

WR-	CD - 82-068	PR

Report No.

June 7, 1982

NRC Research and for Technicah Assistance Rept

INTERNAL TECHNICAL REPORT

JET PUMP COMPLETION REPORT Title:

CODE DEVELOPMENT DIVISION **Organization**

Author: Dr. John A. Trapp

Checked By: ____

V. H. Ransom

Approved By: F. Acuilar

Courtesy release to the public on request. This document was prepared primarily for internal use. Citation or quotation of this document or its contents is inappropriate, THIS BOOUMENT HAS NOT RECEIVED PATENT-RANDE AND IS NOT TO DE TRANSMITTED THE DUDUID DOMAIN

8211040029 820607 PDR RES 3211040029

PDR

JET PUMP COMPLETION REPORT

February 1982 (Revised May 1982)

PREPARED BY

PRACTICAL ANALYSIS & COMPUTING, INC. 13932 W. 73rd PLACE ARVADA, COLORADO 80005

> PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR DR. JOHN A. TRAPP

PREPARED FOR

IDAHO NATIONAL ENGINEERING LAB IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO 83401

> RELAP5 PROJECT MANAGER DR. V. RANSOM

ABSTRACT

This report describes the development and testing of a jet mixing component, JETMIXER, for RELAP5. When the jet mixing component is used the momentum equations are modified to reflect the additional momentum flux terms that result from the one-dimensional mixing of two parallel fluid streams. The JETMIXER component is primarily intended to be the basic building block used to model the jet pumps present in boiling water. It could also be used to model the momentum mixing that takes place at ECC injection points or for aspirators in steam generators.

For check out, the new JETMIXER component is used, along with standard RELAP5 components, to model the 1/6-scale jet pump from the General Electric single loop test apparatus that was tested at the Idaho National Engineering Laboratory.

CONTENTS

	Page
Introduction-Jet Pump Flow Characteristics	1
Basic Jet Mixing Model	6
Numerical Implementation/Code Changes	.15
Input Preparation	.17
Jet Pump Application	.18
References	.24
endix A - VEXPLT	.25
endix B - HLOSS	.27
endix C - Input Listing	.28
	Introduction-Jet Pump Flow Characteristics

Section 1

INTRODUCTION-JET PUMP PERFORMANCE

There are several components : a typical reactor plant where the momentum effects due to the mixing of two parallel streams of fluid at different velocities may be important. The primary component being the jet pump in a BWR. In this component the pumping action is caused by the momentum mixing of two fluid streams. Momentum mixing effects may also be important at ECC injection points or for the aspirators present in some steam generators. A new JETMIXER component was developed for these cases and tested out for a jet pump. The remainder of this report documents the jet pump application of the JETMIXER component.

1A. JET PUMP FLOW CHARACTERISTICS

Jet pumps are an important component in the design of boiling water reactors-BWR (Figure 1). Jet pumps provide a simple virtually maintenance free efficient primary pumping system.

Under normal operating conditions, a jet pump operates with a high fluid momentum in the drive line (Figure 2) generated by the auxiliary recirculation pump. This momentum creates the necessary suction at the nozzle exit plane to draw fluid from the suction region (the downcomer region in a BWR) into the jet pump. This suction flow then mixes with the high speed drive flow in the mixing region. The high momentum flow leaving the mixing section is converted to a pressure head in the diffuser section.

Figure 3 shows flow directions under normal operating conditions (first quadrant) and the five additional steady state flow regimes that could exist within the jet pump under abnormal conditions. (Two other possible flow regimes exists but they violate continuity assumptions for in-compressible steady flow.)

It has been customary to identify jet pump operations in terms of two dimensionless parameters. Theses are the M and N parameters defined

1



*

Figure 1. Typical BWR Structure



Figure 2. Normal Jet Pump Operation

as follows:

(1) The M - Ratio is the suction flow rate, $\rm W_S,$ divided by the drive flow rate $\rm W_{i},^{1}$

$$M + W_{S}/W_{j}$$
, (1.1)

(2) The N - Ratio (head ratio) is the increase in dynamic pressure for the suction-discharge path divided by the loss of dynamic pressure for the drive-discharge path,

$$N = \frac{(P + \frac{1}{2}\rho v^{2} + \rho gh)_{D} - (P + \frac{1}{2}\rho v^{2} + \rho gh)_{S}}{(P + \frac{1}{2}\rho v^{2} + \rho gh)_{i} - (P + \frac{1}{2}\rho v^{2} + \rho gh)_{D}}.$$
 (1.2)

1B. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

Figure 3 shows typical jet pump data in terms of the M-N parameters and the associated flow regimes. This data is for the 1/6-scale jet pump from the General Electric single loop test apparatus that was tested at INEL [1].

Data was collected in all six of the operating regimes shown in Figure 3 but the data scatter was execessive for the positive drive/negative discharge case. The experimental data analysis report [1] indicated that it would be inadvisable to use the M-N data base for positive drive with M less than -0.8 for the development and assessment of analytic models. We have therefore not plotted this data in Figure 3. The data in Figure 3 will be used to test the JETMIXER component developed in Section 2.

¹ Subscripts j, S, D, are used to indicate variables defined at the drive nozzle jet, the suction entrance, and the discharge at the exit of the diffuser section respectfully. If the intended application of the JEIMIXER component is not a jet pump then the drive flow should be the high speed stream and the suction the low speed stream. For example, at an ECC injection point the injected fluid is the drive flow and the main upstream flow is the suction flow.



