RELATED CORRESPONDENCE

Filed: December 0101 1990

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

'90 DEC 11 P.6:30

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

before the

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

11181

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPAN' OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al.

(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2)

Docket Nos. 50-443-OL 50-444-OL Off-site Emergancy Planning Issues

## LICENSEES' FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES AND FIRST REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS TO THE MASS AG REGARDING REMANDED MASSACHUSETTS TEACHER ISSUES

Pursuant to 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.740b and 2.741, Licensees hereby request that the Attorney General for The Commonwealth of Massachusetts respond to the following interrogatories and produce for inspection and copying the documents requested below. The production of the documents requested herein shall take place at the offices of Ropes & Gray, One International Place, Boston, Massachusetts at 10 a.m. on Friday, January 11, 1991.

## DEFINITIONS AND INSTRUCTIONS

 The term "document" is defined to be synonymous in meaning and equal in scope to the usage of the term "documents and tangible things" in Federal Rule of Civil

9012310243 901210 PDR ADDCK 05000443 PDR PDR

psos

Procedure 34(a), and therefore shall include, without limitation, any written or otherwise recorded information.

- To "identify" a document means to state its author, date, title, addressee(s), and subject matter.
- 3. To "identify" a person other than an expert witness means to state the person's full name, title, business address, affiliation, and professional qualifications (if any). To "identify" an expert witness means to state, in addition to the foregoing:
  - a. the profession or occupation and field(s) of expertise of the person;
  - b. the educational and specialized training history of the person, including date and granting institution of all degrees earned;
  - c. a list of publications by the person in the area(s) of expertise; and
  - d. the age of the person and the amount of time the person has worked in the field of expertise.
- 4. If any of the interrogatories or document production requests contained herein are claimed to be objectionable, then please identify the portion(s) to which objection is made and the portion(s) to which answer or production is made.

-2-

- 5. If it is claimed that any document responsive to any request is privileged, then please describe in detail the nature of and basis for the assented privilege, and identify each allegedly privileged document.
- 6. If any document required to be identified or produced in these answers has been destroyed, please identify the document, state the date of its destruction, identify the person responsible for ordering destruction, state the purpose of destruction, and (if applicable) produce any document retention policy that governed or should have governed the retention or destruction of the document.
- 7. For the purposes of those interrogatories and requests: a. "SPMC" means the most current version of the Seabrook Plan for Massachusetts Communities, and all appendices and attachments thereto;
  - b. "NHY-ORO" means the New Hampshire Yankee Off-site Response Organization;
  - c. "Massachusetts EPZ" refers to that portion of the Emergency Planning Zone for Seabrook Station which lies within the Commonwealth of Massachusetts;
  - d. "Holy Cross Ops Plan" means the Holy Cross Host Facility Activation and Operation plan, dated 12/26/89, Attachment C to the October 19, 1990 Affidavit of Anthony M. Callendrello;

- 3 -

- e. "School Host Facility Plan" means the Massachusetts School Host Facility Plan, College of the Holy Cross, Worcester, Massachusetts, dated 10/2/89, Attachment D to the October 19, 1990 Affidavit of Anthony M. Callendrello;
- f. "Mass AG" refers to the Attorney General for The Commonwealth of Massachusetts, all assistants to and employees and agents thereof, all witnesses offered or to be offered thereby in these proceedings, and all individuals and entities with respect to which the Attorney General for The Commonwealth of Mas. achusets claims an attorney-client privilege with respect to litigation of the issues remanded in ALAB-937:
- g. "Teachers" means all public school personnel, private school personnel, and day care providers;
- h. "Schools" means all public schools, private schools, and day care facilities;
- "The Commonwealth" means the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and all officials, agencies, employees, agents, and political subdivisions thereof; and
- j. "SARA" means the Emergency Planning and Community Right to Know Act of 1986, 42 U.S.C. §§ 11001 <u>et</u> <u>seq</u>., and all federal, state, and local regulations, orders, and guidelines promulgated pursuant thereto.

