NUREG/CR-2601 Addendum 1

# Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference Light Water Reactors Following Postulated Accidents

Re-evaluation of the Cleanup Cost for the Boiling Water (BWR) Scenario 3 Accident from NUREG/CR-2601

Prepared by G. J. Konzek, R. I. Smith

Pacific Northwest Laboratory Operated by Battelle Memorial Institute

Prepared for U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

> 9012280218 901231 PDR NUREG CR-2601 R PDR

#### AVAILABILITY NOTICE

Availability of Reference Materials Cited in NRC Publications

Most documents cited in NRC publications will be available from one of the following sources:

- 1. The NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW, Lower Level, Washington, DC 20555
- The Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, P.O. Box 37082, Washington, DC 20013-7082
- 3. The National Technical Information Service, Springfield, VA 22161

Although the listing that follows represents the majority of documents cited in NRC publications, it is not incended to be exhaustive.

Referenced documents available for inspection and copying for a fee from the NRC Public Document Room include NRC correspondence and internal NRC memoranda; NRC Office of Inspection and Enforcement bulletins, circulars, information notices, inspection and investigation notices; Licensee Event Reports; vendor reports and correspondence; Commission papers; and applicant and licensee documents and correspondence.

The following documents in the NUREG series are available for purchase from the GPO Sales Program: formal NRC staff and contractor reports NRC-sponsored conference proceedings, and NRC booklets and brochures. Also available are Regulatory Guides, NRC regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations, and Nuclear Regulatory Commission Issuances.

Documents available from the National Technical Information Service include NUREG series reports and technical reports prepared by other federal agencies and reports prepared by the Atomic Energy Commission, forerunner agency to the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Documents available from public and special technical libraries include all open literature items, such as books, journal and periodical articles, and transactions. *Federal Register* notices, federal and state legislation, and congressional reports can usually be obtained from these libraries.

Documents such as theses, dissertations, foreign reports and translations, and non-NRC conference proceedings are available for purchase from the organization sponsoring the publication cited.

Single copies of NRC draft reports are available free, to the extent of supply, upon written request to the Office of Information Resources Management, Distribution Section, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555.

Copies of industry codes and standards used in a substantive manner in the NRC regulatory process are maintained at the NRC Library. 7920 Norfolk Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, and are available there for reference use by the public. Codes and standards are usually copyrighted and may be purchased from the originating organization or, if they are American National Standards, from the American National Standards Institute, 1430 Broadway, New York, NY 10018.

#### DISCLAIMER NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, or any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability of responsibility for any third party's use, or the results of such use, of any information, apparatus, product or process disclosed in this report, or represents that its use by such third party would not intringe privately owned rights.

NUREG/CR-2601 Addendum 1

## Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference Light Water Reactors Following Postulated Accidents

Re-evaluation of the Cleanup Cost for the Boiling Water (BWR) Scenario 3 Accident from NUREG/CR-2601

Manuscript Completed: February 1990 Date Published: December 1990

Prepared by G. J. Konzek, R. I. Smith

Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, WA 99352

Prepared for Division of Regulatory Applications Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 NRC FIN L1233

#### ABSTRACT

The estimated costs for post-accident cleanup at the reference BWR (developed previously in NUREG/CR-2601, <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of</u> <u>Decommissioning Reference Light Water Reactors Following Postulated</u> <u>Accidents</u>) are updated to January 1989 dollars in this report. A simple formula for escalating post-accident cleanup costs is also presented. Accident cleanup following the most severe accident described in NUREG/CR-2601 (i.e., the Scenario 3 accident) is estimated to cost from \$1.22 to \$1.44 billion, in 1989 dollars, for assumed escalation rates of 4% or 8% in the years following 1989. The time to accomplish cleanup remained unchanged from the 8.3 years originally estimated. No reanalysis of current information on the technical aspects of TMI-2 cleanup has been performed. Only the cost of inflation has been evaluated since the original PNL analysis was completed.

#### FOREWORD BY NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION STAFF

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has issued regulations related to the decommissioning of nuclear facilities. (1) As part of this activity, the NRC initiated two series of studies through technical assistance contracts. These contracts were undertaken to develop information to support the preparation of new standards covering decommissioning.

The first series of studies covers the technology, safety, and costs of decommissioning reference nuclear facilities. (2-27) Light water reactors (LWRs) and fuel-cycle and non-fuel-cycle facilities were included. Facilities of current design on typical sites were selected for the studies. Separate reports were prepared as the studies of the various facilities were completed.

The second series of studies covers supporting information on the decommissioning of nuclear facilities. (28-32) This series includes an annotated bibliography on decommissioning and studies on facilitation and radiation survey methods appropriate for decommissioning, as well as an examination of regulations applicable to decommissioning.

This report contains information on post-accident cleanup and decommissioning of a reference boiling water reactor power station in support of the Rule on Property Insurance Requirements for reactor owners. Any comments will be included in the record for consideration by the Commission in establishing criteria and new standards for decommissioning. Comments on this report should be mailed to:

Chief Radiation and Health Effects Branch Division of Regulatory Applications Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

#### REFERENCES

- Final Rule Amendments to 10 CFR Parts 30, 40, 50, 51, 70, and 72: General Requirements for Decommissioning Nuclear Facilities, Federal Register, 53 FR 24018, June 27, 1988.
- <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Nuclear Fuel</u> <u>Reprocessing Plant</u>. 1977. NUREG-0278, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

- <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Pressurized</u> <u>Water Reactor Power Station</u>. 1978. NUREG/CR-0130, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Small Mixed</u> <u>Oxide Fuel Fabrication Plant</u>. 1979. NUREG/CR-0129, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Pressurized</u> <u>Water Reactor Power Station</u>. 1979. NUREG/CR-0130 Addendum, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Low-Level Waste Burial Ground. 1980. NUREG/CR-0570, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Technology, Srfety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Boiling Water Reactor Power Station. 1980. NUREG/CR-0672, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Uranium Fuel</u> <u>Fabrication Plant</u>. 1980. NUREG/CR-1266, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference Non-Fuel Cycle</u> <u>Nuclear Facilities</u>. 1981. NUREG/CR-1754, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Low-Level</u> <u>Waste Burial Ground - Environmental Surveillance Programs</u>. 1981. NUREG/CR-0570 Addendum. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Uranium</u> <u>Hexafluoride Conversion Plant</u>. 1981. NUREG/CR-1757, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Nuclear Reactors at</u> <u>Multiple-Reactor Stations</u>. 1982. NUREG/CR-1755, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference Nuclear</u> <u>Research and Test Reactors</u>. 1982. NUREG/CR-1756, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

- <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference Light Water</u> <u>Reactors Following Postulated Accidents</u>. 1982. NUREG/CR-2601, U.S. <u>Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory</u>, <u>Richland</u>, Washington.
- 15. <u>Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Pressurized</u> <u>Water Reactor Power Station - Effects on Decommissioning of Interim</u> <u>Inability to Dispose of Wastes Offsite</u>. 1983. NUREG/CR-0130, Addendum 2, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- 16. <u>Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Boiling</u> <u>Water Reactor Power Station - Effects on Decommissioning of Interim</u> <u>Inability to Dispose of Wastes Offsite</u>. 1983. NUREG/CR-0672, Addendum 1, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference Nuclear <u>Research and Test Reactors - Sensitivity of Decommissioning Radiation</u> <u>Exposure and Costs to Selected Parameters</u>. 1983. NUREG/CR-1756 Addendum, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference Independent</u> <u>Spent Fuel Storage Installations</u>. 1984. NUREG/CR-2210, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Pressurized</u> <u>Water Reactor Power Station - Classification of Decommissioning Wastes</u>. 1984. NUREG/CR-0130, Addendum 3, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Boiling</u> <u>Water Reactor Power Station - Classification of Decommissioning Wastes</u>. 1984. NUREG/CR-0672, Addendum 2, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- 21. <u>Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference Nuclear Fuel</u> <u>Cycle and Non Fuel Cycle Facilities Following Postulated Accidents</u>. 1985. NUREG/CR-3293, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- 22. Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Nuclear Reactors at Multiple-Reactor Stations - Effects on Decommissioning of Interim Inability to Dispose of Wastes Offsite. 1985. NUREG/CR-1755, Addendum 1, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

- <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference Nuclear Fuel</u> <u>Cycle Facilities - Classification of Decommissioning Wastes</u>. 1986. NUREG/CR-4519, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- 24. <u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Pressurized</u> <u>Water Reactor Power Station - Technical Support for Decommissioning</u> <u>Matters Related to Preparation of the Final Decommissioning Rule</u>. 1988. NUREG/CR-0130, Addendum 4, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- 25. <u>Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Boiling</u> <u>Water Reactor Power Station - Technical Support for Decommissioning</u> <u>Matters Related to Preparation of the Final Decommissioning Rule</u>. 1988. NUREG/CR-Co72, Addendum 3, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- 26. <u>Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference Non-Fuel-Cycle</u> <u>Nuclear Facilities - Compendium of Current Information</u>. 1989. NUREG/CR-1754, Addendum 1, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- 27. <u>Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning a Reference Boiling</u> <u>Water Reactor Power Station - Comparison of Two Decommissioning Cost</u> <u>Estimates Developed for the Same Commercial Nuclear Reactor Power</u> <u>Station</u>. 1989. NUREG/CR-0672, Addendum 4, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- <u>Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities An Annotated Bibliography</u>, 1979. NUREG/CR-0130, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- 29. <u>Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities A Review and Analysis of Current</u> <u>Regulations</u>. 1979. NUREG/CR-0671, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Facilitation of Decommissioning of Light Water Reactors. 1979. NUREG/CR-0569, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.
- Monitoring for Compliance with Decommissioning Termination Survey Criteria. 1981: NUREG/CR-2082, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.
- 32. <u>Technology and Cost of Termination Surveys Associated with Decommissioning of Nuclear Facilities</u>. 1982. NUREG/CR-2241, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of Carl Feldman of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission for his comments and guidance throughout this project.

The editorial review prior to publication was provided by David Payson of the Pacific Northwest Laboratory.

### CONTENTS

1.0	INTR	ODUCTIO	Ν	× +		- + i			×		4			*			÷			1.1
2.0	SUMM	ARY				÷.,			,			,					Υ.			2.1
	2.1	COSTS					· ·			4	i.					,		4		2.1
	2.2	COST E	SCALATIO	N FO	RMULA				÷		•	•					÷			2.4
3.0	ESTI	MATED S	CENÁRIO	3 AC	CIDENT	CL	EANU	PC	051	TS									¢.	3.1
	3.1	ESTIMA	TED COST	S OF	PREPA	ARAT	IONS	FO	R. /	ACC	ID	EN	T C	LE	ANI	UP			÷	3.1
	3.2		Y OF COS TE BUILD																	3.3
	3.3		TED COST NG AND C					1.11.2.2				-								3.7
	3.4		POSSIBLE	1.12.2	C	1.2.16	1.00		1.16.1	0.00	2011		2.22.2		14.4			,	,	3.11
	3.5	PLANT	STABILIZ	ATIO	N COST	ſS				,				,				÷		3,11
	3.6	PLANT	MAINTENA	NCE	AND OF	PERA	TION	0.0	ST	S					÷		ų,			3.13
	3.7	INCREM	ENTAL CO	ST E	SCALAT	TION					,								ł	3.14
4.0			OFACC										1. m. s						,	4.1
APPE	NDIX	A - COS	T ESTIMA	TING	BASES	s .	x . +				×						4			A.1
	A.1	LABOR	COSTS .	s .	x x		x x		×			,								A.1
	A.2	WASTE	PACKAGIN	G CO	STS							÷	, i 1						÷	A.1
		A.2.1	Shippin	g Co	ntaine	er C	osts	•			÷							*	×	Α.2
		A.2.2	Overpac	k an	d Casl	k Ch	arge	s,							×					Α.3
		A.2.3	Additic	nal	Shield	ding	Cos	ts	*	÷	*	•				,	,		•	A.3
		A.2.4	Solidif	ying	Agent	t Co	sts				×				*	×			4	A.4
	Α.3	TRANSP	ORTATION	cos	TS .		s - 4		,	i.	4						,		κ.	A.4
		A.3.1	Shipmer	t by	Exclu	usiv	e-Us	e T	ru	ck										A.5