.



otteA N

Section 2

BASIC JET MIXING MODEL

2A. MOMENTUM ANALYSIS FOR JET MIXING IN SINGLE PHASE FLOWS

To develop the momentum equations needed to model a jet pump we will consider the schematic of the drive and suction junctions¹ shown in Figure 4.



Figure 4. Schematic of Mixing Junctions

¹ The drive and suction junctions should be the high speed and low speed junctions respetively with the JETMIXER component is used for other than jet pump applications.

The suction junction (with velocity v_S and area A_S) connects the last upstream suction region volume, K_S , to the mixing volume, L. The drive junction (with velocity v_j and area A_j) connects the last upstream drive line volume, K_j , to the mixing volume, L. Figure 4 shows the drive junction as a smooth junction and the suction junction as abrupt. The user can model either junction either way if the appropriate loss factors are included (see discussion in Section 5). Void fractions and densities at junctions j or S when subscripted by a j or S are donored valves. If the subscript a is used they are then volume averaged values.

The mixing of the drive and suction flows between section 1 and 2 requires a re-evaluation of the momentum flux terms. The wall drag, interphase drag, temporal acceleration, momentum exchange due to mass transfer, and gravity head terms for the drive and suction junctions are treated exactly as they are at any other junction. Only the pressure and momentum flux terms will be examined in the following momentum equation development.

Consider a control volume in the mixing region between sections 1 and 2. If we apply the conservation of momentum principle for this control volume with the following assumptions:

- (1) steady single phase flow,
- (2) one-dimensional flow at sections 1 and 2,
- (3) pressures at junctions j and S are equal, we obtain

$$P_{L} - P_{1}) A_{L} + \rho v_{L}^{2} A_{L} - \rho_{S} v_{S}^{2} A_{S} - \rho_{j} v_{j}^{2} A_{j} = 0.$$
 (2.1)

Conservation of mass applied with these same assumptions gives

$$\rho_{L} v_{L}^{A} - \rho_{S} v_{S}^{A} - \rho_{j} v_{j}^{A} = 0.$$
(2.2)

We now use Equation (2.2) to write Equation (2.1) in its "nonconservative" form, obtaining

$$(P_{L} - P_{1}) + \rho_{S} v_{S} A_{S} (v_{L} - v_{S}) / A_{L} + \rho_{j} v_{j} A_{j} (v_{L} - v_{j}) / A_{L} = 0.$$
 (2.3)

Equation (2.3) gives the momentum equation (pressure and flux terms, that is) for the mixing volume. To develop the momentum equation for the suction junction we write a normal half cell momentum equation from K_S to section 1 i.e.

$$(P_1 - P_{KS}) + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{KS} (v_S^2 - v_{KS}^2) = 0.$$
(2.4)

and add this to the half cell momentum Equation (2.3) for section 1 to L. This gives

If the suction junction were a normal junction its momentum equation would be

$$(P_{1} - P_{KS}) + \frac{1}{2} \rho_{Sa} (v_{1}^{2} - v_{KS}^{2}) = 0.$$
(2.6)

A completely parallel development is obtained for the drive junction equations. Comparing Equations (2.6) and (2.5) shows how the convective terms are modified at a suction or drive junction due to the mixing that is taking place there.

28. MOMENTUM ANALYSIS FOR JET MIXING IN TWO-PHASE FLOWS

If a similar development is carried out for the pressure and momentum flux terms in the two-phase case the following equations are obtained for the liquid phase in the mixing region:

(1) Conservation of momentum (for section 1 to L)

(2) Conservation of mass¹ (for section 1 to L)

$$\alpha_{fL}\rho_{fL}v_{fL}A_{L} - \alpha_{fS}\rho_{fS}v_{fS}A_{S} - \alpha_{fj}\rho_{fj}v_{fj}A_{j} = 0.$$
(2.8)

We now use Equation (2.8) to rewrite Equation (2.7) in its nonconservative form

Equation (2.9) could now be combined with the appropriate half cell momentum equation for the upstream volume to obtain the final momentum equation for the liquid flow at the suction junction. In RELAP5 the phasic momentum equations are used as a sum momentum equation and a difference momentum equation. We will derive these equations directly from Equation (2.9).

To derive the sum momentum equation for the suction junction we add Equation (2.9) for the liquid phase and the parallel equation for the gas phase to obtain

$$(P_{L} - P_{1}) + \alpha_{fS} \rho_{fS} v_{fS} A_{S} (v_{fL} - v_{fS}) / A_{L} + \alpha_{i'j} \rho_{fj} v_{fj} A_{j} (v_{fL} - v_{fj}) / A_{L}$$

$$+ \alpha_{gS} \rho_{gS} v_{gS} A_{S} (v_{gL} - v_{gS}) / A_{L} + \alpha_{gj} \rho_{gj} v_{gj} A_{j} (v_{gL} - v_{gj}) / A_{L} = 0.$$

$$(2.10)$$

As stated before, the momentum equation terms due to mass exchange are not being changed by this model addition, so the mass transfer terms in Equation (2.8) are not shown.

