October 27, 198_

Docket No. 50-213 LS05-82-10-077

> Mr. W. G. Counsil, Vice President Nuclear Engineering and Operations Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company Post Office Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06101

DISTRIBUTION Docket ETourigny NRC PDR Local PDR ORB Reading NSIC DCrutchfield HSmith JLyons MCarrington PHearn OELD ELJordan **JMTaylor** ACRS(10) SEPB

Dear Mr. Counsil:

SUBJECT: PWR MAIN STEAM LINE BREAK WITH CONTINUED FEEDWATER ADDITION REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION - HADDAM NECK

We have completed a preliminary review of the Haddam Neck Plant concerning PWR Main Steam Line Breaks with Continued Feedwater Addition. Our contractor, Franklin Research Center, has identified in the attached Request for Additional Information a number of concerns and the additional information needed to resolve these concerns. We request that you supply this information within 30 days of the date of this letter. Please contact your Project Manager for further assistance in this regard.

The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than ten respondents; therefore, OMB clearance is not required under P.L. 96-511.

Sincerely,

Original signed by/

Dennis M. Crutchfield, Chief Operating Reactors Branch #5 Division of Licensing

SEO' DS4 USE (08)

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/enclosures: See next page

8211020602 821027 PDR ADOCK 05000213 PDR

OFFICE	DL:00#5 JLyons:ajs. 10//5/82	DCritichfield 10/21/82	DL; ORB#3 ETourigny 10/27/82				
	(10-80) NRCM 0240	l [RECORD C	OPY	L	USGPO: 1981-335-960

Mr. W. G. Counsil

October 27, 1982

CC

Day, Berry & Howard Counselors at Law One Constitution Plaza Hartford, Connecticut 06103

Superintendent Haddam Neck Plant RFD #1 Post Office Box 127E East Hampton, Connecticut 06424

Mr. Richard R. Laudenat Manager, Generation Facilities Licensing Northeast Utilities Service Company P. O. Box 270 Hartford, Connecticut 06101

- 2 -

Board of Selectmen Town Hall Haddam, Connecticut 06103

State of Connecticut OFfice of Policy and Management ATTN: Under Secretary Energy Division 80 Washington Street Hartford, Connecticut 06115

U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region I Office ATTN: Regional Radiation Representative JFK Federal Building Boston, Massachusetts 02203

Resident Inspector Haddam Neck Nuclear Power Station c/o U. S. NRC East Haddam Post Office East Haddam, Connecticut 06423

Ronald C. Haynes, Regional Administrator Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region I 631 Park Avenue King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406

BACKGROUND

Evaluation of the information contained in the January 30, 1980 [1] and March 5, 1980 [2] letters from Connecticut Yankee Atomic Power Company (CYAPCO) to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) relating to the IE Bulletin 80-04, "Analysis of PWR Main Steam LIne Break with Continued Feedwater Addition," revealed several items of concern. Additional information relating to these concerns is needed before a final evaluation can be made regarding the potential for exceeding containment design pressure or worsening of reactor return-to-power response.

The concerns and the additional information needed to resolve the concerns are identified in this Request for Additional Information.

Supplemental information now held by FRC relating to this matter is noted in the bibliography included in this request.

ITEM 1

CONCERN

IE Bulletin 80-04 directed the Licensee to review containment pressure response to a main steam line break (MSLB) accident to determine the impact of runout flow from the auxiliary feedwater (AFW) system and other energy sources. CYAPCO's response concerning the MSLB analysis for the Haddam Neck plant indicated that main steam isolation signal (MSIS) would not cause main feedwater (MFW) isolation. The analysis [1] assumed that MFW flow would follow control system response subsequent to a turbine trip, this assumes that the MFW regulating valves would fully open until T is less than 535 F at which time the valves would ramp closed. The ave analysis assumes AFW is initiated immediately. When AFW actuation occurs, the MFW bypass valves open to admit AFW to the steam generators.

CYAPCO's response is not sufficient to enable FRC to complete the evaluation of the potential for exceeding containment design pressure. Since it is not apparent that the MFW pumps are tripped upon receipt of a MSIS or . safety injection signal, it is possible that additional feedwater would enter the affected steam generator through the MFW bypass valve. Since this additional flow was not accounted for in Reference 1, a reevaluation will have to be performed.

REQUEST

Please provide the following information concerning your analysis of containment pressure response to a MSLB with continued feedwater addition:

- A determination of the MFW flow throught the MFW bypass valve to the steam generators during a MSLB.
- An evaluation of the potential for exceeding containment design pressure using the additional feedwater flow determined in Request 1 above.
- 3. Provide the time after the start of a MSLB when any operator action is taken to terminate the accident. Justify any operator actions that occur in less than 30 minutes.
- An evaluation of the ability of the AFW pumps to operate at runout flow for 30 minutes without sustaining damage.

- 2 -

ITEM 2

CONCERN

IE Bulletin 80-04 directs the Licensee to review the reactivity increase which results from a MSLB inside or outside the containment.

If the evaluation of Item 1 above indicates that additional feedwater flow to the affected steam generator needs to be considered, the return-to-power analysis will have to be reevaluated.

REQUEST -

Please provide the following information concerning your analysis of reactivity response which results from a MSLB with continued feedwater addition:

- An analysis of the core reactivity response to a MSLB with the additional feedwater flow determined in Item 1, Request 1 above.
- The assumptions of the above analyses or sensitivity studies. (The assumptions should follow the recommendations of Section 15.1.5 of the Standard Review Plan.)

- 3 -

REFERENCE

- W. G. Counsil (CYAPCO) Letter fo D. L. Ziemann (NRC) January 30, 1980
 - 2. W. G. Counsil (CYAPCO) Letter to B. H. Grier (NRC) March 5, 1980

1.100

BIBLIOGRAPHY

 W. G. Counsil (CYAPCO) Letter to H. R. Denton (NRC) December 6, 1979