- 4 -

## INTERROGATORIES 'AND DOCUMENT REQUESTS

- Please identify the person(s) an ing or substantially contributing to the answer of each of the following interrogatories, and produce a copy of each person's most recent resume.
- 2. Please identify all analyses, surveys, studies and reports known or believed by Mass AG to exist (including, but not limited to, all possessed by The Commonwealth) as to how Teachers employed in the Massachusetts EPZ would respond in the event of a radiological emergency at Seabrook station, and produce all such documents within the possession or control of Mass AG.
- 3. Please identify all analyses, surveys, studies and reports known or believed by Mass AG to exist (including, but not limited to, all possessed by The Commonwealth) as to how Teachers employed in the Massachusetts EPZ would respond to an emergency requiring evacuation of their School, and produce all such documents within the possession or control of Mass AG other than those produced in response to the foregoing request.
- Please identify all analyses, surveys, studies and reports known or believed by Mass AG to exist

-5-

(including, but not limited to, all possessed by The Commonwealth) as to how Massachusetts Teachers would respond to a radiological emergency, and produce all such documents within the possession or control of Mass AG other than those produced in response to the foregoing requests.

- 5. Please identify all analyses, surveys, studies and reports known or believed by Mass AG to exist (including, but not limited to, all possessed by The Commonwealth) as to how Massachusets Teachers would respond to an emergency requiring evacuation of their School, and produce all such documents within the possession or control of Mass AG other than those produced in response to the foregoing requests.
- 6. Please identify all analyses, surveys, studies and reports known or believed by Mass AG to exist (including, but not limited to, all possessed by The Commonwealth) as to how Teachers have responded to radiological emergencies, and produce all such documents within the possession or control of Mass AG other than those produced in response to the foregoing requests.
- 7. Please identify all analyses, surveys, studies and reports known or believed by Mass AG to exist (including, but not limited to, all possessed by The Commonwealth) as to how Teachers would respond to a

-6-

radiological emergency, and produce all such documents within the possession or control of Mass AG other than those produced in response to the foregoing requests.

- 8. Please identify all analyses, surveys studies and reports possessed known or believed by Mass AG to exist (including, but not limited to, all by The Commonwealth) as to how Teachers have responded to emergencies requiring evacuation of their School, and produce all such documents within the possession or control of Mass AG other than those produced in response to the foregoing requests.
- 9. Please identify all analyses, surveys, studies and reports possessed known or believed by Mass AG to exist (including, but not limited to, all by The Commonwealth) as to how Teachers would respond to an emergency requiring evacuation of their School, and produce all such documents within the possession or control of Mase AG other than those produced in response to the foregoing requests.
- 10. Please identify and produce the most recent SARA plans for Amesbury, Merrimac, Newbury, Newburyport, Salisbury, and West Newbury.
- 11. Does the Mass AG contend that any municipality located in the Massachusetts EPZ is not in compliance with the requirements of SARA as they relate to emergency

planning for Schools and school children? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then plase identify each such municipality which Mass AG contends is not or may not be in compliance, and:

- (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
- (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend reflects or supports your answer.
- (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends est ablishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person is the answer.
- 12. Does the Mass AG contend that any School located in the Massachusetts EPZ is not in compliance with the responsibilities assigned to it by local SARA plans? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified egative, then pleas: identify each such School which Mass AG contends is not or may not be in compliance, and:
  - (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
  - (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend reflects or supports your answer.

- 8 -

- (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- 13. Does the Mass AG contend that any School located in the Massachusetts EPZ would not, in the event of an emergency requiring evacuation of the School, comply with the responsibilities assigned to it and its personnel by local SARA plans? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please identify each such Sc..col which Mass AG contends would not or may not comply, and:
  - (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
  - (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend reflects or supports your answer.
  - (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG

-9-

does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.

- Please identify and produce all smergency plans for Massachusetts EPZ Schools.
- 15. Please identify and produce all regulations, executive orders, policy statements, guidelines, and other standards established by The Commonwealth which reflect or relate to the responsibilities of Teachers in the event of a radiological emergency.
- 16. Please identify all regulations, executive orders, policy statements, guidelines, and other standards established by The Commonwealth which reflect or relate to the responsibilities of Teachers in the event of an emergency at their School, and produce all such documents other than those produced in response to the foregoing request.
- 17. Does the Mass AG contend that any day care facility within the Massachusetts EPZ would not, in the event of a radiological emergency at Seabrook Station, comply with the requirements of 102 CMR § 7.06(29)(b)? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please identify each such facility which Mass AG contends would not or may not comply, and:

(a) State each fact on which your answer is based.

-10-

- (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend reflects or supports your answer.
- (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- 18. Does the Mass AG contend that any day care facility within the Massachusetts EPZ is not in compliance with the requirements of 102 CMR § 7.07(16)(d)? If your answer is a difference in than an unqualified negative, then please identify each such facility which Mass AG contends is not or may not be in compliance, and:
  - (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
  - (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend reflects or supports your answer.
  - (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG

-11-

does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.