The normal half cell sum momentum equation for the upstream suction volume can then be added to Equation (2.10) giving

as the final form of the pressure and momentum flux terms in the new sum momentum equation to be used at junction S. A parallel equation must be used at the drive junction j. The pressure term in this equation is in exactly the same form as the pressure term in the normal sum momentum equation. Hence at the drive and suction mixing junctions we must replace the normal momentum flux terms by those in Equation (2.11).

To derive the difference momentum equation for the suction junction we divide the liquid mixing Equation (2.9) by α_{fL} and add it to the half cell liquid equation for the upstream suction volume (also divided by the appropriate void fraction, α_{fKS}) to obtain

$$(P_{L} - P_{KS}) + \alpha_{fS} \rho_{fS} v_{fS} A_{S} (v_{fL} - v_{fS}) / \alpha_{fL} A_{L} + \alpha_{fj} \rho_{fj} v_{fj} A_{j} (v_{fL} - v_{fj}) / \alpha_{fL} A_{L}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \rho_{fKS} (v_{fS}^{2} - v_{fKS}^{2}) = 0.$$
(2.12)

We now divide Equation (2.12) by the average junction liquid density, $\rho_{\rm f}$, and subtract it from the corresponding gas equation to obtain

$$\frac{\rho_{f} - \rho_{q}}{\rho_{f} \rho_{g}} (P_{L} - P_{KS}) + \alpha_{gS}\rho_{gS}v_{gs}A_{S} (v_{gL} - v_{gS}) / \alpha_{gL}\rho_{g}A_{L}$$

$$+ \alpha_{gj}\rho_{gj}v_{gj}A_{j} (v_{gL} - v_{gj}) / \alpha_{gL}\rho_{g}A_{L} + \frac{1}{2}\rho_{gKS} (v_{gS}^{2} - v_{gKS}^{2}) / \rho_{g}$$

$$- \alpha_{fS}\rho_{fS}v_{fS}A_{S} (v_{fL} - v_{fS}) / \alpha_{fL}\rho_{f}A_{L} - \alpha_{fj}\rho_{fj}v_{fj}A_{j} (v_{fj} - v_{fj}) / \alpha_{fL}\rho_{f}A_{L}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2}\rho_{fKS} (v_{fS}^{2} - v_{fKS}^{2}) / \rho_{f} = 0.$$
(2.13)

as the final difference momentum equation to be used at junction S. A parallel equation must be used at the drive junction. The pressure term in Equation (2.13) is in exactly the same form as the pressure term in the normal difference momentum equation. Hence we must replace the normal momentum flux at these junctions by the momentum flux terms in Equation (2.13).

2C. ASSOCIATED FLOW LOSSES

The flow taking place in the "mixing" region of volume L can in reality be either a true jet mixing, corresponding to regime 1 in Figure 3, or a flow split corresponding to regime 4 in Figure 3. The flow separation case is governed by different physics than the jet mixing case considered in the previous discussions. The redistribution taking place when the flow splits is primarily determined by the effective resistances downstream of the separation point in the suction and drive flow paths. The mixing terms derived above do not apply. For this reason and to preserve continuity on the respective performance curves in Figure 3 we apply the additional mixing terms only for the positive drive flow regimes. We use the normal momentum flux calculations for the negative drive flow regimes.

The junctions associated with the JETMIXER component can be modeled as smooth or abrupt. If the junctions are input as smooth then the appropriate flow resistances should be calculated in a standard fashion (see Reference [2]) and input as form loss coefficients at the appropriate junctions by the user. The junctions in the test calculation were modeled in this manner. (See the detailed discussion and user guidelines in Section 5). If the junctions in the JETMIXER component are input as abrupt then the code will calculate form loss coefficients as usual except that (1) the forward loss coefficients at the drive and suction junctions are set to zero. The forward losses at these junctions are really associated with the expansion from the vana contracta to the downstream mixing volume flow area. Because of the parallel mixing streams this loss is no longer appropriate. (2) The reverse loss coefficients for the suction and drive junctions are those associated with the expansions from the value of with the expansions from the junction areas to the suction or drive inlet volume areas. The losses associated with any contraction from the mixing volume to the suction or drive junctions is also neglected for the same reason.

If one considers a typical BWR configuration (Figure 1) then two jet pump related accidents that must be considered are (1) loss of power for a recirculation pump and the resulting pump coastdown and (2) blowdown resulting from the rupture of a drive line. In the first case as the drive flow declines, so does the head developed by the pump and eventually the suction flow reverses. The pump is then operating in flow regime 2. In case 2 the jet pump will be operating in flow regime 5.

If normal flow losses are used in these two cases (as described above for smooth or abrupt area changes) it will be found that the jet pump performance in regime 5 is accurately modeled but that the N Ratio in flow regime 2 is significantly below the experimental data. The reverse flow loss coefficient applied in the suction junction has a significant effect on the jet pump performance in flow regimes 2 and 3. This loss coefficient (in addition to the normal loss coefficient associated with the expansion from $A_{\rm S}$ to area $A_{\rm KS}$) represents all the irreversable losses associated with the turning and expansion of the flow from the drive junction. Because this flow regime is an important regime in the accident analysis of a BWR it was decided to include an approximation for this flow dependent loss in the jet mixing model.

