)<br>Sequoyah Nuclear Pla   |  | DOCKET NUMBER (2)   PAGE (3)<br>01500013 12 18 110F1 014  |
| TITLE (4) Improper te<br>technical specificat   | ist of a thermal overload heater on Valve 2-FCV-63-7.<br>ions.   | 2 resulted in an operation prohibited by  |
| EVENT DAY (5)   | LER NUMBER (6)   REPORT DATE (7)   | OTHER FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)   |
| MONTHI DAY YEAR YEA   |  | ACILITY NAMES [DOCKET NUMBER(S<br>guoyah. Unit 1 [0]5[0]0[0]3[2[7   |
| 1 1 2 7 9 0 9<br>OPERATING   THI  | 0 0 1 0 1 1 9 0 0 1 0 1 12 217 9 01<br>S REPORT IS SUBMITTED FURSUANT TO THE REQUIREMENTS I  | 0151010101  |
| MODE  (<br>(9)   1   <br>POWER  1<br>LEVEL  1<br>(10)   0  3  2   | $\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$  | <pre>(2)(iv)73.71(b)<br/>(2)(v)73.71(c)<br/>(2)(vii)1OTHER (Specify in<br/>(2)(viii)(A) Abstract below and in<br/>(2)(viii)(B) Text, NRC Form 366A)<br/>(2)(x)</pre>  |
| NAME  | LICENSEE CONTACT FOR THIS LER [1]  | 2)<br>TELEPHONE NUMBER  |
|   | Implete one line F.KACH COMPONENT FAILURE DESCRIBED   IREPORTABLE I   INT MANUFACTURER IO   I I  | OMPONENT [MANUFACTURER] TO NPRDS  |
|   |  |   |
|   | SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)  | EXPECTED MONTH DAY YEAR   |
| ABSTRACT (Limit to 14<br>On November 27<br>performance of<br>2-FCV-63-72 was<br>SI-251.2 was pe<br>updated to inco<br>3.6.2.1, and 3<br>and performed v<br>2245 EST on Nov<br>detail in that<br>did not include<br>Farts IV and V<br>process has bee<br>Planning and Te<br>incomplete rev | plete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)   X   NO<br>100 spaces, i.e., approximately fifteen single-space<br>, 1990, with Unit 2 in Mode 1, during revi<br>SI-251.2, it was determined that the ther<br>s improperly tested after replacing the va<br>erformed as the postmodification testing (<br>orporate the new motor data prior to the p<br>.5.2 were entered on November 27, 1990, at<br>without requiring adjustment on the TOLH.<br>vember 27, 1990. This event is attributed<br>the review cycle of the workplan change t<br>e all required plant sections. As correct<br>I, emphasizing the requirements for the wo<br>en conducted. The AI-19 WCF will be revis<br>echnical section in the review cycle when<br>iew of deficiencies identified during the<br>design engineers to ensure reviews are cor | <pre>iew of an October 31, 1990,<br/>rmal overload heater (TOLH) on<br/>alve motor and overload.<br/>(PMT), but the procedure was not<br/>performance. LCOs 3.8.3.2,<br/>t 1048 EST. The SI was revised<br/>The LCOs were exited at<br/>d to a lack of attention to<br/>that added the TOLH replacement<br/>tive action, training on AI-19,<br/>orkplan change form (WCF) review<br/>sed to include the Maintenarce<br/>applicable. As a result of an<br/>performance, a training session</pre> |

## Approved OMB No. 3150-0104 Expires 4/30/92

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

| ACILITY NAME (1)                        | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER MUMBER (6)        | PAGE (3) |     |
|---|-------------------|-----------------------|----------|-----|
|   |                   | SEQUENTIAL   REVISION | 1 1 1    | 1   |
| Sequoyah Nuclear Plant Unit 2           |                   | YEAR NUMBER NUMBER    | 1.1.1    | i . |
|   | 01500013 2 8      | 9 0 0 1 1 9 0 0 0     | 0 2 0F 0 | 1 1 |
| EXT (If more space is required, use add |                   |                       |          | -   |

## Description of Event

NRC Form 366A

(6-89)

On November 27, 1990, at 0900 Eastern standard time (EST), with Unit 2 in Mode 1 (32 percent power, 555 degrees Fahrenheit, 2235 pounds per square inch gage), the system engineer discovered during review of a Surveillance Instruction (SI) 251.2, "Channel Calibration of Class iE Motor Operated Valvo Overload Relay Meaters," performance package, that the thermal overload heater (TOLH) on Valve 2-FCV-63-72 was improperly tested on October 31, 1990, after a modification. During the Unit 2 Cycle 4 refueling outage, the motor for the valve was discovered to be damaged. An exact replacement was not readily available; therefore, it was replaced with a larger motor. Because this was emergent work during the outage, the replacement was an expedited design and installation process. An advance authorization of the design change notice (DCN) was issued on October 7, 1990, to allow work to proceed replacing the motor. The workplan (WP), implementing the design change was approved on October 9, and the motor was replaced on October 10. The final DCN was issued on October 26, including additional scope of replacing the TOLH. A workplan change form (WCF) was issued to incorporate the final DCN on October 28. This War included a postmodification test of SI-251.2 to verify calibration of the new TOLH. ... the original WP approval cycle, SI-251.2 was identified as requiring revision to incorporate the new motor data, but did not require the procedure to be revised prior to declaring the valve operable. The review on the WCF did not include the Maintenance Planning and Technical (MP&T) section, the responsible section for the SI-251.2 procedure. If the WCF had been reviewed by MP&T, the required revision would have been identified prior to declaring the valve operable. On October 31, the TOLH was replaced and tested using the previous motor's running current of 13.8 A and locked rotor current of 118.5 A, rather than the running current and locked rotor current values for the new motor, 18.4 A and 146.68 A, respectively.