	A.3.2 Shipment by Rail	A.9
Α.4	WASTE DISPOSAL COSTS	A.10
	A.4.1 Shallow-Land Burial	A.10
	A.4.2 Disposal of Wastes at a Federal Repository	A.11
A.5	EQUIPMENT COSTS	A.12
A.6	SERVICES AND SUPPLIES	A.14
	A.6.1 Electricity	A.14
	A.6.2 Fuel Oil	A.14
	A.6.3 Decontamination Chemicals	A.14
	A.6.4 Ion Exchange Resins	A.14
A.7	COSTS OF NUCLEAR INSURANCE AND REGULATORY FEES	A.15
A.8	COST UPDATING FROM 1981 TO 1989 COST BASE	A.16
Α.9	REFERENCES	A.17

### TABLES

2.1	Summary of Estimated Total Costs of Accident Cleanup Following the Reference BWR Scenario 3 Accident			2.2
2.2	Summary of Estimated Cost of Plant Cleanup at the Reference BWR by Cost Category			2.3
3.1	Estimated Costs for Preparations for Accident Cleanup Following the Reference BWR Scenario 3 Accident		l	3.2
3.2	Waste Management Cost Parameters and Estimated Cost for the Disposal of BWR Spent Fuel Racks	ŝ		3.3
3.3	Summary of Estimated Costs of Accident Cleanup in the Radwaste Building Following the Postulated BWR Scenario 3 Accident			3.4
3.4	Estimated Costs of Radioactive Waste Management for Accider Cleanup in the Radwaste Building Following the Postulated BWR Scenario 3 Accident			3.5
3.5	Summary of Estimated Costs of Accident Cleanup in the Reactor Building and the Containment Vessel Following the Postulated BWR Scenario 3 Accident			3.8
3.6	Estimated Costs of Radioactive Waste Management for Accident Cleanup in the Reactor Building and the Containment Following the Postulated BWR Scenario 3 Accident			3.9
3,7	Comparison of Estimated Cicanup Costs for TMI-2, the Reference PWR, and the Reference BWR			3.12
3.8	Derivation of Incremental Cost Escalation Based on Annual Escalation Rates of 4% and 8%	*		3.14
4.1	Distribution of Radioactive Waste Disposal Costs into Components that Escalate Proportional to Labor, Energy, and Burial Costs	•		4.2
4.2	Derivation of Coefficients A, B, C, and D in the Postulated Accident Cleanup Cost Escalation Formula			4.3
A.1	Labor Cost Data for Accident Cleanup and Decommissioning			A.2
A.2	Unit Costs of Shipping Containers for Rad active Material	s		A.3
A.3	Rental Charges for Reusable Shielded Casks			A.4

A.4	Solidifying Agent Costs	A.4
A.5	Transportation Rates for Legal-Size and -Weight Shipments	A.6
A.6	Additional Charges When the Payload Exceeds 21.77 Mg	A.8
A.7	Requirements for Oversize Truck Shipments	A.8
8.A	Example Shipping Costs of Truck Shipments	A.9
A.9	Commercial Shallow-Land Burial Charges	A.11
A.10	Special Tools and Equipment	A.13
A.11	Estimated Costs of Property Damage Insurance, Nuclear Liability Insurance, and Regulatory Fees During Preparations for Accident Cleanup	A.15
A.12	Estimated Costs of Property Damage Insurance, Nuclear Liability Insurance, and Regulatory Fees During Accident Cleanup	A.16
A.13	Decommissioning Cost Updating Factors: 1981 to 1989	A.17

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

The results of a study to re-examine the analyses presented in NUREG/CR-2601 (Technology, Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference Light Water Reactors Following Postulated Accidents) on post-accident cleanup of a reference boiling water reactor (BWR) power station are given in this report. Included are an update of the estimated costs for cleanup (in January 1989 dollars) and the development of a simple cost escalation formula for cleanup costs similar to the formula for escalation of decommissioning costs given in the final Rule on Decommissioning. The purpose of these new analyses is to support the planned revisions to the Rule on Property Insurance Requirements for reactor owners.

Just as in the parent document, which was published in 1982, the reader is referred to the appropriate sections of the pressurized water reactor (PWR) analysis in NUREG/CR-2601, where the reference accident scenarios and the technical requirements, costs, and safety impacts of PWR accident cleanup are discussed, to trace the logic and justify the assumptions used in making the original BWR analysis (and this cost update).

A discussion on the development of the bases for cost escalations to January 1989 dollars is presented in Appendix A. The principal results of the application of these bases to the original estimates in NUREG/CR=2601 are provided in Section 3. The cost escalation formula for accident cleanup costs is described in Section 4.

#### 2.0 SUMMARY

The results of a re-examination of the analyses presented in NUREG/CR-2601 (<u>Technology</u>, <u>Safety and Costs of Decommissioning Reference</u> <u>Light Water Reactors Following Postulated Accidents</u>) on post-accident cleanup of a reference boiling water reactor (BWR) power station are summarized in this section. Included are an update of the estimated costs for accident cleanup and the development of a simple formula for escalation of cleanup costs due only to inflation considerations similar to the formula for escalation of decommissioning costs given in the final Rule on Decommissioning. The purpose of these new analyses is to support the planned revisions to the Rule on Property Insurance Requirements for reactor owners.

#### 2.1 COSTS

Accident cleanup costs (in January 1989 dollars) at the reference BWR are summarized here from Section 3, based on analyses originally presented in Section 11.5 of NUREG/CR-2601. Four basic cost elements are identified:

- basic accident cleanup (as estimated in the report)
- stabilization of the facility
- basic plant maintenance and operations
- incremental escalation of the above costs over the future years of the various activities.

While a detailed analysis was not performed for the BWR accident in the original NUREG report, an analysis has been performed for this report, by analogy to the analysis for the reference pressurized water reactor (PWR) scenario 3 accident, as discussed in Section 11.5 of NUREG/CR-2601.

A summary of total estimated costs for accident cleanup at the reference BWR is given in Table 2.1, including all four basic cost elements mentioned above. Accident cleanup following the most severe accident described in NUREG/CR-2601 (i.e., the scenario 3 accident) is estimated to cost from \$1.22 billion to \$1.44 billion, depending on the assumed escalation rates (4%, 8%) in the years following 1989. The time requirement of 8.3 years for completion of accident cleanup remains unchanged from the original analysis.

A summary of plant cleanup costs (Cost Item 1 in Table 2.1) at the reference BWR by cost category is presented in Table 2.2, to illustrate the relative importance of individual cost items. The major cost items are labor, waste management, and nuclear insurance and regulatory fees. Labor costs, including staff labor, engineering support, and specialty contractors, account for greater than 66% of plant cleanup costs at the reference BWR.

TABLE 2.1.	Summary of Estimated	Total	Costs of Accident	Cleanup Following
	the Reference BWR Sci	enario	3 Accident	

	Cost Item	<u>Costs (\$ Millions)(a)</u> Accident Cleanup in Reference BWR Following Scenario 3 Accident
1.	Estimated Cost of Plant Cleanup	530.3(b)
2.	Facility Stabilization(C)	259.0(d)
3.	Base Operations and Maintenanco(c)	239.6(e)
4.	Incremental Cost Escalation(-)	186.5 to 409.9(f)
Tot	al Estimated Costs	1,215.4 to 1,438.8

(a) Costs are from this report in January 1989 dollars, and include a 25% contingency.

- (b) For the reference BWR, this includes preparations for accident cleanup, accident cleanup in the radwaste building, and accident cleanup in the reactor building and the containment vessel.
- (c) See Section 3.4.
- (d) See Section 3.5.
- (e) Over a postulated 3-year period for the reference BWR.
- (f) Cost values shown are based on annual escalation rates of 4% and 8%, respectively.

The major waste management cost item in NUREG/CR-2601 was the cost of disposal of the damaged fuel from reactor defueling following an accident. However, as a result of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 and its subsequent amendment in 1987, the U.S. Deractment of Energy (DOE) is obligated to receive and dispose of commercial nuclear fuel. The costs (i.e., cask rental, transportation, and disposal) are covered by the 1 mill/kWh fee for waste disposal delineated in the Act. Therefore, while these costs have been estimated and updated to January 1989 dollars for this report, these costs are not included in the total costs for accident cleanup given in this report.

Another principal change resulting from this re-examination is a significant increase in the costs associated with nuclear insurance and regulatory fees. The bases used to estimate the cost of nuclear insurance and regulatory fees during accident cleanup are described in Section A.7 of Appendix A.

## TABLE 2.2. Summary of Estimated Cost of Plant Cleanup at the Reference BWR by Cost Category(a,b)

Cost Category	Accident Cleanup Following Scenario 3 Accident Estimated Costs (\$ millions)	Fercent of Total
	warmen af Arlandi shinala Shina Boothis Armaniana ay	and a state of the local state o
Preparations for Accident Cleanup <sup>(C)</sup> Utility Staff Labor Waste Management Energy Special Equipment and Supplies Miscellaneous Supplies Specialty Contractors Nuclear Insurance and License Fees	58.215 <sup>(d)</sup> 1.560 8.572 9.834 0.236 30.386 24.578	43.6 1.2 6.4 7.4 0.2 22.8 18.4
Subtotal for Preparations for Cleanup	133.381	100.0
Accident Cleanup in the Radwaste Building <sup>(e)</sup> Cleanup Worker Labor Waste Management Special Tools and Equipment Mincellaneous Supplies Specialty Contractors	10.694 2.136 1.960 1.379 <u>1.979</u>	58.9 11.8 10.8 7.6 10.9
Subtotal for Cleanup in the Radwaste Building	18,148	100.0
Accident Cleanup in the Reactor Building and Containment Vessel Operations and Support Staff Labor Accident Cleanup Staff Labor Waste Management <sup>(1)</sup> Disposal of Fuel from Reactor Defueling <sup>(f)</sup> Energy Special Tools and Equipment Miscellaneous Supplies Specialty Contractors Nuclear Insurance and License Fees	87.961 134.216 30.255 (60.705)(9) 16.608 21.499 16.243 28.956 <u>43.056</u>	23.2 35.4 8.0 (9) 4.4 5.7 4.3 7.6 11.4
Subtotal for Cleanup in the Reactor Building and Contairment	378.794	100.0
Total Accident Cleanup Costs	530.3	

(a) Costs are in January 1989 dollars and include 25% contingency.

(b) Number of figures shown is for computational accuracy only and does not imply precision to the nearest one thousand dollars.

<sup>(</sup>c) Costs are based on assumed time period of 3 years for preparations for cleanup following the scenario 3 accident.

<sup>(</sup>d) Includes labor cost for loading spent fuel from the spent fuel pool into casks for shipment to a DOE storage facility.

<sup>(</sup>e) Accident cleanup in the radwaste building following the scenario 3 accident is assumed to be accomplished during preparations for cleanup in the reactor building. Management and support staff costs and other incidental costs are included in the costs of preparations for cleanup.

<sup>(</sup>f) Costs for disposal of fuel are shown separately from other waste management costs.

<sup>(</sup>g) As a result of the NWPA, the DOE is obligated to receive and dispose of commercial nuclear fuel. The costs (i.e., cask rental, transportation, and disposal) are covered by the 1 mill/kbh fee tor maste disposal delineated in the Act. Therefore, while these costs now been ustimated and updated to January 1989 dollars for this report, these mosts are not included in the total costs for accident cleanup.

#### 2.2 COST ESCALATION FORMULA

The escalated accident cleanup cost, described in detail in Section 4, is given by:

Estimated Cost (Year X) = [(January 1989 Cost) (A Lx + B Ex + C Bx

+ D Ix)] + LxAT(1989\$)

where A, B, C, and D are fractions of the total cost in January 1989 dollars that are attributable to labor, energy, burial, and insurance, respectively, and sum to 1.0, and Lx,  $\Sigma_X$ ,  $B_X$  and Ix are escalation factors from 1989 to year X for labor, energy, burial, and insurance. Addition of the last term,  $L_X \Delta T(1989S)$ , provides the means for escalating the cost of plant cleanup, facility stabilization, and base operations and maintenance beyond year X, and completes the formula for estimating total cleanup cost:

$$\Delta T(1989\$) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Qi (1 + y)^{i} - Qi$$

where Qi = annual expenditures in year (i) during cleasup period

 $(1 + y)^{1}$  = projected escalation factor through year (i) of the cleanup period.

#### 3.0 ESTIMATED SCENARIO 3 ACCIDENT CLEANUP COSTS

Estimated costs of plant cleanup (Cost Item 1 in Table 2.1) at the reference BWR following the postulated scenario 3 accident are presented in this section for the three operations that comprise plant cleanup costs: preparations for accident cleanup, accident cleanup in the radwaste building, and accident cleanup in the reactor building and the containment vessel.