This reverse suction flow lcss was based upon the expansion losses

12

experienced by the flow as it moves from the drive to the suction junction (Figure 5). In regime 2 the expansion loss associated with the area change $\rm A_i$ to $\rm A_S$ i.e.

$$K = (A_{S}/A_{j} - 1)^{2}$$
(2.14)

is added to the user input reverse loss coefficient (or the standard abrupt area change loss factor if the junction is input as abrupt) for the suction junction.¹ In regime 3 the effective area for the suction flow that comes from the drive junction is less than A_S because the discharge flow has reversed. In this regime the effective areas for the expansion loss are A_i and A_S ($|W_i/W_S|$). Hence for regime 3 the loss coefficient

$$K = \left(\frac{A_{\rm S}|W_{\rm j}/W_{\rm S}|}{A_{\rm j}} - 1\right)^2$$
(2.15)

is added to the user input reverse loss coefficient (or the abrupt area loss factor if the junction input is abrupt) at the suction junction







Figure 5. Flow Regimes and Dividing Streamline

In reality the expansion loss should be based upon the effective area change $A_j(W_S/W_j)$ to A_S . This form gives an infinitely large loss coefficient when $W_j = 0$ and approaches Equation (2.14) when W_S and W_j are the same order. A kink in the performance curve at M = 0 was removed using Equation (2.14).

so long as $A_S |W_j/W_S|$ is greater than A_j . If $A_j |W_j/W_S|$ is less than A_j the drive to suction flow is effectively a contraction and the additional loss coefficient is set to zero.

Since the jet mixing and effective resistances are handled with special terms in the suction and drive junction equations the normal losses associated with the partitioning of volume L are not included in these junction equations. The normal losses associated with the area ratios experienced by the flow upstream of the suction and drive junctions are retained in the junction momentum equations.

Section 3

NUMERICAL IMPLEMENTATION AND CODING CHANGES

The basic numerical algorithm used to evaluate the new momentum flux terms in Equations (2.11) and (2.13) is exactly parallel to the numerical evaluation of the normal momentum flux terms. The present momentum flux terms are calculated explicitly at time level n in the subroutine VEXPLT. The new momentum flux terms in Equation (2.11) and (2.13) are also explicitly evaluated in VEXPLT. The spatial location of each variable is indicated by its subscript.

When Equations (2.11) and (2.13) are examined it is found that the momentum equations for the suction junction S require a knowledge of the drive junction properties and velocities (a parallel statement holds for the drive junction momentum equations). In the present VEXPLT, when we are calculating the momentum terms for junction i, we have no available indices to obtain the properties at any other junction. For this reason it was necessary to define a new component, JETMIXER, and to require the user to input the drive and suction junctions in this component in a pre-assigned order (for details of the input preparation see section 5).¹ Because VEXPLT already contains an outer component loop (with an inner junction loop) no new structuring of the loops in VEXPLT was required. The special terms in Equations (2.11) and (2.13) are then easily built in VEXPLT when the component being processed is a JETMIXER and we know that the first junction in this component is the drive and the second is the suction.

The additional loss coefficients in Equations (2.14) and (2.15) are calculated in VEXPLT immediately following the special momentum flux calculations.

The added mass terms and any stratified flow forces that could be inadvertently included in the drive or suction junctions are set to

The drive junction must be the first junction input for a JETMIXER component and the suction junction input must be the second junction data input.

zero in the same section of coding. A Fortran listing of the appropriate section of VEXPLT showing these changes is included in Appendix A.

The only other routines modified are listed below with the appropriate changes noted:

HLOSS - several lines were added to flag a JETMIXER component and in this case to skip the normal loss calculation. See Appendix B for Fortran lisiting of appropriate changes.

RBRANCH - input checking and messages were added to see that the drive, suction and discharge junctions of the special JETMIXER branch component are properly connected by the user. Section 4 gives the input requirements and input error messages.

No new array variables were added to the code. Several local variables are used in the special section of coding for a JETMIXER component in VEXPLT. The only local variable used outside of this region of coding is FJET which contains the special JETMIXER resistance coefficient for reverse suction flow.

Section 4

INPUT PREPARATION AND OUTPUT CHANGES

The component name JETMIXER has been added to the component names that can be used. The input for a JETMIXER component is the same as that for a BRANCH component with the following modifications:

(1) For a BRANCH component the junctions connected to that branch can be input with the branch or as separate components. For a JETMIXER three (and only three) junctions. representing the drive, suction and discharge, must be input with the JETMIXER component, i.e. NJ = 3. If NJ ≠ 3 an input error message is printed. (2) The three junction card sequences must be numbered as follows; cards cccll01 and cccl201 represent the drive junction. cards ccc2101 and ccc2201 represent the suction junction, and cards ccc3101 and ccc3201 represent the junction in the mixing section. (3) The drive and suction junctions must be "pointing" into the JETMIXER volume i.e. these junctions must have to connection codes refering to the JETMIXER volume. If this is not the case an input error message is printed. The drive and suction junctions must be connected to the inlet side of the JETMIXER volume and the mixing junction must be connected to the outlet of the JETMIXER volume. If this is not the case an input error message is printed.

Although the junction and volume areas for a JETMIXER are not restricted, the JETMIXER will properly model a jet pump only if the drive and suction flow areas sum to the mixing volume area.

There is no special output for a JETMIXER component.

17

Section 5

APPLICATION TO JET PUMP MODELING

This section will describe the use of the JETMIXER component to model the 1/6 - scale jet pump test at INEL [1].