- 19. Does the Mass AG contend that any day care facility within the Massachusetts EPZ is not in compliance with the requirements of 102 CMR § 7.11(8)? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please identify each such facility which Mass AG contends is not or may not be in compliance, and:
  - (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
  - (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend reflects or supports your answer.
  - (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- 20. Does the Mass AG contend that any day care facility within the Massachusetts EPZ is not in compliance with the requirements of 102 CMR § 8.08(21)? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please identify each such facility which Mass AG contends is not or may not be in compliance, and:

-12-

- (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
   (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend reflects or supports your answer.
- (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- 21. Does the Mass AG contend that any day care facility within the Massachusetts EPZ would not, in the event of a radiological emergency at Seabrook Station, comply with the requirements of 102 CMR § 8.10? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please identify each such facility which Mass AG contends would not or may not comply, and:
  - (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
  - (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend reflects or supports your answer.
  - (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the

-13-

person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.

- 22. Does the Mass AG contend that any day care facility within the Massachusetts EPZ is not in compliance with the requirements of 102 CMR § 7.07(18)(i)? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please identify each such facility which Mass AG contends is not or may not be in compliance, and:
  - (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
  - (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend reflects or supports your answer.
  - (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- 23. Does Mass AG agree that it is the policy or position of the Massachusetts Civil Defense Agency and/or the Massachusetts Executive Office of Public Safety, with respect to radiological emergencies, that "[i]n the

-14-

event of an evacuation, it is the responsibility of teachers, other school personnel, and day-care providers to accompany children to reception centers, until they can be discharged to their parents or guardians"? If your answer to anything other than an unqualified affirmative, then please describe in detail what Mass AG contends the policy or position of the Massachusetts Civil Defense Agency and the Massachusetts Executive office of Public Safety to be with respect to the responsibilities of Teachers in the event of a radiological emergency, and:

- (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
- (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend reflects or supports your answer.
- (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- 24. Does Mass AG contend that, in the event of a radiological emergency at Seabrook Station, Teachers employed in the Massachusetts EPZ would not meet their

-15-

"responsibility . . . to accompany children to reception centers, until they can be discharged to their parents or guardians"? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please:

- (a) Describe in detail each reason for your answer.
- (b) State what percentage of Teachers Mass AG contends would thus fail to meet their stateimposed responsibility to accompany the children.
- (c) State each fact upon which your answers to subparts (a) and (b) above are based.
- (d) Identify and produce each document which you contend supports your answers to sub-parts (a) through (c) above.
- (e) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answers, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answers.
- 25. Does Mass AG agree that the Memorandum of Charles V. Barry to Robert J. Boulay, April 24, 1989, Attachment B to the October 19, 1990 Affidavit of Anthony M.

-16-

Callendrello, correctly states the present policy or position of the Massachusetts Civil Defense Agency and the Massachusetts Executive Office of Public Safety? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified affirmative, then please describe in detail what Mass AG contends the policy or position of the Massachusetts Civil Defense Agency and the Massachusetts Executive Office of Public Safety to be with respect to orders from the Governor to Teachers in the event of a radiological emergency, and:

- (a) State each fact upon which your answer is based.
- (b) Identify and produce each document which you cullend supports your answer.
- (C) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- 26. Does Mass AG contend that, in the event of a radiological emergency at Seabrook Station, Teachers employed in the Massachusetts EPZ would disobey an order from the Governor or his delegatee that they accompany

-17-

the children to reception centers until relieved? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please:

- (a) Describe in detail each reason for your answer.
- (b) State what percentage of Teachers Mass AG contends would thus disobey the Governor's emergency order.
- (c) State each fact upon which your answers to subparts (a) and (b) above are based.
- (d) Identify and produce each document which you contend supports your answers to sub-parts (a) through (c) above.
- (e) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answers, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answers.
- 27. Please describe in detail, and identify and produce all documents that constitute, reflect or refer to, all communications, concerning the response of Teachers employed in the Massachusetts EPZ in the event of a

-18-

radiological emergency at Seabrock Station, between the Mass AG (as defined) and:

- (a) the Office for Children;
- (b) other Massachusetts governmental officials and entities, including, but not limited to city, town and school district officials;
- (C) Teachers;
- (d) Schools and administrators thereof;
- (e) Teachers' unions and officials thereof; and
- (f) all other persons and entities.
- 28. Please describe in detail, and identify and produce all documents that constitute, reflect or refer to, all communications, concerning School emergency planning in connection with Seabrook Station, between Massachusetts governmental officials and entities (including, but not limited to, the Mass AG as defined) and:
  - (a) the Office for Children;
  - (b) other Massachusetts governmental officials and entities, including, but not limited to city, town and school district officials;
  - (c) Teachers;
  - (d) Schools and administrators thereof;
  - (e) Teachers' unions and officials thereof; and
  - (f) all other persons and entities.