#### 3.1 ESTIMATED COSTS OF PREPARATIONS FOR ACCIDENT CLEANUP

The estimated costs of preparations for accident cleanup following the reference BWR scenario 3 accident are summarized in Table 3.1. Preparations for accident cleanup are estimated to require 3 years (no change from NUREG/CR-2601) and to cost approximately \$133.4 million. About 44% of these costs are utility staff labor costs. Total labor costs, including the cost of contractor labor for engineering support as well as utility staff labor, are about 66% of the total cost of preparations for accident cleanup.

The accumulated spent nuclear fuel (SNF) present in the spent fuel storage pool at the time of an accident is assumed to be transported to a DOE-owned facility under the provisions of 10 CFR 961, <u>Standard Contract for</u> <u>Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and/or High-Level Waste</u>. This fuel will be accepted by the federal waste management system based on oldest-fuel-first priority unless: 1) acceptance priority may be provided to shutdown reactors, or 2) emergency deliveries of SNF and/or high-level waste may be accepted by DOE before the date provided in the delivery commitment schedule upon prior written approval by DOE. The licensee is responsible for storage costs associated with the fuel until acceptance by DOE. In this study, all subsequent costs are assumed to be covered under the contract and therefore were not examined further in this analysis nor included in Table 3.1.

The cost of waste management is expected to be small during preparations for accident cleanup. Wastes generated during this period consist mostly of compactible and combustible solids (e.g., disposable clothing, rags, plastic covers, laydown pads, and miscellaneous trash) as well as some filters and ion exchange materials. The generation rate for these wastes during preparations for accident cleanup is expected to be similar to the generation rate during normal reactor operations.

Once the spent fuel in the pool has been shipped to an independent spent fuel storage installation (ISFSI), the old fuel racks are removed during preparations for accident cleanup to provide space in the pool for the filter/demineralizer system used to process accident water and for new fuel racks to accommodate canistered fuel. All of the racks are postulated to be removed following the scenario 3 accident cleanup. The costs of packaging, transportation, and disposal of the old fuel racks at a shallow-land burial ground are given in Table 3.2. These costs are included as part of the waste management costs for preparations for accident cleanup. TABLE 3.1. Estimated Costs of Preparations for Accident Cleanup Following the Reference BWR Scenario 3 Accident

Cost Category		Costs(a,b)	Percent of Total
Utility Staff Labor <sup>(C)</sup>		46.572 <sup>(c)</sup>	43.6
waste Management		1,248	1.2
Energy Special Equipment and Facilities <sup>(d)</sup>		6.858	6.4
Demineralizer System Fuel Racks for Canistered Fuel Processed Water Storage Tanks Facilities for Interim Storage of Wastes(©) Mockup of Reactor Vessel	1.235 0.611 0.706 1.195 <u>3.920</u>		
Total Equipment and Facilities Costs		7.867	7.4
Miscellaneous Supplies		0.189	0.2
Specialty Contractors			
Engineering Environmental Surveillance Laundry	23.940 0.169 0.200		
Total Specialty Concractors Costs		24.309	22.8
Nuclear Insurance and License Fees		19.662	18.4
Subtotal		106.705	100.0
Contingency (25%)		26.676	
Total Costs		133.381	

(a) Costs are in January 1989 dollars. Number of significant figures shown is for computational accuracy only.

(b) Total costs are based on an assumed time period of 3 years for preparations for accident clearwp following scenario 3 accident as described in NUREG/CR-2601.

(c Includes labor cost for loading spent fuel from the spent fuel pool into casks for shipment to a DOE storage facility.

(d) Costs include contractor labor, materials, and overhead costs for the design and construction of the indicated items.

(e) Facilities include a warehouse-type building for onsite storage of drummed and boxed wastes and a facility for shielded storage of liners containing high-activity wastes.

TABLE 3.2. Waste Management Cost Parameters and Estimated Cost for the Disposal of BWR Spent Fuel Racks(a,b)

Item	Value
Burial Volume (m <sup>3</sup> ) Estimated Radioactivity Content (Ci) Type of Disposable Container Number of DisposableContainers(c) Number of Waste Shipments	350 3.5 Mctal Box 15
Disposable Container Costs (\$) Transportation Costs (\$) Shallow-Land Burial Costs (\$)	55,000 10,250
Disposal Charge Handling Surcharge	365,750 <u>7,170</u>
Total Waste Management Costs (\$)	438,670

(a) Number of significant figures shown is for computational accuracy only.

(b) Costs are in January 1989 dollars.

(c) Assumes racks are packaged without sectioning.

Note: Surcharge for non-Northwest Compact users at \$706.28/m<sup>3</sup> would add an incremental cost of \$247,198.

#### 3.2 SUMMARY OF COSTS OF ACCIDENT CLEANUP IN THE RADWASTE BUILDING

The estimated costs of accident cleanup in the radwaste building following the scenario 3 accident are summarized in Table 3.3. Accident cleanup in the radwaste building is postulated to take place during preparations for cleanup in the reactor building, and is estimated to require 1.5 years (no change from NUREG/CR-2601) and to cost approximately \$18 million.

Costs shown in Table 3.3 include worker labor costs, waste management costs, costs of equipment and supplies, and specialty contractor costs specifically related to accident cleanup in the radwaste building. Management and support staff costs, costs of maintaining the reactor in a safe shutdown condition during this period, and the incidental costs such as energy costs, environmental surveillance costs, and insurance costs are included with the costs of preparations for cleanup following the scenario 3 accident shown in Table 3.1.

Based on the waste management disposal assumptions discussed in Section 10.4.1.5 of NUREG/CR-2601, costs of radioactive waste management for accident cleanup in the radwaste building are estimated to be about \$1.7 million. These costs are shown in detail in Table 3.4. As discussed in Section 10.4.1.5, all wastes from accident cleanup except the high-activity

TABLE 3.3.	Summary	of Estimat	ted Co	osts of	Accident	t Cleanup	in the Radwaste
	Building	Following	g the	Postul	ated BWR	Scenario	3 Accident(a)

Cost Category	Estimated Costs(b) (\$ millions)	Percent of Total
Cleanup Worker Labor	8.555	58.9
Waste Management	1.709	11.8
Special Tools and Equipment(c)	1.568	10.8
Miscellaneous Supplies	1.103	7.6
Specialty Contractors		
Engineering	1.330	
Laundry	0.253	
Total Specialty Contractor Costs	1.583	10.9
Subtotal	14.518	100.0
Contingency (25%)	3.630	
Total Costs	18.148	

(a) Accident cleanup in the radwaste building is assumed to be accomplished during preparations for accident cleanup in the reactor building. Management and support staff costs and incidental costs are included in the costs of preparations for accident cleanup.

(b) Costs are in January 1989 dollars. Number of significant figures is for computational accuracy only.

(c) Includes cost of design and installation of system to process contaminated radwaste system liquids. TABLE 3.4. Estimated Costs of Radioactive Waste Management for Accident Cleanup in the Radwaste Building Following the Postulated BWR Scenario 3 Accident(a)

e'

						Packaging	and Transp Casie	port Costs			sposal Site	Costs (b,c)		Sec. 1	Total
		Estimated				Container	Contral	Transport.		Shallow-Land B	Aurial Groun	d Cost (\$)	and the second design of	Federal	Maste
Waste Category	Surial Volume (m <sup>2</sup> )		Disposable Contain	ers Number	Number of Shipments	Cost(d) (\$)	Charge <sup>(e)</sup> (\$)	Costs (4,6) (\$)	Disposal Charge	State Surcharge <sup>(g)</sup>	Handt Freg Surcharge	Liner Surcharge	Curie Surcharge	Repository Costs (\$)	Costs (\$)
Studge	z	20	0.21-8 <sup>3</sup> steel drum	10		300	2,700	3,318	2,278	[1,413]	1,789				10,385
Process Solids			이 집에 가슴을 가슴.											5,600	6,609
Filter Cartridges	0.5	20	(.3-m <sup>3</sup> steel Line-		0.5		1,350	1,659						10,800	21,486
Zeolite Liners	0.9	29,000	0.3-m <sup>3</sup> steel liver	. 5	2		4,050	6,636						3,600	6,604
Organic Resin Liners	0.5	100	0.3-m <sup>3</sup> steel liner		0.5		1,350	1,659						2,000	260,9932
hemical Decontamination Solutions	200	50	0.21 m steel drum	950	8	25,500		16,400	209,000	[161,256]	7,002				200,2005
frast															75,645
Compactible, Combestible	52	68	0.21 m steel drum	250	2	7,500		4,190	57,096		1,745				223,675
Compactible, Noncombustible	171	28	0.21-m <sup>3</sup> steel drum	815	7	24,458		14,350	178,675	(120,774)	6,130				648,317
Woncompactible	435	13	3.5-m <sup>5</sup> metal box	124	21	135,400		43,050	453,530	(596,526)	15,337				100002,000
Contaminated Equipment			1							(105,942)	4,890				222,100
tSA Materials	150	10	3.5 m <sup>2</sup> metal box	42	T	46,200		14,350	156,750			13,446			238,140
High Activity Materials	50	100	2.85-# <sup>3</sup> steel tiner	- 18	18	55,800	52,400	99,724	52,250	[35, 314]	24,520	12100			
Subtotals											61,325	15,446			1,673,910
Wastes Sent to Shallow Land Burial	1,059	309		2,209	63	299,150	35,100	155,292	1,109,599	(747,952)	01,363	10,000		18,000	34,794
Wastes Sent to Federal Repository	- 7.	20,120		5	3		6,750	9,754					ā	18,000	1,708,614
Totais	1,061	20,429		2,214	-66	299,150	41,850	165,246	1,109,599	(747,952)	61,323	13,646		10,000	

(b) Charges are computed on the assumption that all shipments for a given waste category are identical. Actually, charges for individual shipments would vary depending on the specific physical and radiological characteristics of individual shipments. The averaging technique used is believed to be appropriate for computing total charges.

(c) Based on information from Table 8.9 of Appendix A.

(d) Based on information from Table A.2 of Appendix A.

(e) Based on information from Table #.3 of Appendix #. Assumes 6 days for a round-trip truck shipment.

(f) Based on information from Table A.8 of Appendix 8. Includes overweight charges and second driver costs where applicable.
 (g) The costs shown in brackets would apply to those generators outside the MV Compact. For the purpose of this cost update, these onsts are not included in the total.

wastes (filter cartridges and ion exchange materials) from processing contaminated water are transported by truck to a shallow-land burial ground for disposal. The high-activity wastes are placed in temporary shielded storage at the site and are ultimately transported in shielded containers to a federal repository. Both the shallow-land burial ground and the federal repository are assumed to be located 1600 km from the reactor site.

## 3.3 ESTIMATED COSTS OF ACCIDENT CLEANUP IN THE REACTOR BUILDING AND CONTAINMENT VESSEL

The estimated costs of accident cleanup in the reactor building and containment vessel following the postulated BWR scenario 3 acrident are summarized in Table 3.5. Accident cleanup in the reactor building and containment vessel is estimated to require 5.3 years (no change from NUREG/CR-2601) and cost about \$379 million.

It can be seen from Table 3.5 that labor costs are a major cost item for reactor building and containment vessel cleanup. Utility staff labor costs account for about 59% of the accident cleanup costs. Contractor costs for engineering support contribute an additional 7% to the total accident cleanup costs. An additional labor cost shown in the table is the living allowance paid to crew leaders and utility operators brought from other plants to assist in reactor defueling operations. As explained in NUREG/CR-2601, Section F.3.1 of Appendix F, personnel on temporary assignment are assumed to be paid a monthly living allowance in addition to their regular salaries.

Based on the waste management disposal assumptions discussed in Section 10.4.1.5 of NUREG/CR-2601, costs of radioactive waste management for accident cleanup in the reactor building and containment vessel are estimated to be about \$24.2 million. These costs are shown in detail in Table 3.6. The costs shown in the table include container costs, transportation, and disposal costs. Labor costs for packaging the wastes prior to shipment are included in the utility staff labor costs shown in Table 3.5. Labor costs for transportation and disposal are included in the total charges for these activities shown in Table 3.6.