5A. MODEL DESCRIPTION

The model, as shown in Figure 6, has the following features:

(1) the suction region is represented by two equal volumes (A = $5.088E-3 M^2$, L = 1.6 M) with the suction junction modeled as smooth.

(2) the drive line is also represented by two volumes (A = $7.26E-4 M^2$,

L = 1.6 M) with a smooth drive junction .

(3) a mixing section represented by two similar volumes (A = $6.60SE-4 M^2$). The first is a JETMIXER component and the second is a normal volume. (4) A three volume diffuser PIPE (L = 4.26 M) component followed by a normal volume (A = $40.7E-4 M^2$).

The drive and suctions junctions could be modeled with smooth or abrupt area changes. If they are modeled as smooth junctions then the appropriate forward and reverse loss coefficients must be user input. Runs were made both ways with basically the same results. The use of smooth junctions gives the user more explicit control over the resistance coefficients and for this reason was used below.

The resistance coefficients used were calculated in a standard fashion from reference [2] for configurations similar to those of the jet pump. These additives resistance coefficients were taken as turning losses in the drive line (K = 0.42) entrance (K = 0.02) and exit (K = 1.0) losses in the suction junction, mixing (K = 0.07) and diffuser losses in the primary piping, and a reverse flow exit loss in the drive line. The expressions used for calculating the loss coefficient in the diffuser section were



Figure 6. Schematic of Jet Pump Model

$$K_{\alpha} = 0.8 \sin\theta(1-\beta^2)$$

(5.1)

and

$$2.6\sin\theta(1-\beta^2)^2 \qquad (for expansion), \qquad (5.2)$$

where β^2 is the area contraction ratio and θ is the half-angle of contraction. For this specific pump these evaluate to $K_c = 0.0337$ and $K_e = 0.0919$. The contraction loss associated with the drive nozzle was set to zero. This loss is really associated with the expansion beyond the venacontracta point. For the merging of two streams taking place in the jet pump it was felt that this expansion loss was not really present. For reverse drive flow Equation (5.2) was used with a resulting $K_p = 0.238$.

58. BOUNDRY CONDITIONS

To generate a point on the performance curve a transient was run to steady state. The boundry conditions used were:

- (1) Diffuser discharge pressure, P = 75.85ES Pa.
- (2) Two drive mass flows [±] 3.0611kg/s (volumetric flow [±] 4.1/s) with temperature T = 555.0°K.
- (3) The suction inlet mass flows were varied between -3.048Kg/s (M = -.986) and 9.907kg/s (M = 3.22) with T + 555.0°K. A flow rate was imposed at the suction inlet because in this way a whole performance curve could be generated with a single computer run using a time dependent inlet flow table. Several points on the M-N curve were then rerun with the appropriate pressure boundry conditions replacing the imposed suction mass flow. There was no change in the predicted results using the pressure boundry conditions.

5C. CODE PREDICTIONS

Figures 7 and 8 shows the code predictions compared to the experimental data. Figure 7 shows the basic agreement in all four quadrants. A curve



4

.

Figure 7. RELAPS Predictions for INEL Jet Pump

oiteA V

is also plotted showing the disagreement that existed in regime 2 before the reverse suction loss coefficient described in section 2 was included.

Figure 8 shows an expanded view of the normal operating region with several curves representing different flow resistances. This figure can be used as a guide for modeling different jet pump geometries. Each curve shows the M-N performance generated with the loss coefficients discussed above plus a single additional loss coefficient (K = 0.2) added to either the drive or suction or mixing junction. This figure gives an indication of the quantitative change in performance caused by the respective drive, suction or mixing losses. Using this figure, one should be able (with a few preliminary code runs) to design a code model for his specific jet pump if he has the performance data available. If no specific performance data is available it is recommended that the standard losses used above be applied.

There is no special output printed for the JETMIXER component. It is recommended that control variables be used to set up the M and N parameters for minor edit purposes and that these parameters be printed with every edit. A listing of the input cards used to generate the positive drive flow branch of the M-N curves is shown in Appendix C. This input data also shows an example of the use of the control variables to print out the M-N performance parameters.

22



Figure 8. Jet Pump Model Design

Section 6

REFERENCES

- 1. G.E. Wilson, INEL <u>One-Sixth Scale Jet Pump Data Analysis</u>, EGG-CAAD-5357, EG&G, Idaho, Feb. 1981.
- 2. Flow of Fluids, Crane Company; Report No. 410, (1979).