-19-

- 29. Please describe in detail, and identify and produce all documents that constitute, reflect or refer to, all communications, concerning the response of Teachers employed in the Massachusetts EPZ i. the event of a radiological emergency at Seabrook Station, between Massachusetts governmental officials and entities <u>other</u> than the Mass AG (as defined) and:
  - (a) the Office for Children;
  - (b) other Massachusetts governmental officials and entities, including, but not limited to city, town and school district officials;
  - (c) Teachers;
  - (d) Schools and administrators thereof;
  - (e) Teachers' unions and officials thereof; and
  - (f) all other persons and entities.
- 30. Does Mass AG contend that there is not "reasonable assurance that, in the event of a radiological emergency at Seabrook necessitating an evacuation of children in schools and day-care centers within the Massachusetts EPZ, a sufficient number of teachers and day-care center personnel will escort the children to the School Host Facility at Holy Cross College and remain with those children until relieved of that assignment"? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please:

-20-

- (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
- (b) Identify and produce each document (including, but limited to, each analysis, survey, study and report) which you contend supports your answer.
  (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- (d) Identify each witness whom Mass AG intends to call to testify in support of Mass AG's position.
- 31. Does Mass AG contend that Licensees have not "made satisfactory alternative arrangements for the care and supervision of the children both on the bus trip to Worcester and during their stay at the School Host Facility"? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please:
  - (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
  - (b) Identify and produce each document (including, but limited to, each analysis, survey, study and report) which you contend supports your answer.

-21-

- (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- (d) Ider\*ify each witness whom Mass AG intends to call to testify in support of Mass AG's position.
- 32. Please describe in detail each action which Mass AG contends must be taken in order to provide "reasonable assurance that, in the event of a radiological emergency at Seabrook necessitating an evacuation of children in schools and day-care centers within the Massachusetts EPZ, a sufficient number of teachers and day-care center personnel will escort the children to the School Host Facility at Holy Cross College and remain with those children until relieved of that assignment"? Please also:
  - (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
  - (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend supports your answer.

-22-

- (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- 33. Please describe in detail each action which Mass AG contends must be taken in order that Licensees will have "made satisfactory alternative arrangements for the care and supervision of the children both on the bus trip to Worcester and during their stay at the School Host Facility"? If Mass AG contends that changes would be required to the SPMC, the Holy Cross Ops Plan, and/or the School Host Facility Plan, please describe in detail each such change. Please also:
  - (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
  - (b) Identify and produce each document which you contend supports your answer.
  - (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG

-23-

relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.

- 34. Does Mass AG contend that Teachers are not generally relied upon to accompany evacuating children (i) from the emergency planning zones around other nuclear power plants, (ii) from the areas around facilities containing hazardous materials, and (iii) in other situations where evacuation of Schools is required? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please:
  - (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
  - (b) Identify and produce each document (including, but limited to, each analysis, survey, study and report) which you contend supports your answer.
  - (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- 35. Does Mass AG contend that the reliance on Teachers to accompany evacuating children (i) from the emergency

-24-

planning zones around other nuclear power plants, (ii) from the areas around facilities containing hazardous materials, and (iii) in other situations where evacuation of Schools is required, does not provide "reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken" for the supervision of the evacuating children? If your answer is anything other than an unqualified negative, then please:

- (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
- (b) Identify and produce each document (including, but limited to, each analysis, survey, study and report) which you contend supports your answer.
- (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.
- 36. Does Mass AG contend that reliance upon Teachers to accompany evacuating children does provide "reasonable assurance that adequate protective measures can and will be taken" for the supervision of the evacuating children (i) from the emergency planning zones around other

-25-

nuclear power plants, (ii) from the areas around facilities containing hazardous materials, including and (iii) in other situations where evacuation of Schools is required, but such reliance does not provide "reasonable assurance" with respect to the Massachusetts EPZ? Please state each reason for your answer, and, separately for each reason, please also:

- (a) State each fact on which your answer is based.
- (b) Identify and produce each document (including, but limited to, each analysis, survey, study and report) which you contend supports your answer.
- (c) Provide the technical qualifications (education, employment history, licenses and certificates, experience, or other information that Mass AG contends establishes the qualifications of the person) of any person on whose expertise Mass AG relies for the answer, or state that Mass AG does not rely upon the expertise of any person for the answer.