As discussed in Section 10.4.1.5 of NUREG/CR-2601, high-activity wastes (filter cartridges, ion exchange resin liners, and evaporator bottoms from processing radioactive liquids) and damaged fuel assemblies are assumed to be transported to a DOE-owned facility. Fuel assemblies that are not damaged are also transported to a DOE-owned facility. All other radioactive wastes are shipped to a shallow-land burial ground for disposal. The federal facility and the shallow-land burial ground are both assumed to be located 1600 km from the reactor site. Although the great majority of the waste (by volume) is shipped to a shallow-land burial ground, most of the costs of waste management in NUREG/CR-2601 was for the packaging, transportation, and disposal of the fuel from defueling the reactor accounted for most of the cost of the cost of the sate management in NUREG/CR-2601. As previously mentioned, however, as a result of the NWPA of 1982, the DOE is obligated to receive and dispose

Cost Category	Estimated (\$ mil	Costs(a) lions)	Percent of Total
Utility Staff Labor			
Management and Support Staff Plant Operations Staff Accident Cleanup Staff Per Diem During Defueling(b)	43.455 26.914 97.893 9.480		
Total Staff Labor Costs		177.742	58.6
Waste Management Costs			
Disposal by Shallow-Land Burial Disposal at Federal Repository Fuel and Fuel Core Debris(C)	12.899 5.407 5.898		
Total Waste Management Costs		24.204	8.0
Energy		13.286	4.4
Special Tools and Equipment		17.199	5.7
Miscellaneous Supplies		12.994	4.3
Specialty Contractors			
Engineering Environmental Surveillance Waste Evaporator System Laundry	21.147 0.298 0.266 1.454		
Total Specialty Contractor Costs		23.165	7.6
Nuclear Insurance and License Fees		34.445	11.4
Subtotal		303.035	100.0
Contingency (25%)		75.759	
Total Costs		378.794	

TABLE 3.5. Summary of Estimated Costs of Accident Cleanup in the Reactor Building and the Containment Vessel Following the Postulated BWR Scenario 3 Accident

 (a) Costs are in January 1989 dollars. Number of significant figures shown is for computational accuracy only.

(b) Per diem paid to crew leaders and utility operators temporarily assigned from other plants during defueling operations. See explanation in Section E.4.2 of Appendix E of NUREG/CR-2601. The per diem costs have been adjusted to January 1989 dollars.

(c) Reflects only container costs. Transportation and disposal are covered by the 1 mill/kWh fee for waste disposal under the NWPA of 1982. 1ABLE 3.6. Estimated Costs of Radioactive Waste Management for Accident Cleanup in the Reactor Beilding and the Containment Foilowing the Postulated BWR Scenario 3 Accident(a)

\$

2						DI RDORVEDIA	D1 spossed +			A LOW LA LAND	A LOU ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL	THE PARTY NAMES OF TAXABLE PARTY.		and not	Town in the
Keste Category 1a	1	Estimated Redirectivity Content (C1)	Disponsible Cardwiners Type	1 Nation	the restance of	Contra (d)	Case Sample Damps (n) (3)	Conta (15)	Cierces)	State land land and unit of the first state for the state of the state	Aurial University	Line Line	Garte Bercherge	Report Tory Contra (5)	Conta (3)
		1,000	0.21-m <sup>3</sup> steel chuse	8	15	1,700	8,100	355.6	10,700	1152.46	5, 102		2,854		11,938
Process Solids															
Filter Certridges	ji,	3,420	0.3-4 <sup>3</sup> shared times	R	ņ		02'12	30,724						128,990070	225, 410
Zaolita Li art	-	2,000,000	0.3m <sup>-3</sup> steel liner	8	12		81'B	100,001						140,000,152	展, 湯
frees.		15, 100	0.5-w <sup>5</sup> stand (freer	9	ii.		62,700	102,858						140 MAC 422	864, 807
Process Solids															
Filter Cartricters	-	2,000	0.21-w <sup>3</sup> steel dram	*	*	240	221	3,318	た.	1218,41	1.771		1,987		18. M
	Ř	2,000,000	2.05-a sticked start liter	100	a di	2,340,068	102, 231	210,526						1,456, 000 <sup>(m)</sup>	12, 102, 1
	255	2,960	n.25 w steel drue	1,700	225	0.015	259,050	10.3	根、長	ISN' YAR	196,962				1,386,799
einartion Solutions	1,050	88	5.21-w <sup>3</sup> street drum	2'200	7	150,000		36,130	92, 790, 1	CM16 "114.0"	N.76				1,570,110
frash															
Compactible, Combuscible 3	300	294	D.21-w attest drum	217'1	<sup>22</sup>	100.100		26,600	則思	112、112	10, 505				187'EFT
	8	191	0.21 m <sup>3</sup> steel dram	4,736	9	112,500		20,020	940'290'1	Lane" Haul	11,009				1.322,419
	2,589	e	3.5-w <sup>5</sup> metal ton	8	8	777,000		200,000	2,633,439	11,779,8263	68, 347				3,737,547
Continueted Equipment															-
154 Materials	201		3.5-w <sup>3</sup> served box	17		102, 1000		14, 936	120,621	LINE MARK	1,300				241,178
wigh-Activity Materials 3	312	2,000	2.05-w <sup>3</sup> steel liner	8	Ŗ	127, 980	197, 921	291,162	280.000	CUEC. 3461	1455 "(205	10,08			120'105'1
Irradiated Rardware															
(St. Mareviats	ĸ	*	3.5 al metal host	*	*	1,800	1,786	117,217	12.'M	1911 613	6,847				190'es
Sigh Activity Materials	8		2.85-a <sup>2</sup> shielded steel (free	21	21	128,008	22,500	11.11	8'8	1212 199	43, 541	1997 219	5.1		2,805,437
Fuel Assemblifice														430	
tretact Assemblites														110 120 201 201	100'ME'S
Compart Accorditions	115		0.15 af steel carister	ž		5,806,400	11 YOO '0001 11	11" DOD' 10"						5425, 9861 ***	10/14
Fuel Core Debris	-	1,200	0.3 w steel cerister	D <sup>M</sup>	17	町石	1000'001	C120-0001(11)							
Subcotais															and the second second
Mastes Sant to Shallow-Land Burist 5,820		6,508,311		13,878	205	2.777,940	and and	1,552,228	10°, 101, 100	6,127,688 (4,110,194)	197'SR	に、	1,577,560		12, 969, 179
Unsteen Serve to Sackeral Paymentory 3	1	5,018,120		292	ŧ	2,540,000	092,700	S17, 542						2,942,800071	
Reactor Fuel and Fuel Core Debris 1	110			178	8	5,007,000	17 MOD 1000 11	(1) (() () () () () () () () () () () () (		-	-		1	114 PM 0001	
totals 6.28	-			126'75	R	10.530,440	1,205,750	1,960,376	4,127,8MK	116, 116, 5543	101,128	12 8	1. 171, 1400	2.042,840,74	「「「「「」」」

.

1961 20 10

Charges are captured on the second-time ratio distance extraport are identical. Actually, charges for individual alignments would very depending on the epectric phonon.
 Conserve are indicated on the second-table and is builtened to be appropriate for comparing total charges. The phonon indication the second-table activity charges for individual alignments would very depending on the epectric phonon exercises and only approxed to the appropriate for comparing total charges.
 Based on information from faile a.2 of Appendix 4. Reame 6 days for a round-trip trust alignment.
 Based on information from faile a.2 of Appendix 4. Exclusion overaight through and exists aliance applicable.
 Based on information from faile a.2 of Appendix 4. Exclusion overaight through and provide charges and exclusion of only to those parenters charges and exclusion of this cert applicable.
 Based on information from faile a.8 of Appendix 4. Exclusion overaight through and provide charges and exclusion of the table and table and the provide charges and exclusion of the provide and table and the provide of the provide charges and exclusion of only to those parenters charges and exclusion of this cert applicable.
 Based on information of certs the above and applied to the provide table and table and the table applied to the exclusion of certs are and table and table and the table applied to the exclusion of certs the approxement of the table and table and table and table and table and table and table above and the above and table and table above and table and table above above above table. The certs are and the table and table and table and table above above above above above table above abo

5.0

of commercial nuclear fuel. The costs (i.e., cask rental, transportation, and disposal) are covered by the 1 mill/kWh fee for wasie disposal delineated in the Act. Therefore, while these costs have been estimated and updated to January 1989 dollars for this report, these costs are <u>not</u> included in the total costs for accident cleanup given in Table 3.6.

#### 3.4 OTHER POSSIBLE ADJUSTMENTS TO THE ACCIDENT CLEANUP COST

Rationale and analyses were presented in Section 11.5 of NUREG/CR-2601 regarding why the costs being estimated at that time for cleanup at TMI-2 were significantly larger than were estimated in the NUREG report for the reference PWR. Four basic cost elements were identified:

- basic accident cleanup (as estimated in the report)
- stabilization of the facility
- basic plant maintenance and operations
- incremental escalation of the above costs over the future years of the various activities.

While this analysis was not performed for the BWR accident in the original NUREG report, a similar analysis has been performed for this report, by analogy to the analysis for the reference PWR scenario 3 accident, as discussed in Section 11.5 of NUREG/CR-2601.

The four cost elements are presented in Table 3.7 for the original TMI-2 estimates, the original PWR estimates, and for the current BWR estimates. The methodology for performing these last three estimates is developed in subsequent paragraphs. The estimated total costs for cleanup at the reference BWR following a scenario 3 accident, including all four of the cost elements, is \$1.22 to \$1.44 billion in 1989 dollars, depending upon the assumed escalation rates (4%, 8%) in the years following 1989.

#### 3.5 CLANT STABILIZATION COSTS

The costs at TMI-2 for facility stabilization (which encompasses stabilization of the plant, preparations for cleanup, and maintenance of the plant in a safe condition over a 2-1/2 year period following the accident) were \$226 million, or about \$90.4 million per year. The cost of preparations for cleanup at the reference PWR was estimated to be about \$98 million in 1981 dollars over a 3-year period. When deflated to 1980 dollars (x 0.94), the annual cost of preparations for cleanup is about \$30.8 million per year. Assuming that the preparations costs are equivalent for the reference PWR and for TMI-2, then the fractions of the total facility stabilization costs attritutable to stabilization of the plant and to maintenance of the plant in a safe condition during delays can be derived as follows:

		Costs (\$ Million	(2
Cost Item	TMI-2 Cleanup Costs(a)	Accident Cleanup in Reference PWR Following Scepario 3 Accident(b)	Accident Cleanup in Ref. ance "WR Following Scenario 3 Accident(C)
1. Estimated Cost of Plant Cleanup	474.9(d)	404.5(e)	530.3(e,f)
2. Facility Stabilization	226.0(9)	(h)	259.0 <sup>(i)</sup>
3. Base Operations and Maintenance			
a. Expended to Date(j)	49.1	(h)	86.6(i)
b. Estimated - Future Years	75.0	(h)	153.0(i)
4. Incremental Cost Escalation	209.3	(h)	185.5 to 409.9(k)
Total Estimated Costs	1,034.3	(h)	1,215.4 to 1,438.8

TABLE 3.7. Comparison of Estimated Cleanup Costs for TMI-2, the Reference PWR, and the Reference BWR

3.12

(a) Costs are from NUREG/CR-2601, Section 11.5, and are in 1980 dollars.

(b) Costs are from NUREG/CR-2601, Appendix F, are in early-1981 dollars, and include a 25% contingency.

(c) Costs are from this report in January 1989 dollars, and include a 25% contingency.

(d) For TMI-2, this includes maintaining plant in a safe condition, auxiliary building decontamination, and defuel reactor & decontamination of containment building.

(e) For the reference PWR, this includes preparations for accident cleanup, accident cleanup in the auxiliary building, and accident cleanup in the reactor containment building. For the reference BWR, this includes preparations for accident cleanup, accident cleanup in the radwaste building, and accident cleanup in the reactor building and the containment vessel.

(f) Cost is from Table 2.

- (g) These costs were incurred at TMI-2 over a period of 2-1/2 years for activities such as stabilization of the plant, preparations for accident cleanup, and maintenance of the plant in a safe shutdown condition. The proportional cost allocations assigned to each activity were not defined in NUREG/CR-2601.
- (h) Not estimated in NUREG/CR-2601.
- (i) Study estimate; see text for details.

(j) Over a 2-1/2 year period for TMI-2; over a postulated 3-year period for the reference BWR.

(k) Cost values shown are based on annual escalation rates of 4% and 8%, respectively.