76/176 02T *? POUND * +-*/ TRACE STATIC FTN 4.8+538 02/16/82 19.13.14 VEXPLT PAGE 1S VEXPLT 129 67 17 116 VEXPLT 130 C C SMOOTH PTOF VEXPIT 131 112 AVK=AJUN(I)/AUNI(K) 32 VFXPLT VEXPLT 133 AVL = A JUN(I) / AVOL(L) VEXPLT 134 FJFG=0.0 VEXPLI 135 Č VEXPLT 136 VEXPLI 137 116 DXK=DXK*AVK VEXPIT 138 DXL=DXL*AVL VEXPLI 139 DX=DXL+DXK 140 VEXPLI AVKJ=AVOL(K)/AJUN(T) AVLJ=AVDL(L)/AJUN(I)VEXPLT 141 HXCX205 Append 5 JUNCTION WALL FRICTIONS HXCX205 C FRICEJ. (DXK*FWALF(K)+OXL*FWALF(L))*RFVFJ HXCX205 6 HXCX 205 FRICGJ = (DXK * FWALG(K) + DXL * FWALG(L)) * RGVGJ HXCX205 8 C XT REVEL = REVEITO.5 HXCX205 9 HXCX205 RGVGJ = RGVGJ*0.5 10 Sc P VEXPLT 142 CONVECTIVE TERMS FOR LIQUID AND GAS PHASES 4 6 VEXPLT 143 VEXPLT 144 VEXPL 9 JATX212 CHECK FOR SPECIAL JETPUMP MOMENTUM FLUX CALCULATIONS IN DRIVE JATX212 JATX212 New 10 C OR SUCTION JUNCTIONS FJET . 0.0 11 IF (ITYPE.NF.6 . TR. JX.EQ.3) GD TO 119 JATX213 7 Cod IJET = I+(3-2* JX)*IJSKP IF (JX.EQ.2) 60 TO 118 JATX212 13 JATX213 but DRIVEW = AJUN(T)*(VAIOF(K)*RHOF(K)*VELFJO(I) + VAIOG(K)*RHOG(K)*VELGJO(I)) JATY213 Q 117 JATX213 10 SUCTV = AJUN(TJET)*(V)IDF(K)*RHOF(K)*VELFJO(IJET) + V)IDG(K)*RHOG(K)*VELGJO(IJET)) JATX213 13 * 13 118 IF (DRIVEW) 119,119,140 JATX213 JATX212 16 119 CONVF=VELF(L)**2-VELF(K)**2 CONVG=VELG(L)**2-VFLG(K)**2 JATX213 14 VEXPLT 150 IF (VCTRL(L).LT.O) GO TO 120 151 VEXPLT JATX210 L4=.NOT. MASK(4P). AND. SHIFT(IJ2(I), 30) 166 VEXPLT 153 L4 = IXPC + (L4 - 1) * 4CONVE = CONVE + ABS(VELE(L)) + DIEVE(L4) + AVLJ CONVE = CONVE + ABS(VELE(L)) + DIEVE(L4) + AVLJ 120 IF(VCTRL(K).LT.) GO TO 130 K4 - .NOT. MASK(48). AND.SHIFT(IJ1(I), 30) VEXPLT 154 155 VEXPLT VEXPLT 156 JATX210 167 K4=IXPC+(K4-1)*4 CONVF=CONVF-ARS(VELE(K))*DIEVF(K4)*AVKJ VEXPLI 5A VEXPLI 159 160 CONVG=CONVG-ABS(VELG(K)) + DIFVG(K4) + AVKJ VEXPLT 130 CONVF .CONVF * PEVEL VEXPLI 161 162 VEXPLT CONVG + CONVG + RGVGJ 163 VEXPLI CONVES = AVRE*CONVE CONVES = AVRC*CONVE VEXPLT 164 17 JATX 212 CJ T7 144 JEXPIT 160 C

VEXP	LT 76/174 NOT *? ROUND ** -*/ TRACE STATIC FIN 4.8+538	02/15/82	19.13.14
C *** C 140	SPECIAL CONVECTIVE TERMS FOR A JETPUMP HALF CELL FLUX TRERMS UNAFFECTED BY THE JETPUMP MIXING AJUNI = AJUN(T)*ATHPOT(I) AJUNIJ = AJUN(IJ=T)*ATHPOT(IJET) SCRACH = VELFJO(I)/ATHROT(I) CONVF = 0.5*(SCRACH**2 - VELF(K)**2) CONVFS = 0.5*VOIDE(K)*RHOF(K)*(SCRACH**2 - VELF(K)**2) CONVF = CONVF*RHOF(K)/RHOFA SCRACH = VELGJO(I)/ATHROT(I) CONVS = 0.5*(SCRACH**2 - VELG(K)**2)	VEXPLT VEXPLT JATX212 JATX212 JATX212 JATX212 JATX212 JATX212 JATX212 JATX212 JATX212 JATX212 JATX212	167 168 169 19 20 221 222 13 24
C	CONVES = 0.5*VOIDE(K)*PHOE(K)*(SCRACH**2 - VELE(K)**2) CONVE = CORVETENDE(K)/ENDEA ADDITIONAL FLUX TERMS FOR HALF CELL CONTAINING THE JETPUMP MIXIN RAVOL = 1.0/AVOL(L) FLUX = VOIDEJ(I)*PHOEJ(I)*VELEJO(I)*AJUN(I) FLUX = VOIDEJ(I)*PHOEJ(I)*VELEJO(I)*AJUN(I) FLUX = FLUX*(VELF(L)-VELEJO(I)/AIHROT(I)) * FLUX * FLUX*(VELF(L)-VELEJO(I)/AIHROT(I)) * FLUX * FLUX*(VELF(L)-VELEJO(IJET)/AIHROT(IJET)) FLUX = FLUX*PAYOL CONVE = CONVE + FLUX/(AMAX1(VOIDE(L), 1.0E-5)*RHOFA)	JATX212 JATX213 VEXPLT JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213	25 16 174 175 17 18 19 20 21 22 23
ç	CONVES = CONVES + FLUX FLUX = VOIDGJ(I)*RHOGJ(I)*VELGJO(I)*AJUN(I) FLUXJT = VOIDGJ(TJET)*RHOGJ(I)FT)*VELGJO(IJET)*AJUN(IJET) FLUX = FLUX*(VELG(L)-VELGJO(I)/ATHROT(I)) * + FLUXJT*(VELG(L)-VELGJO(IJET)/ATHROT(IJET)) FLUX = FLUX*PAVOL CONVG = CONVG + FLUX/(AMAX1(VOIDG(L), 1.0E-5)*RHOGA) CONVGS = CONVGS + FLUX SIRATIFIED FLOW FORCES AND ADDED MASS REMOVED FOR JETPUMP	JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX212	24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 192 36
с с	DIFSTF(IX+1)=0.0 FAAJ(I)=0.0 ADDITIONAL BUILT IN FORM LOSS FOR POSITIVE DRIVE REVERSE SUCTION IF (JX.NE.202. DRIVEW+SUCTW.GE.0.0) GO TO 144 RATION = AMIN1(SUCTW/DRIVEW,-1.0) FJET = AMIN1(1.0+AJUNI/(AJUNIJ*RATION),0.0)**2 CONTINUE	VEXPLT VEXPLT JATX212 JATX212 JATX213 JATX213 JATX213 JATX212 VEXPLT	194 195 37 38 323 34 42 197
C *** C US	<pre>HEAD LOSS TERMS SER INPUTTED FORM LOSSES SCRACH = 0.01*ATHROT(I) FLOSS = 0.0 IF((FJUNF(I) .F0. 0.0) .AND. (FJUNR(I) .E0. 0.0)) GO TO 453 IF(AVRF*VELFJO(T)+AVRG*VELGJO(I))451,450,452</pre>	VEXPLT VEXPLT VEXPLT VEXPLT VEXPLT VEXPLT	198 199 200 201 202 203 204
C R6 451 452	VERSE LOSSES FLOSS = FJUNR(T) GO TO 453 2 FLOSS = FJUNF(T) GO TO 453	VEXPLT VEXPLT VEXPLT VEXPLT	205 206 207 208