By their attorneys,

Thomas G. Dignan, Jr. George H. Lewald Kathryn Selleck Shea Jeffrey P. Trout Ropes & Gray One International Place Boston, MA 02110-2624 (617) 951-7000

-26-

DOLKETED USNAC

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

'90 DEC 11 P.6:30

I, Jeffrey P. Trout, one of the attorneys for the Licensees herein, hereby certify that on December 10, 1990, I made service of the within document by depositing copies thereof with Federal Express, prepaid, for delivery to (or, where indicated, by depositing in the United States mail, first class postage paid, addressed to):

Alministrative Judge Ivan W. Smith Adjudicatory File Chairman, Atomic Safety and Litensing Board V Nuclear Regulatory Commission East West Towers Building 4350 East West Highway Bethesda, MD 20814

Administrative Judge Richard F. Cole Robert R. Pierce, Esquire Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Atomic Safety and Licensing U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission East West Towers Building 4350 East West Highway Bethesda, MD 20814

Administrative Judge Kenneth A. McCollom 1107 West Knapp Street Stillwater, OK 74075

John P. Arnold, Attorney General Diane Curran, Esquire George Dana Bisbee, Associate Attorney General Office of the Attorney General 25 Capitol Street Concord, NH 03301-6397

\*Atomic Safety and Licensing Robert A. Backus, Esquire Appeal Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Mail Stop EWW-529 Washington, DC 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel Docket (2 copies) U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission East West Towers Building 4350 East West Highway Bethesda, MD 20814

Board ".S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission East West Towers Building

4350 East West Highway Bethesda, MD 20814

Mitzi A. Young, Esquire Edwin J. Reis, Esquire Office of the General Counsel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission One White Flint North, 15th Fl. 11555 Rockville Pike Rockville, MD 20852

Andrea C. Ferster, Esquire Harmon, Curran & Tousley Suite 430 2001 S Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20009

116 Lowell Street P. O. Box 516 Manchester, NH 03105 Philip Ahrens, Esquire Assistant Attorney General Department of the Attorney General Augusta, ME 04333

Paul McEachern, Esquire Shaines & McEachern 25 Maplewood Avenue P.O. Box 360 Portsmouth, NH 03801

\*Schator Gordon J. Humphrey R. Scott Hill-Whilton, Esquire U.S. Senate Washington, DC 20510 (Attn: Tom Burack)

H. Joseph Flynn, Esquire Office of General Counsel Federal Emergency Management Judith H. Mizner, Esquire 79 State Street, 2nd Floor Newburyport, MA 01950 Agency 500 C Street, S.W. Washington, DC 20472

Gary W. Holmes, Esquire Holmes & Ells 47 Winnacunnet Road Hampton, NH 03842

Federal Emergency Management Agency Federal Regional Center 130 228th Street, S.W. Bothell, Washington Office Office Courthouse Building Dece Bothell, Washington 98021-9796 Boston, MA 02109

Suzanne P. Egan, City Solicitor Lagoulis, Hill-Whilton ( Rotondi 79 State Street Newburyport, MA 01950

Leslie Greer, Esquire Matthew Brock, Esquire Massachusetts Attorney General One Ashburton Place Boston, MA 02102 Boston, MA 02108

> Lagoulis, Hill-Whilton & Rotondi 79 State Street Newburyport, MA 01950

\*Senator Gordon J. Humphrey Barbara J. Saint Andre, Esquire
One Eagle Square, Suite 507 Kopelman and Paige, P.C.
Concord, NH 03301
(Attn: Herb Boynton) Boston, MA 02110

Ashod N. Amirian, Esquire 145 South Main Street P.O. Box 38 Bradford, MA 01835

Courthouse Building, Room 442

George Iverson, Director N.H. Office of Emergency Management State House Office Park South 107 Pleasant Street Concord, NH 03301

Inout

Jeffrey P. Trout

(\*=Ordinary U.S. First Class Mail)