% Preparations = 30.8 / 90.4 = 34%

% Stabilization & Maintenance = (90.4 - 30.8) / 90.4 = 66% (2)

(1)

The costs for facility stabilization and maintenance of the reference BWR can be derived in an analogous manner. The preparations costs are estimated to be \$133.4 million in constant 1989 dollars (see Table 2.2 for details). Given that similar percentage allocations within the facility stabilization costs are as determined above, then the total costs for facility stabilization at the reference BWR is given by equations (3) and (4):

 $\frac{(\text{Preps})_{\text{BWR}}}{(\text{Preps/Total})_{\text{PWR}}} = (\text{Total})_{\text{BWR}}; 133.4 / 0.34 = $392 \text{ million} (3)$ Total\_{BWR} (0.66) = (Stabilization & Maintenance)\_{\text{BWR}}; \$392 million (0.66) = \$259 million (4)

#### 3.6 PLANT MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION COSTS

Similarly, base operations and maintenance costs were not estimated in NUREG/CR-2601 for either the reference PWR or the reference BWR. Cumulative costs for these activities at TMI-2 by the time of the original NUREG/CR-2601 analyses are shown in Table 3.7 to be \$49.1 million (in 1980 dollars) over a 2-1/2 year period, or an average of \$19.64 million per year. If similar activities should be required at the reference BWR over the 3-year period following the postulated scenario 3 accident, they are estimated to cost about \$86.6 million (in constant 1989 dollars) in this analyses. This cost estimate is based on the average annual TMI-2 cost, adjusted by a factor of 1.47 which represents the cost escalation for labor between 1980 and 1989. Using this same methodology, base operations and maintenance costs for 5.3 future years of accident cleanup at the reference BWR are estimated as follows:

(\$19.64 million x 1.47) 5.3 years = \$53 million (in constant 1989 dollars)(5)

#### 3.7 INCREMENTAL COST ESCALATION

For the purpose of this study, Cost Items 1, 2, and 3 presented in Table 3.7 (in 1989 dollars) were distributed uniformly over the appropriate portions of the cleanup period, resulting in a series of annual expenditures. The additional costs due to escalation of those annual expenditures during the cleanup period are summed and listed in Table 3.7 as Cost Item 4, in 1989 dollars, for two postulated escalation rates, 4% and 8% per year. The results of these calculations are presented in Table 3.8, with the methodology developed in subsequent paragraphs.

TABLE 3.8.	Derivation of	Incremental	Cost	Escalati	on Based on
	Annual Escalat	ion Rates of	4% 8	nd 8%	and the second second

Cost Item(a)	Escalation Period, Years	Expenditure in Year X, (Q <sub>j</sub> ), Millions '89 \$	(1 +	y)i 8%	∆i <u>(millio</u> 4%	n <u>s (89 \$)</u> 8%
1	1 2 3	50.51 50.51 50.51	1.0400 1.0816 1.1249	1.0800 1.1664 1.2597	2.0204 4.1216 6.3069	4.0408 8.4049 13.1181
Subtotal		151.53			12.4489	25.5638
1	4 5 6 7 8.3	71.47 71.47 71.47 71.47 71.47 71.47 21.44	1.2167 1.2653 1.3159 1.3686 1.3848	1.3605 1.4693 1.5869 1.7138 1.8509 1.8942	12.1398 15.4842 18.9624 22.5796 26.3416 8.2494	25.7641 33.5429 41.9439 51.0170 60.8160 19.1708
Subtotal		378.79			103.7570	232.2547
2	1 2 3	86.33 86.33 86.33	1.0400 1.0816 1.1249	1.0800 1.1664 1.2597	3.4532 7.0445 <u>10.7795</u>	6.9064 14.3653 22.4209
Subtotal		259.0			21.2772	43.6926
3	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8.3	28.87 28.87 28.87 28.87 28.87 28.87 28.87 28.87 28.87 28.87 28.87 28.87 28.66	1.0400 1.0816 1.1249 1.1699 1.2167 1.2653 1.3159 1.3686 1.3848	1.0800 1.1664 1.2597 1.3605 1.4693 1.5869 1.7138 1.8509 1.8509 1.8942	1.1547 2.3356 3.6045 4.9034 6.2542 7.6591 9.1202 10.6397 3321	2.3094 4.8036 7.4972 10.4064 13.5483 16.9416 20.6063 24.5642 7.7434
Subtotal		239.6			49.0234	108.4205
$4 \sum_{i=1}^{n} i = i$	ΔŢ				186.5	409.29

(a) From Table 3.7.

The estimated cost in Year X (beyond 1989) for Cost Item 4 can be calculated using Equation (6), assuming that these costs,  $Q_1$ , escalate as does labor. This assumption is based on the information in Table 4.2, which shows the accident cleanup costs to be dominated by labor costs.

$$\Delta T \text{ escalated } \approx \sum \left[ Q_{j} L_{X} (1 + y)^{j} - Q_{j} L_{X} \right]$$
(6)

where:

△T escalated = total incremental escalations over the cleanup period Q<sub>i</sub> = annual expenditure in year (i) during the cleanup period L<sub>X</sub> = labor escalation factor from 1989 to Year X (1 + y)<sup>i</sup> = projected escalation factor through year (i) of the cleanup period

Because the labor escalation factor,  $L_{\chi}$ , is common to all terms in the sum, it may be factored out of the sum as shown below.

$$\Delta T \text{ escalated } = (L_X) \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i (1 + y)^i - Q_i$$
(7)  
or,  
$$\Delta T \text{ escalated } = L_X \Delta T$$
(8)

where  $\Delta \tau$  is the summation from Equation (7).

The value of the summation shown in Equation (7) is presented in Table 3.8, for escalation rates of 4% and 8%. The sum,  $\Delta \gamma$ , can be escalated from 1989 to year X by multiplying by the labor escalation factor,  $L_X$ , as shown by Equations (7) and (8).

Therefore, the projected incremental cost escalation,  $\Delta \gamma$ , for Cost Items 1, 2, and 3, during the cleanup period can be escalated beyond Year X using Equation (8). This quantity can be added to Equation (9), which is developed in the following section, to estimate the total cost of the scenario 3 accident cleanup at the reference BWR in Year X.

#### 4.0 DEVELOPMENT OF A COST ESCALATION FORMULA FOR ACCIDENT CLEANUP COSTS

The cost estimates for accident cleanup at the reference BWR were developed in 1981 dollars initially. Because a significant amount of escalation has occurred since that time, it has been necessary to update the estimated costs to reflect increases in the various components of those costs, with the results given in the previous section. As a result of performing these cost updates, it became apparent that the total costs could be divided into four principal components, as regards to cost escal tion. These components are:

- · labor and other components that escalate at the same rate as labor
- energy: electricity, fuei, and other components that escalate at the same rate as energy
- waste disposal: handling and burial charge: at a low-level waste disposal site
- nuclear insurance.

Assuming that the escalation factors for each of these components can be derived for any point in the future, relative to the 1989 data provided in this report, then the escalated accident cleanup cost is given by Equation (9).

Estimated Cost (Year X) = [(January 1989 Cost; (A  $L_X + B E_X + C B_X + D I_X)]$ +  $L_X \triangle T(1989$)$  (9)

where A, B, C, and D are fractions of the total cost in January 1989 dollars that are attributable to labor, energy, burial, and insurance, respectively, and sum to 1.0. As discussed in the previous section, addition of the last term,  $L_{X}\Delta T(1989\$)$ , which provides the means for escalating Cost Items 1, 2, and 3 (see Table 4.1) beyond year X, completes the formula for estimating total cleanup cost. The factors  $L_X$ ,  $E_X$ ,  $B_X$ , and  $I_X$  are defined below.

 $L_x = []$ abor cost escalation from 1989 to Year X]

 $E_x = [energy cost escalation from 1989 to Year X]$ 

 $B_x = [buria] \cos t$  escalation from 1989 to Year X]; i.e.,

[burial cost in Year X / burial cost in 1989]

 $I_x = [nuclear insurance cost escalation from 1989 to year X]$ 

Evaluation of  $B_X$  is to be provided to the licensees via NUREG-1307, a report issued periodically by the U.S. NRC, which contains the disposal rate schedules for each radioactive waste disposal site operating in the U.S. at the

#### TABLE 4.1. Distribution of Radioactive Waste Disposal Costs into Components that Escalate Proportional to Labor, Energy, and Burial Costs(a,b)

	-	MILLI	ons of Jan. 189 \$		and the second sec
Cleanup Operation Preparations for Accident Cleanup	Reference Table (c)	Container <u>Costs (Labor)</u> 0.069 0.085	Transportation <u>Costs (Enersy)</u> 0.016 0.070	Disposal <u>Costs (Burial)</u> D.466 D.854	<u>Totels (\$)</u> 0.551 1.009
Accident Cleanup in the Radwaste Building	6	0.374	0.259	1,503	2.136
Accident Cleanup in the Reactor Building and the Containment	8	13.163	3.719	13.373	30,255
Totals		13.691	4.064	16.196	33,951

(a) All costs include a 25% contingency.

(b) For Cost Item 1 from Table 3.7, based on the original estimates given in MUREC/CR-2601.

(c) Study estimate for all other wastes, except the spent fuel racks presented in Table 3.2.

time of report issuance, and values of  $B_X$  applicable to each operating site. Evaluation of  $L_X$  and  $E_X$  for years subsequent to 1989 is left to the licensees, based on the national consumer price indices and on local conditions at a given site, following the basic procedures given in NUREG-1307 Rev. 1.

Evaluation of  $I_X$  for years subsequent to 1989 is left to the licensees, based on insurance costs applicable to their facility. Evaluation of the coefficients A, B, C, and D is illustrated in the following tables and paragraphs.

The distribution of total disposal costs between container cost, transportation cost, and burial cost is illustrated in Table 4.1, with the costs given in January 1989 dollars for Cost Item 1 from Table 3.7, based on the original estimates given in NUREG/CR-2F91.

Evaluation of the coefficients A, B, C, and D in the accident cleanup cost escalation formula is presented here for the reference BWR. This evaluation is based on information presented in Tables 2.2, 3.7, and 4.1 of this report and in Tables A.11 and A.12 in Appendix A. The cost components that escalate similarly are grouped together in Table 4.2. The sum of those grouped costs is divided by the total cost of accident cleanup (sans incremental cost escalation) to obtain the fraction of the total cost attributable to that group of components. The analyses presented in Table 4.2 show the values of A, B, C, and D to be 0.90, 0.03, 0.02, and 0.05, respectively, for the reference BWR scenario 3 accident. TABLE 4.2. Derivation of Coefficients A, B, C, and D in the Postulated Accident Cleanup Cost Escalation Formula(a,b)

Cost Item (c) Number/Component	Millions of Jan. 189 \$	Coefficient Derivation
1/Labor	429.9	
2/Labor(d)	259.0	
3/Labor(d)	239.6	
Subtotal	928.5	A = 928.5/1028.9 = 0.90
1/Energy and Transportation	29.2	B = 29.2/1028.9 = 0.03
1/Burial	16.2	C = 16.2/1028.9 = 0.02
1/Insurance	55.0	D = 55.0/1028.9 = 0.05
Total(e)	1028.9	

- (a) All costs include a 25% contingency.(b) The cost information shown in this table is dervived from Tables 2.2, 3.7, and 4.1 and Tables A.11 and A.12 in Appendix A. (c) Cost Item Numbers are from Table 3.7.

- (d) Study estimate; see text for details.
  (e) Does not include Cost Item Number 4, Incremental Cost Escalation (see text for details).

APPENDIX A

### COST ESTIMATING BASES

#### APPENDIX A

#### COST ESTIMATING BASES

This appendix presents the cost data that was used to develop an updated cost estimate for a boiling water reactor (BWR) accident cleanup, assuming the maximum severity accident (i.e., scenario 3 as described in NUREG/CR-2601 by Murphy and Holter 1982). Categories for which basic cost data are presented include: labor, waste packaging, transportation, waste disposal, equipment, and services and supplies. The data presented are all January 1989 costs, whereas the parent document used an early-1981 cost base. The updating of costs from the 1981 to the 1989 cost base is discussed in Section A.8.

#### A.1 LABOR COSTS

Cost adjustment factors for staff labor were determined by using the January 1989 Handy Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs. Average values, determined by averaging cost escalation factors for building trades labor for the six regions of the United States defined by the Handy-Whitman index, were used in making the adjustments from 1981 to 1989 costs.

Salary data for the various accident cleanup and decommissioning staff members are listed in Table A.1. The base pay rates in Table A.1 are increased by 70% for nonunion employees and by 50% for union employees to account for such costs as fringe benefits, taxes, and insurance.

Labor costs shown in Table A.1 are representative of average labor costs across the U.S. rather than labor costs for a particular accident cleanup or decommissioning project at a given location. One decommissioning cost study (Manion and LaGuardia 1980) indicates that regional labor costs can deviate by as much as 17% from the national average. Costs at individual locations might deviate even more. In addition, the licensee costs will depend on the values used to estimate fringe benefits, taxes, insurance, and other overhead expenses.

#### A.2 WASTE PACKAGING COSTS

The costs of packaging radioactive waste materials prior to shipment to a shallow-land burial site or other authorized waste repository include the shipping container cost, the cost of additional shielding provided by overpacks and/or casks, and the cost of a solidifying or dewatering agent for radioactive liquids or wet wastes. These costs are discussed in the following subsections.