-

PAGE 14

9

and the second

PAGE

Appendix B - HLOSS

19.13.14 Nammamman N α 19 02/1 EA AP NC (1)0C914(1)*0CH RATIOS ENDENT 0 ¢ SORT(ARAT(IL))*10. 53 8+ . 9 . a a C CW 066 Z n A LUN --u. 24 ------AT(TL))*10.0 0 5 1 05 .. U i N 11 -8 FF 0 ---0 NIS AT 1 (NMOUN) -* 11 5 a C VAI œ 0 LL. Z*CDIAMVCLL 0 AC TCI (100 0 -10 AR : a EAM VOLUM CAVIL (KK) * AREA RA 0 -.03 (0 V 0 00 11 .61.0. -10. VILL 1 * 50R * . 1[A* (N N += UNIL a ٥. 0 ** (N AUS ATT: 61. 1111/ 0 VnG. HU1 FORMEJ(I)-fORMEJ(I) FORMEJ(I)-FORMEJ(I) IF(ATHROT(I)-FORMEJ(I) 0 TO 1990 60 TO 1990 AD-AT*FORMLS(AT/AU) FORMEJ(I)-fORMEJ(I) FORMEJ(I)-FORMEJ(I) IF(ATHROT(I)-fORMEJ(I) IF(ATHROT(I)-fORMEJ(I) IF(ATHROT(I)-fORMEJ(I) IF(ATHROT(I)-fORMEJ(I)-6T AD-AD/ADCAN) FORMEJ(I)-FORMEJ(I)-6T IF(ATHROT(I)-6T IF(ATHROT(I)-6T IF(VELFJOII)-6T IF(VELFJOII)-6T . ar # 0 ----00 UNTER CHRRENT FL NF. AUD) GO TO 38 (1)*(1,0-VO3+AU) . 11 2 C C 10.0 1010 . . -100 + anco. . LL. 192H a × L'USSES WHEN E WE E0. AUP VH. CINA . -UP D 76 é 11 1 0 S NGL N ZO Li SPECIAL L JUNCTIONS IF (JX.EQ 0 > >C + ----31 AT AT PF PG AUP AUP AUPWN ~ 1 Z IZ. . --4CW ----0.-HXJXJCXJXJZH AUP = AUP 0<0 hea AG ---• -Paca. --LL LL EL. -----* -50 ----9 4.0 SE 5 20 . in N H U 27 Coding U 00 UU u