During the performance of the SI, the test performers recorded three deficiencies that should have identified the problem. The first was that the heater number of the installed heater differed from the number in the procedure. The other two were that trip times exceeded the allowable band in the procedure. The deficiencies were evaluated by Nuclear Engineering (NE) and determined to be acceptable as the differences were in the conservative direction, with respect to allowing the valve to perform its safety function prior to a trip by the thermal overloads (TOLs).

Limiting Conditions for Operation (LCOs) 3.8.3.2, 3.6.2.1, and 3.5.2 were entered on November 27, 1990, at 1048 EST upon discovery of the event. The SI was revised and successfully performed without requiring adjustment. The LCOs were then exited at 2245 EST on November 27, 1990.

# Approved OMB No. 3150-0104 Expires 4/30/92

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

| FACILITY NAME (1)             | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | 1     | LER NUMBER (6) | 1        | PAGE (3)      |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------|----------------|----------|---------------|
|                               |                   | 1     | SEQUENTIAL     | REVISION | 1 1 1 1       |
| Sequoyah Nuclear Plant Unit 2 |                   | YEAR  | NUMBER         | NUMBER   | 1111          |
|                               | 050003 2 8        | 19 10 | 0 1 9          | 0101     | 0 3 10F1 01 4 |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

# Cause of Event

INRC Form 366A

(6-89)

The cause of this event has been attributed to a lack of attention to detail on the part of the modifications engineer responsible for the WP in that he did not obtain proper reviews on the WCF. Had MP&T been included in the WCF review cycle, the required revision would have been identified. A contributing cause for the omission of the MP&T section from the review cycle is they are a relatively new organization and have not been added to the WCF form as a review section.

An inadequate design review of the test deficiencies is another contributing cause of this event. The design engineer did not research the cause of the discrepancies, rather he evaluated the acceptability as the differences were conservative.

#### Analysis of Event

This event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(i) as an operation prohibited by technical specifications (TSs) because the operability of the TOLH was not verified in accordance with TS SR 4.8.3.2 before returning the value to service.

TOLHs are installed in motor-operated values to protect the motor in the event of a fault. The TS SR ensures the protection device will not prevent the value from performing its safety function. In this event, the operability of the TOL was not verified because the test performed used nonconservative values for running current and locked rotor current. However, the overloads would not have prevented the value from performing its safety function because the overloads were sized correctly, and when retested using the correct motor current values, they performed properly without adjustment. Therefore, this event caused no adverse affect on the health and safety of the public.

## Corrective Action

As immediate corrective action, the SI was revised and performed using the correct motor current values. To prevent recurrence, a training session on Administrative Instruction (AI) 19, Part IV, "Plant Modifications: After Licensing," and Part VI, "Modifications: Permanent Design Change Control Program," have been completed with modifications engineers emphasizing the requirements for the WCF review process. AI-19, Parts IV and VI, will be revised to include MP&T as lead in a review section on the WCF form by March 1, 1991. Additionally, because the design engineer's evaluation of the test deficiencies was not as detailed as expected, a training session was held with design engineers to ensure reviews are conducted utilizing relevant design output documents.

#### Additional Information

Two previous LERs were issued regarding TOLHs. LER 50-327/84069 described an event where a TOLH was not reset after testing. LER 50-327/87049 provided details of a design error of incorrect sizing of TOLs. Neither of these events are related to the occurrences described in this report.

#### LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

| ACILITY NAME (1)              | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER NUMBER (6)        | PAGE (3)       |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
|                               |                   | SEQUENTIAL    RE      | VISION       I |
| Sequoyah Nuclear Plant Unit 2 |                   | YEAR NUMBER M         | UMBER          |
|                               |                   | 19 10 1 0 1 1 1 9 1 0 |                |

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

# Additional Information (Continued)

LER 50-327/90023 described an event regarding an SI being improperly revised after a modification resulting in not properly verifying containment integrity. This event is similar to the one described in this report in that both res...ted from personnel oversight regarding procedure revisions after modifications. However, the event in this report is an administrative oversight in that the WCF did not receive adequate review, and the event in LER 50-327/90023 was a technical oversight of a procedure revision. Accordingly, the corrective actions resulting from the event in LER 50-327/90023 could not be expected to prevent this event.

Commitments

NRC. Form 366A

(6-84)

 AI-19, Parts IV and VI, will be revised to include MP&T as a review section on the WCF by March 1, 1991.

1163h