	Buse Pay	Assumed Overhead	Cost
Position	(\$/yr)	Rote (%)	(\$/41)
Management and Support Staff			
Plant Superintendent	69,950	78	118,915
Assistant Plant Superintendent	59,788	78	101,490
Decommissioning Superintendent	69,958	70	118,915
Decome issioning Engineer	69,788	78	181,498
Assistant Decompissioning Engineer	41,238	78	78,898
Secretary/Word Processor/Clerk	21.550	50	32,325
Construction Engineering Supervisor	47.888	78	61,400
Construction Engineer	40.978	70	69.650
Estimator	86,710	76	82,410
Draftsman/Engineering Technician	25.588	58	39,988
Health and Safety Supervisor	47,516	76	68,268
Health Physicist	\$8,975	78	82,860
Industrial Safety Specialist	41,230	76	70,000
Radinactive Shipment Specialist	38,855	78	82,455
Contracts and Accounting Supervisor	38,840	76	62,630
Accountant	38,725	78	52,235
Contracts/Insurance/Procurement Specialist	30,725	76	\$2,235
Security Subervisor	43,758	70	74,395
Security Shift Supervisor	26,738	78	48,848
Security Patrolean	22,610	58	33,915
Quality Assurance Supervisor	41,238	78	70,090
Ruality Assurance Engineer	38,975	78	62,860
Guality Assurance Technician	24,748	50	37,118
Plant Operations Supervisor	47,888	70	81.488
Plant Chesist	40.965	78	69,640
Chemist	36,718	78	62,410
Operations Engineer	48,968	78	89,840
Engineer	36,716	78	62,410
Operations Shift Supervisor	46,965	78	69,648
Senior Reactor Operator	36,710	78	
Reactor Operator	38,855	50	82,418
		76	48,285
Cleanup Superintendent	47,888	50	81,400
Warehouseesn/Attendant (Tool Crib, Protective Equipment) Consultant	24,740		37,110
conavitens	***	**	133,800
Cleanup and Decomplesioning Forkers			
Shift Supervisor/Shift Engineer	41,230	76	70,890
Craft Supervisor	38,975	78	62,860
Craftsmen/Instrument Technicisn/Waintenance Wechanic	28,730	50	43.095
Cree Loader/Foreean	34,980	78	59,470
Utility Operator	28,738	50	43.095
Laborer/Power Plant Helper	27,665	58	41,500
Senior Health Physics Technician	30,855	70	82,455
Health Physics Technician/Safet/ Technician	26,865	60	40,300

IndLE A.1. Labor Cost Data for Accident Cleanup and Decommissioning

(a) Adjusted to January 1989 (see text for details).

#### A.2.1 Shipping Container Costs

The shipping containers assumed to be used for packaging radioactive materials for disposal are listed in Table A.2. Because of increases in labor and material costs, some container costs have increased significantly since 1981. Insofar as possible, container costs were updated using actual 1989 costs determined by telephone contact with a supplier. For cases where this was not practicable, 1981 container costs were increased by the equipment escalation factor of 1.26.

Description	Burial Volume (m <sup>3</sup> )	1989 Estimated Unit Cost (\$)
Standard Steel Drum 0.21 m <sup>3</sup> , 23 kg empty	0.21	30
Small Steel Drum 0.11 m <sup>3</sup> . 18 kg empty	0.11	23
Polyethylene Drum Liner	(a)	25
Metal Box 1.2 m x 1.2 m x 2.4 m, 275 kg empty	3.46	1,100
Metal Box Specially Fabricated	Variable	$74/m^2$ of surface
Steel Cask Liner 0.63 m OD x 1.02 m high, 150 kg empty	0.33	630
Steel Cask Liner 1.38 m OD x 1.9 m high, 680 kg empty	2.84	3,100
Shielded Cask Liner 1.38 m OD x 1.9 m high	2.84	22,500
Stainless Steel Canister for Spent Fuel 0.35 m OD x 4.2 m high	0.40	7,600
Steel Box Specially Fabricated	Variable	365/m <sup>2</sup> of Surface

TABLE A.2. Unit Costs of Shipping Containers for Radioactive Materials

(a) Included in outer steel drum, no added burial volume.

#### A.2.2 Overpack and Cask Charges

Some packaged wastes with high surface dose rates require transport to a burial site in reusable overpacks or shielded casks. In general, it is more economical to rent such containers than to purchase them, especially the larger ones or those used infrequently or for a short time period. The overpacks and casks assumed for transportation of high activity or high surface dose rate decommissioning wastes are listed in Table A.3, together with physical characteristics and estimated rental charges.

#### A.2.3 Additional Shielding Costs

In some cases, additional shielding must be added to shipping containers to reduce surface radiation dose rates. The addition of this shielding is estimated to cost an average of \$1.97/kg, including labor and energy, based on the 1981 estimate used in NUREG/CR-2601, adjusted by a factor of 1.33.

#### TABLE A.3. Rental Charges for Reusable Shielded Casks

Description	Empty Weight (kg)	Daily Rental (\$)
Truck Cask for Spent Fuel (1 PWR or 2 BWR Assemblies)	22,000	800
1.24 m OD x 1.56 m high 150-mm Pb thickness (B3 cask)	9,300	225
1.63 m OD x 2.34 m high 100-mm Pb thickness	16,300	300
1.95 m OD x 1.04 m high 50-mm Pb thickness (7D-3L cask)	7,000	205
1.4 m x 1.4 m x 6.1 m shielded autoloader for metal boxes	16,400	300
2.44 m x 2.44 m x 6.10 m double-walled steel with fire resistant insulation (Super Tiger) $^{\prime}$	6,800	300
IF-300 Spent Fuel Rail Cask (7 PWR or 18 BWR Assemblies)	120,000	4,000

#### A.2.4 Solidifying Agent Costs

The solidifying agents assumed to be used for packaging of wet solid and liquid wastes are listed in Table A.4 together with their respective costs.

TABLE A.4. Solidifying Agenesis

Item	dstimated Unit Cost (\$)
Cement (45-kg bag)	8/bag
Diatomaceaous Earth (23-kg bag)	15/bag
Vinyl Ester Styrene (0.21-m <sup>3</sup> drum)	158/drum

#### A.3 TRANSPORTATION COSTS

Most radioactive wastes from cleanup and decommissioning operations are assumed to be transported to a disposal site by exclusive-use truck. The exception is the transport of spent fuel, which is assumed to be by rail. The transportation costs for both truck and rail shipments are discussed in the following subsections.

#### A.3.1 Shipment by Exclusive-Use Truck

Shipments of radioactive wastes to a shallow-land burial site or to an authorized waste repository are assumed to be by truck. Transportation costs for these shipments are based on the published rates of a carrier licensed to transport radioactive materials (ICC TSMT 1988). To compute transportation costs, the following assumptions are made:

- One-way shipping distance is 1600 km.
- Shipments not requiring casks or overpacks are separate one-way shipments destined for west of the Mississippi River (the highest rate category). Cask or overpack shipments are continuous excursion round-trips.
- Where applicable, overweight charges are computed at the rate for the state of Washington, and regulations and conditions governing overweight and oversize shipments in the state of Washington are assumed.

The rate schedule for truck shipments of legal size and weight that forms the basis for transportation costs in this study is shown in Table A.5. Overweight charges by states vary widely (ICC TSMT 1988). For this study, the maximum allowed GVW and the overweight charges for the state of Washington are assumed to apply. These overweight charges are shown in Table A.6. An additional surcharge of \$0.13 per km is imposed by the carrier for shipments with payloads greater than 21.77 Mg. Shipments with payloads in excess of 33.11 Mg require special equipment and special permission. Carrier charges for these shipments would have to be determined on a case-bycase basis.

The GVW of an unloaded exclusive-use van or tractor-trailer is assumed to be 14.52 Mg. Therefore the payload per shipment in an exclusive-use van is 21.77 Mg legal weight. Any vehicle exceeding 36.29 Mg GVW is considered to be overweight.

Oversize (as well as overweight) shipments may be required in certain instances. Table A.7 summaries the applicable requirements for oversize shipments on two-lane highways. The oversize shipments assumed in this study are estimated to cost \$1140/shipment more than legal-size shipments of the same weight. This additional cost covers the expense of special permits and escort cars.

Example shipping costs, calculated for several different payloads and for one-way and round-trip shipments, are shown in Table A.8. For a one-way 1600-km shipment, the base charge is that shown in Column 2 of Table A.5. To this must be added any applicable overweight charges shown in Table A.6, and any applicable oversize costs. Casks and overpacks are assumed to be picked up loaded at the site of accident cleanup operations, delivered to the disposal site to be unloaded, and then returned to the original site. Thus,

## <u>TABLE A.5</u>. Transportation Rates for Legal-Size and -Weight Shipments (effective January 19, 1988)

NO: 3000	Radi	NAME AND ADDRESS OF AD		R TRANSIT CO.				
50174:	Radi		ships an annumber of the statement of the	EAGE COMMODITY RAT	TS /Cont.	PROPERTY AND ADDRESS	and the second second	
obity.	Radi		and the second structure and the state					
SOITY:	Radi			ODITY RATES				
		Radirective Waste (low level) and empty containers therefor moving to or from points o						
	loading, unloading or storage. (For rates on non-radioactive hazardous waste, see Tri State Motor Transit Co. Tariff ICC TSMT 4033.)							
	5 (8 (8	Motor Int	nait Go. Tarim	NE IDMI AVADI				
EN:	All p	ounts in the	United State	s. except Alaska and Ha	wail as p	ublished in	Scope o	
	Oper	aung Right	published he	rein.				
		*	NATES IN GEN	IS PER MILE				
av Mileaga	Calumn	Soluma	Column	One Way Mileege	Course	Carumn	Caium	
H Övan		2	3	(Nat Over		1	3	
00	429	625	356	750	183	222	151	
1.1	453	487	332	800	175	216	151	
50	420	446	306 []	850	174	214	151	
70	384	412	284	900	172	212	1.5.1	
10 C	332	364					151	
25	314	348	247	And share or which are not used at a result of the latest sector of the sector of the	a second s	THE REPORT OF A DESIGNATION OF	151	
	301	234	230	1100	165	204	151	
			5				1.61	
00	COLUMN TRANSPORT	exemplation per per	arrantum manadatar. version	A THE REAL PROPERTY AND PROPERTY AND PROPERTY AND	d'anterest (" anterest	States and a second sec	151	
72.	267	302					161	
	2002.00						151	
	desease of a second sec		and residences are used as an address of the	NAME AND ADDRESS OF THE ADDRESS OF THE OWNER		and the second second second		
	- CCC - L						151	
2011			167	1900	165	192	151	
and the strength of the second se	and the second second second second second	CARTING AND STREET, SPIRIT	1.6.4	2000	165	101	151	
					165	190	151	
	201	239	158	2200	165	188	151	
00	196	235	151	2300	165	167	151	
	190	228	151	2400	165	186	151	
00 00	187	224	151	2500 5 Beyond	165	184	151	
	er Mileege r Over 00 25 50 70 00 25 50 75 00 25 50 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75 75	ar Mile aga         Column           or Ovan         1           00         429           25         453           50         420           70         384           00         232           25         314           50         301           75         287           00         275           25         249           00         237           25         230           50         219           75         214           20         201           25         219           75         214           20         201           20         196	ar Milesga         Calumn         Lalumn           tr Ovan         1         2           00         499         625           25         453         487           50         420         446           70         384         412           00         232         364           25         314         349           50         301         234           75         287         322           00         275         30P           25         214         349           50         301         234           75         287         322           60         258         295           75         249         284           00         237         273           25         230         257           50         219         257           75         214         351           00         206         244           60         201         239           00         196         235           50         190         228	AATES IN CEN           ar Mileage tr Oven         Caluinn I         Lolumn 2         Caluinn 3           00         499         525         356           25         453         487         232           50         420         448         306           70         384         412         284           00         232         364         260           25         314         349         247           50         301         334         230           75         287         322         216           00         275         30F         206           25         267         302         194           50         257         307         275           26         257         302         194           50         258         295         188           75         214         351         164           20         206         244         161           20         206         244         161           20         206         244         161           20         206         244         161           20<	t Over         1         2         3         (Nat Deet)           00 $4299$ $626$ $356$ $750$ 25 $453$ $487$ $352$ $800$ 50 $420$ $446$ $306$ $850$ 70 $384$ $412$ $284$ $900$ 00 $232$ $364$ $250$ $950$ 25 $314$ $349$ $247$ $1000$ 50 $301$ $234$ $230$ $1100$ 75 $287$ $322$ $216$ $1200$ 00 $275$ $30F$ $206$ $1300$ 25 $267$ $302$ $194$ $1400$ 50 $258$ $295$ $188$ $1500$ 75 $249$ $284$ $181$ $1600$ 50 $219$ $257$ $175$ $1700$ $50$ $219$ $257$ $167$ $1900$ $75$ $214$ $25$	ARATES IN CENTS PER MILE           ar Mileage tr Oven         Column         Column 1         Column 2         One Way Mileage (Rat Deet)         Column 1           00         499         525         356         750         183           25         453         487         332         800         175           50         420         448         306         850         174           70         384         412         284         900         172           00         232         364         260         950         169           25         314         349         247         1000         165           25         287         322         216         1200         165           25         287         322         216         1200         165           25         287         322         216         1200         165           26         267         302         194         1400         165           25         267         302         194         1400         165           25         230         257         172         1800         165           25	ARTES IN CENTS PER MILE           ar Mileage In Ovan         Calumn 1         Calumn 2         One Way Mileage IRel Oven         Column 1         Calumn 2           00         429         625         356         750         183         222           25         459         487         306         850         174         214           70         384         412         284         900         172         212           00         232         264         260         950         16B         209           25         314         349         247         1000         165         204           70         287         322         216         1200         165         208           25         314         349         247         1000         165         204           75         287         322         216         1200         165         199           25         314         349         247         1000         165         199           25         267         302         194         1400         165         199           25         267         302         194         1400	