LISTING OF INPUT DATA FOR CASE 1

1234 -	- JFT PU 0000100 0000201	NEN TRAN	CASE NSNT • E-6 .05 GG)) 01 c0 c	0 4000	
57 9900	* M1N0 >>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>>	EDIT VA CNTRLVAF P 020010 P 040010 VELFJ 01 VELFJ 01	ARIABLES R C15 P C12 COCC COCC COCC COCC COCC COCC COCC C			
161718	* VOLUT 0100000 0100101 0100200	ME CELLS DIN THDI 7.208-4	FVCL 0.16 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 00
21	0206000 0206161 0200200	01 SNGL 7.26E-4 3 75.8	•85E+5 555. VOL C.16 0.0 SE+5 555.	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.0 00
22627	0216161 0216200 0306060	7.20 E-4 3 75.0 51N TMD	0.15 0.0 52+5 555. FVGL	6.0 0.0	C.U 0.U	0.0 00
28 29 30	0300101 0300200 0300201 0400000	3.088E-1	3 0.16 C.C .85E+5 555.	0.0 0.0	0.0 0.0	0.C CC
32334	0406101 0406200 0416000	5.068E- 3 75.8 S2 SNCL	3 0.16 0.0 5E+5 555. VOL	0.0 0.0	3.0 0.0	0.0 66
30 36 37 38	0410200 0500000 0500001	3 75.8 MIX1 JE	5E+5 555. TMIXER	C.0 0.9	0.3 6.6	0.0 00
39	05001C1 0500200	6.605E- 3 75.8	4 0.181 0.0 5±+5 555.	0.0	-90.0	161 0.6 6.0
42 43	0501201	0.0 0.0		5.0881-	0.02 1	.0 6000
44	0502201 0503101 0503201	0.0 0.0	0 0.0	0 0.605E-	· 0.07 J.	07 0000
47 48 49 50	0550000 0550101 0550200 0600000	M1X2 SN 6.605E- 3 75.0 D1FF P1	GLVOL 4 0.181 0.0 5E+5 555. PE	0.0	-90.0	181 6.0 0.0
523555555555555555555555555555555555555	3630101 3630201 3630201 0630301 0630401	10.2776- 14.756- 0.142	-4 1 26.020 4 1 26.116	-4 2 3.	s.004E-4	3

XELAPS/MUD1/211 REACTOR LUSS LE COOLANT ANALYSIS PRUGRAM

57 0600701 -0.142 3 53 3630801 0.0 0.0 3631001 00 3 3 59 0601101 0000 2 0601201 3 75.85E+5 555. 0.6 0501301 0.0 0.0 0.0 2 60 61 0.0 3 62 0700000 DISCH NGLVOL 0700101 40.7E-4 (.1 0.0 0700200 3 75.05E+5 555. 0300000 DISCHC TEDEVOL 63 64 0.0 -90.0 -.10 0.0 0.0 00 65 65 1800101 46.7E-4 0.1 0800200 3 67 0.6 0.0 -90.0 -.10 0.0 0.1 00 t. 3 59 3330261 0.6 75.252+5 555. 70 * JUNCTIONS DI2CCOD JDIN THUPJUN DI20101 016060066 626006000 71 72 7 . 201-4

 3120101
 01000000
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 0.0
 74 75 C.0 76 77 73 5.068E-3 79 80 81 82 0.0 83 0.0 84 0.0 85 6:0 86 97 0.0 88 6.0 89 0.0 90 0.0 91 0.0 92 6.0 93 0.0 94 95 C.C 96 0.0 97 98 99 0.0 100 C.C 101 0.0 102 0.0 103 0.0 104 0.0 105 6.0 105 0.0 107 0.0 108 0.0 C.0 50.0 0320231 2.20 2.20 110 0.0 111 0.0 0320233 0320234 0320235 2.40 112 2.40 0.0 54:0 2.40 113 0.0 114 0.0

5

XELAPS/MOD1/211 REACTUR LOSS OF CODLANT ANALYSIS PROGRAM

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	57.0 2.00 2.60 0.0 57.0 2.80 2.80 0.0 50.0 2.80 2.80 0.0 JU SNGLJUN 020013000 021000000 7.20E-4 0.42 0.42 0000 J 3.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 JS SNGLJUN 040016300 0400000 5.366E-3 0.0 0.0 0000 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 JS SNGLJUN 055010000 0.000000 0.005E-4 0.0519 0.0337 0000	
127 0620000 28 0620101 29 0620201 30 0720000 131 0720101 32 0720201	JL1FF SNGLJUN 0600100C0 C700000CC 40.7E-4 0.0 0.0 0000 JUISCH SNGLJUN 070010000 C8000000C 40.7E-4 0.0 0.0 0000 C 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0	ļ
34 • CuNT 35 2050010 2050010 35 2050020 2050020 37 2050020 2050020 38 2050040 2050040 40 2050040 20500040 41 20500040 20500040 42 20500040 20500040 442 20500040 20500040 442 20500040 20500040 442 20500040 20500040 442 20500040 20500040 55 20500040 20500040 55 20500040 20500040 55 20500040 20500040 55 20500040 20500040 55 20500040 20500040 55 20500040 205000000 55 2050000000 2050000000 55 2050000000000000 20500000000000000000000000000000000000	KOL VARIABLES FOR PLG1 FURPLISES 0 VDE SUM 1.0 0.0 1 1 1.CE-10 1.C VELFJ 012C03000 0 VDSE SUM 1.0 C.0 1 1 1.DE-10 1.C VELFJ 042C03000 0 VDSE SUM 1.0 0.C 1 1 1.DE-10 1.C VELFJ 072003000 0 VDSE SUM 1.0 0.C 1 1 1.DE-10 1.C VELFJ 072003000 0 KVDS NULT C.5 C.0 1 1 KHUF 02C010000 CNTKLVAR 002 CNTKLVAR 0C2 0 KVVDS MULT C.5 C.0 1 1 RHOF 070019000 CNTFLVAR 002 CNTKLVAR 0C2 0 KVVDS MULT C.5 C.0 1 1 C.0 1 0 0.0 1 1 KHUF 04C010000 CNTFLVAR 003 CNTFLVAR 0C3 0.1 0.5 0.5 0.0 1 1 C.0 1.0 C.0	Example of control variables used to give minor edit of M, N performance curves

30

.

. ÷.