-----

A.6

Issued by George Cain, Vice-Presidenc Traffic: P. O. Box 113, Joplin, MO 64802

## TABLE A.5. (contd)

All Property lies and lines.	
TENIN	CO. 2000 (Cont.) SECTION IL - MILEAGE COMMODITY RATES (Cont.)
NO1 (4)	(E5. (Continued) Subject to restriction. Column 3 rates apply only to continuous excursion moves in which a subsequent shipment is made available to carrier within 24 hours after arrival at point of loading or unloading. Only one stop in transit allowed under Column 3 rates. RESTRICTION: Column 3 rates will not apply in connection with shipments moving under item 520 deadhoad of special equipment application.
(6)	Minimum charge per tho to be computed on basis of 100 une-way miles.
(6)	(C) When temperature controlled van trailers or shielded van trailers are required, the rate shall be based on the round trip miles from point of origin to destination and return to point of origin. Column 3 rates shall exply unless trailer is not released to carner within 24 hours after arrivel at point of unloading in which case the inbound loaded movement and subsequent empty move shall be subject to the applicable Column 1 or Column 2 rates. When temperature control trailer is provided, a second driver is assigned and the charges in item 530 will apply.
	THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
The	THIS SPACE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
Ťha	

6.5

TABLE A.6. Additional Charges When the Payload Exceeds 21.77 Mg, Based on Rates for the State of Washington (a, b, c)

leig	aht (Mg)	Charge <u>(\$/km)</u>
to	25.85	0.062
to	28.57	0.124
to	31.29	0.186
to	34.01(d)	0.280
to	36.73(d)	0.466
to	39.45(C)	0.621
tu	42.18(d)	0.932
to	44.90(d)	1.087
er	than 44.90(d)	1.243
	to to to to to to	to 25.85 to 28.57 to 31.29 to 34.01(d) to 36.73(d) to 39.45(c) to 42.18(d) to 44.90(d) er than $44.90(d)$

- (a) A flat charge of \$25.00 is levied in addition to the charges shown in the table.
- From ICC TSMT 1988. (b)
- (c) The unloaded GVW for this study is assumed to be 14.52 Mg.
- (d) Normally require special equipment/permission.

TABLE A.7. Requirements for Oversize Truck Shipments(a)

Characteristic Dimension of Vehicle/ Load Combination	Special Permit Required in Excess of:	Escort Car Required in Excess of:	Maximum Allowed <u>Dimensions</u>
Width	2.44 m (8 ft)	3.05 m (10 ft)	4.27 m (14 ft)
Height	4.11 m (13.5 ft)	(b,c)	(b,c)
Length	19.81 m (65 ft)	30.48 m (100 ft)	(b)

3

(a) Based on regulations in the state of Washington for two-lane highways (Washington State Highways Commission 1974).(b) No specific requirement, but escort car may be required at discretion of

Highway Department.

(c) Heights exceeding 4.42 m (14.5 ft) are generally considered unacceptable because of the special routing and preparations required.

Status	Number of <u>Drivers</u>	Payload (Mg)	GVW (Mg)	Cost (\$)
Legal weight, one-way(a)	1	19.95	34.47	2,050
Legalweight, round-trip(b)	2	19.95	34.47	3,318
Legal weight, one-way(a)	1	21.77	36.29	2,050
Overweight, one-way(a)	1	25.85	38.55	2,383
Oversize and overweight, one-way(a)	1	25.85	38.55	3,523
Overweight, round-trip(c)	2	25.85	38.55	3,958

TABLE A.8. Example Shipping Costs of Truck Shipments

(a) 1500-km distance.

(b) Shipments involving casks or overpacks, charges computed on the basis of two 1600-km trips.

(c) Shipments involving casks or overpacks, with overweight charges applicable both directions. Charges computed on the basis of two 1600-km trips.

each 3200-km round trip consists of two 1600-km one-way moves, with charges based on the continuous excursion rates shown in Column 3 of Table A.5. From the reference rate schedule, the basic charge for the round trip is \$3,020. Applicable overweight charges must also be added. To ensure rapid turnaround on these shipments and to minimize cask rental charges, a second driver is assumed to be used, costing an additional \$0.093/km.

#### A.3.2 Shipment by Rail

Formulas have been developed for use in estimating rail transportation costs for the federal waste management system. These formulas are presented in the Transportation System Data Base (DOE 1989) and are summarized here.

Rail Transport Algorithms

Speed (mph) for general freight = (0.11915) D(0.541)

Speed (mph) for dedicated train = (0.17873) D(0.541)

Transport Cost (\$/Shipment) = [(9/40)(0.1616) D(0.586) ( $W_1 + W_F$ ) n] + F D

Security Cost (\$/Shipment) = 0.76 D + [500 D {[1/(24 RS1)] +

[1/(24 RSF)])] + 500 T

where D = one-way distance in miles

- W<sub>1</sub> = loaded cask weight (nundredweight)
- Wr = empty cask weight (hundredweight)
- RSL = loaded shipment speed
- RSF = empty shipment speed
  - n = number of casks per shipment
  - F = 0 for roundtrip, 48 for dedicated shipments from-reactors and general freight shipment back to reactors, 96 for dedicated roundtrip from-MRS or from-defense site shipments
  - T = turnaround time at origin (days).

It is not clear that a shipment containing only greater-than-Class C (GTCC) wastes would require any security escorts, as those requirements are intended for safeguarding the special nuclear materials contained in spent fuel.

Shipment by rail is assumed for the spent fuel removed from the reactor core during accident cleanup. Assuming a round-trip distance of 3200 km, the shipping cost (based on the rail transport algorithms) is estimated to be about \$61,000, including security costs of about \$7,300 for a rail car carrying a GE IF-300 cask.

#### A.4 WASTE DISPOSAL COSTS

A basic assumption of this study is that nearly all of the radioactive material resulting from cleanup (and decommissioning) of the reference reactor can be disposed of by burial at a commercial shallow-land burial facility. The only exceptions are the undamaged spent fuel, which is assumed to be placed in extended storage at an independent spont fuel storage installation (ISFSI), and the high-activity waste from accident-water processing and the damaged fuel assemblies and fuel core debris, which are assumed to be placed in interim storage at a federal facility. The unit costs of waste disposal are given in the following subsections.

#### A.4.1 Shallow-Land Burial

The shallow-land burial costs used in this study are based on an August 17, 1987 price list from U.S. Ecology, Inc., which operates burial sites at Richland, Washington, and Beatty, Nevada. These rates were still in effect for January 1989 and are shown in Table A.9. TABLE A.9. Commercial Shallow-Land Burial Charges(a,b)

- I. DISPOSAL CHARGES, NON-TRU WASTE
  - A. Packages 12.8 ft3 each or less

				(c)		ce/Unit me (\$/#3)
	00	to		28		1845
0.	201	20	1	50		1098
1.	61	20	2	.00		1139
2.	81	to	δ	88		:163
δ.	01	to	10	98		1298
10.	61	to	20	.00		1412
28	01	10	48	88		1589
48	01	to	60	00	By	Request
68	01	to	80	80		Request
80	61	to	100	.00		Request
1000		>1			By	

B. Disposable Liners Ramoved from Shield (greater than 12.0 ft3 mach)

Container Surface Dose Rate (R/hr)(¢)	Surcharge/Liner (\$)	Price/Unit Volume (1/m3)
0.00 to 0.20	None	1046
0.201 to 1.00	193.50	1645
1.01 te 2.38	441.00	1845
2.01 to 5.00	747.00	1845
5.61 to 10.00	1,192.50	1846
10.61 to 20.00	1,568.00	1645
28.01 to 40.00	1,791.00	1045
48.61 to 68.80	By Request	By Request
50.01 to 80.00	By Request	By Request
88.01 to 198.00	By Request	By Request
>100	By Request	By Request

**II. SURCHARGES** 

A. State of Washington Surcharpe:

- B. Curie Surcharge (per load): Less than 100 curies 101 to 300 curies 301 to License Limits (i.e., 50,000 Ci)
- C. Handling Surcharge: Ø - 4.54 Mg 34.54 Mg Special Equipment
- D. Cask Handling Fee:

\$786.28/#3 for those generators outside the NV Compact

No charge \$1,569, plus \$0.21/Ci above 100 Ci by request By request

No charge \$215.52 plus \$0.10/15 shove 10,000 lb/package By special quotation

\$550 minimum/ceak

(a) Reproduced from the published rates of a licensed burial ground operator (U.S. Ecology 1987).

(b) Prices effective August 17, 1987 through January 1989.

(c) Waximum reading at container surface, irrespective of physical mize or configuration.

#### A.4.2 Disposal of Wastes at a Federal Repository

At the present time, only shallow-land burial grounds are available for the disposal of commercial radioactive wastes. As explained in Sections 5.3.3 and D.5.2 of Murphy and Holter (1982), some wastes from the post-accident cleanup and decommissioning of a light water reactor (LWR) may not meet the acceptance criteria set forth in 10 CFR Part 61 for disposal by shallow-land burial. No regulatory framework has yet been developed to specifically address the disposal of wastes that are not acceptable for near-surface disposal. Accordingly, the disposition of these wastes may have to be determined on a case-by-case basis. Under the terms of a Memorandum of Understanding (1982) between the NRC and the DOE, DOE has agreed to assume responsibility for the storage and disposal of the damaged fuel core and other highly radioactive wastes from decontamination activities at TMI-2. The costs of disposition will ultimately be determined under an agreement to be negotiated between DOE and the owner.

Since a high-level waste repository does not presently exist, in this study, the high-activity wastes resulting from processing of the accident water and the damaged fuel assemblies and fuel core debris removed from the reactor during post-accident cleanup are assumed to be sent to a federal facility for interim storage. Storage and disposal costs at a federal facility have not been established at the time this report is being written. A recent draft study (Clark and Engel 1989) of DOE's spent fuel program gives \$332/kg U as the estimated unit cost of disposal of spent fuel at a federal repository. This unit cost is the basis for the estimated spent fuel disposal costs given in this study. The disposal cost of a BWR assembly (189 kg U) is estimated to be about \$63,000.

Estimated storage costs of other wastes postulated to be sent to a federal repository are chosen to be consistent with the spent fuel costs given above. Wastes from accident-water processing are assumed to be packaged in 0.3-m<sup>3</sup> cask liners for which estimated interim storage costs are \$3600/liner. Evaporator bottoms and irradiated hardware are assumed to be packaged in 2.85-m<sup>3</sup> steel liners for which estimated interim storage costs are \$14,000/ liner. The fuel core debris is assumed to be packaged in stainless steel canisters costing about \$36,000/canister to store.

<u>NOTE</u>: As a result of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act of 1982 and subsequent amendment, the U.S. Department of Energy is obligated to receive and dispose of commercial nuclear fuel. The cost (i.e., cask rental, transportation, and disposal) are covered by the 1 mil/kWh waste disposal fee delineated in the Act. Therefore, while these costs have been estimated and updated to January 1989 dollars for this report, these costs are <u>not</u> included in the total costs for accident cleanup given in the letter report.

#### A.5 EQUIPMENT COSTS

Equipment costs from the 1981 data base have been reviewed and updated as appropriate to reflect 1989 costs. Costs of selected construction-type items (hoists, cranes, lifts, etc.) are based on costs shown in the 1989 catalog of building construction costs published by the R. S. Means Company (1989). Other equipment costs were escalated based on national average cost escalation values for capital equipment obtained from the U.S. Department of Labor publication, "Producer Prices and Price Indexes." Equipment costs are shown in Table A.10.

TABLE A.10.	Special	Tools and	Equipment
IMPER MITTA	0000101	. VVI 0 MIN	PERTERIE

Item	Estimated Unit Cost (January 1989 \$)
Underwater Manipulator Underwater Plasma-Arc Torch Underwater Oxyacetylene Torch Arc Saw Portable Plasma-Arc Torch Portable Oxyacetylene Torch Guillotine Pipe Saw Power-Operated Reciprocating Hacksaw Nibbler Closed Circuit TV System Submersible Pump with Disposable Filter High-Pressure Water Jet Mobile Chemical Decontamination Unit Mobile Chemical Decontamination Unit Powered Floor Scrubber Wet-Dry Vacuum Cleaner (HEPA Filtered) Supplied-Air Plastic Suit Respirator Facepiece Shielded Vehicle with Manipulator Arms and Interchangeable Toois Power-Operated Mobile Manlift 9100-kg Mobile Hydraulic Crane 9100-kg Forklift Concrete Drill with HEPA Filtered Dust Collection System Concrete Surface Spaller Front-End Loader (Light Duty) Portable Filtered Ventilation Enclosure Filtered-Exhaust Fan Unit Blasting Mat Polyurethane Foam Generator Paint Sprayer Disposable Ion Exchange Liners HEPA Filter Roughing Filter Waste Compactor	1,260,000 25,000 6,300 151,000 25,000 1,300 1,300 1,300 13,000-126,000(a) 2,500 25,200 25,200 6,300 400 1,300-6,300(a) 65 125 151,000 50,400 35,300 2,500 2,
Incinerator	126,000-378,000(b)

(a) Depends on size and complexity.(b) Depends on capacity of system.

#### A.6 SERVICES AND SUPPLIES

Various types of services and supplies are required for accident cleanup and decommissioning. The estimated unit costs of the major items are discussed here.

#### A.6.1 Electricity

A principal services cost item is electric power. Costs of electric power vary widely with location and usage rate. In this study, a unit wholesale cost of \$0.033/kWh, or \$33/MWh, is assumed for electricity.

#### A.6.2 Fuel Oil

Another energy service cost item is fuel oil. A unit cost of  $161/m^3$  (\$0.61/gal) is assumed for fuel oil.

#### A.6.3 Decontamination Chemicals

The unit costs of the chemicals used for the EDTA/oxalic/citric acid solution for the decontamination of internal surfaces of the reactor coolant system are estimated to be:

- EDTA \$1.56/kg
- Oxalic Acid \$2.09/kg
- Citric Acid \$2.20/kg.For a mixture of these three chemicals, onethird each by weight, the cost is \$1.95/kg.

The unit costs of the chemicals used to make up the oxalic-peroxidegluconic (OPG) solution are estimated to be:

- Oxalic Acid \$2.09/kg
- Hydrogen Peroxide \$2.57/kg
- Gluconic Acid \$2.92/kg
- Sodium Gluconate \$1.21/kg.

For the OPG solution of specified concentration [see Section E.4.1 of Murphy and Holter (1982) for the chemical composition of OPG solution], the total unit cost for chemicals is  $67.68/m^3$  of solution.

#### A.6.4. Ion Exchange Resins

The disposable ion exchange liners used in the submerged demineralizer system are estimated to cost \$6300 each, including the zeolite resins, the

canister, and the necessary hardware to seal the unit for disposal. For the other ion exchange resins required, an average unit cost of  $$6300/m^3$  is also assumed.

## A.7 COSTS OF NUCLEAR INSURANCE AND REGULATORY FEES

The estimated cost of nuclear liability insurance and of regulatory fees required during preparations for accident cleanup are shown in Table A.11. These costs are estimated to total about \$19.7 million following the scenario 3 accident.

The estimated NRC fees shown in the table are a study estimate adapted from information contained in Code of Federal Regulations, 10 CFR Part 170.21. They include the cost of application fee (\$150) and cost for review and approval of proposed license amendment, preparation of preliminary significance and hazards analyses, and preparation and publication of <u>Federal</u> <u>Register</u> notice (total estimated cost: about \$164,600). Virtually all of these one-time administrative costs will ultimately be passed on to the licensee under the NRC's fee recovery program. The amount shown in the table represents a minimum estimate. Should the submittals be incomplete, or should difficulties be encountered during the accident cleanup operations, this estimated cost could increase significantly.

#### <u>TABLE A.11</u>. Estimated Costs of Property Damage Insurance, Nuclear Liability Insurance, and Regulatory Fees During Preparations for Accident Cleanup

Category	<u>Unit Cost, \$</u>	Total Cost(a) Preparations for Cleanup Following Scenario 3 Accident
Property Damage Insurance	4,690,000/yr	14,070,000
Nuclear Liability Insurance	609,000/yr	1,827,000
License Fees(b)	164,800	164,800
Routine Health, Safety, and Envi- ronmental Inspections; Routine Safeguards Inspections	1,200,000/yr	3,600,000

Total Costs

19,661,800

(a) Costs are in 1989 dollars.

(b) Adapted from 10 CFR 170.21.

The property damage insurance and nuclear liability insurance costs presented in Table A.11 are based on conversations with a representative of the Washington Public Power Supply System for the reference BWR power station for 1989.

In addition, the cost of inspections shown in Table A.11 is based upon the current annual licensing fee for WNP-2. The fee bears a reasonable relationship to regulatory services performed by the NRC (Tri-City Herald 1989). Similar fees during accident cleanup are assumed for this cost update.

The bases used to estimate the costs of nuclear liability insurance and of regulatory fees required during accident cleanup are the same as those previously described for preparations for accident cleanup. For accident cleanup of the reference BWR, these costs are estimated to be about \$34.4 million following the Scenario 3 accident (see Table A.12).

#### A.8 COST UPDATING FROM 1981 to 1989 COST BASE

As noted previously, the cost data used in this cost update are all January 1989 costs, while the parent decommissioning study used a 1981 cost base. To facilitate comparisons between the costs reported in this study and presented in the previous study, appropriate factors for adjusting costs from the 1981 data base to the 1989 base are presented by cost category in Table A.13. These cost updating factors are based on an analysis of cost indices and other measures of actual cost escalations over the period in question. The cost updating factors are rounded to three significant figures.

TABLE A.12. Estimated Costs of Property Damage Insurance, Nuclear Liability Insurance, and Regulatory Fees During Accident Cleanup

Category	<u>Unit Cost, \$</u>	Total Cost(a) Cleanup Following Scenario 3 Accident
Property Damage Insurance	4,690,000/yr	24,857,000
Nuclear Liability Insurance	609,000/yr	3,227,700
Routine Health, Safety, and Envi- ronmental Inspections; Routine Safeguards Inspections	1,200,000/yr	6,360,000

Total Costs

34,44,700

.

(a) Costs are in 1989 dollars.

TABLE A.13. Decommissioning Cost Updating Factors: 1981 to 1989

Cost Category	Cost Adjustment Factor Applied to 1981 Costs
Staff Labor	1.33
Waste Management Container Costs Transportation Costs	See rationale (Section A.2.1)
Truck Rail Burial Site Costs	See rationale (Section A.3.1) See rationale (Section A.3.2) See rationale (Section A.4)
Energy Electricity Fuel Oil Special Tools and Equipment Miscellaneous Supplies Specialty Contractors Nuclear Insurance Regulatory Fees	1.32(a) 0.61(a) 1.26(a) 1.26(a) 1.33 See rationale (Section A.7) See rationale (Section A.7)

(a) Based on cost data from U.S. Department of Labor publication, "Producer Prices and Price Indexes."

Most of the unit cost information in this study is developed from essentially the same sources as the unit cost information in the parent decommissioning study and, thus, the cost updating factors presented in Table A.13 are based on cost escalations shown by these sources. Actual cost escalations during the period are likely to vary from area to area. In addition, different sources of information may report somewhat different values for cost escalations over the same period. Therefore, care should be taken to ensure the use of appropriate data in escalating costs for any specific project.

#### A.9 <u>REFERENCES</u>

Handy-Whitman Index of Public Utility Construction Costs, Bulletin No. 129, Section B-1, January 1, 1989.

ICC TSMT 4007-A, 1988. <u>Naming Specific Truckload Commodity Rates-Also</u> <u>Mileage Truckload Commodity Rates Applying on Radioactive MAterials, Related</u> <u>Articles Classified or Declared Sensitive by the U.S. Government, and Empty</u> <u>Radioactive Material Containers From, To, Between All Points and Places in</u> <u>the United States Except Alaska and Hawaii</u>, Tri-State Motor Transit Co., Joplin, Missouri. "Memorandum of Understanding Between the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the U.S. Department of Energy Concerning the Removal and Disposition of Solid Nuclear Wastes from Cleanup of the Three Mile Island Unit 2 Nuclear Plant," March 15, 1982.

Murphy, E. S., and G. M. Holter. 1982. <u>Technology, Safety and Costs of</u> <u>Decommissioning Reference Light Water Reactors Following Postulated</u> <u>Accidents</u>. NUREG/CR-2601, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Report by Pacific Northwest Laboratory, Richland, Washington.

Regulations and Conditions Governing Overweight and Over-Dimension Vehicle Permits, Department of Highways, Olympia, Washington.

Tri-City Herald. 1989. <u>Justices O.K. NRC Fees on A-Plants</u>, p. Al, May 2, 1989. Kennewick, Washington.

U.S. Department of Energy (DOE). 1989. <u>Transportation System Data Base:</u> <u>Baseline Transportation Data for the Civilian Radioactive Waste Management</u> <u>Program</u>, August 1989, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

U.S. Ecology, Inc. 1987. <u>Washington Nuclear Center Schedule of Charges</u>. Washington State Highway Commission. 1974.

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA SHEET (See instructions on the reverse)	N 1. REPORT NUMBER (Assigned by NRC Add Vol., Supp., Rev., and Addendum Numbers, if any.) NUREG/CR+2601 Addendum 1
Reference Light Water Reactors Following Postulated Accidents	3 DATE REPORT PUBLISHED
Re-evaluation of the Cleanup Cost for the Boiling Water Reactor (BWR) Scenario 3 Accident from NUREG/CR-2601	MONTH VEAR December 1990 4 FIN OR GRANT NUMBER L 1233
G. J. Konzek R. I. Smith	6. TYPE OF REPORT Technical 7. PERIOD COVERED (Impounder Dates)
B PERFORMING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS III NRC provide Division. Office of Region U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Name and mailing address Pacific Northwest Laboratory Richland, WA 99352	communication and mailing address of contractor, provide
9. SPONSORING ORGANIZATION - NAME AND ADDRESS (IF NRC 1700 "Some # above" (Contractor provide NRC Davision C Division of Regulatory Applications Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555	Hice of Region, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
10. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES	
The estimated costs for post-accident cleanup at the re- (developed previously in NUREG/CR-2601, <u>Technology</u> , <u>Safety at Decommissioning Reference Light Water Reactors Following Pes</u> <u>Accidents</u> ) are updated to January 1989 dollars in this report formula for escalating post-accident cleanup costs is also put Accident cleanup following the most severe accident described 2601 (i.e., the Scenario 3 accident) is estimated to cost from \$1.44 billion, in 1989 dollars, for assumed escalation rates the years following 1989. The time to accomplish cleanup ref from the 8.3 years originally estimated. No reanalysis of c tion on the technical aspects of TMI-2 cleanup has been perfi- cost of inflation has been evaluated since the original PNL completed.	nd Costs of tulated t. A simple resented. d in NUREG/CR- om \$1.22 to of 4% or 8% in mained unchanged urrent informa- ormed. Only the analysis was
12. KEY WORDS/DESCRIPTORS/Lui words of phrases that will askit researchers in locating the report. I decommissioning, cost estimate, comparison	13 AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

THIS DOCUMENT WAS PRINTED USING RECYCLED PAPER.

# UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555

SPECIAL FOURTH CLASS RATE POSTAGE & FEES PAID USNRC PERMIT No. G-87

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FUR PRIVATE USE, \$300

and the second

12055-130531 1 1AN19E110 US N.C.-LADM DIV FOIA & PUBLICATIONS SVCS TPS FOR-NUREG S-221 WASHINGTON FC 205

NUKEG/LH-2001, Add.

ġ

DECEMBER 1990