

Telephone (412) 393-6000

December 21, 1990 ND3MNO:3079

Beaver Valley Power Station, Unit No. 2 Docket No. 50-412, License No. NPF-73 LER 90-024-00

United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Gentlemen:

In accordance with Appendix A, Beaver Valley Technical Specifications, the following Licensee Event Report is submitted:

LER 90-024-00 , 10 CFR 50.73.a.2.i , "Missed Surveillance - Boric Acid Storage Tank Samples ".

Very truly yours,

K.L. Ostrowski for

T. P. Noonan General Manager

Nuclear Operations

DW/dh

Attachment

1/222

9012280136 901220 PDR ADOCK 05000412 S PDR Decr 21, 1990 ND3MNO:3079 Page two

cc: Mr. T. T. Martin, Egional Administrator United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region 1 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, PA 19406

C. A. Roteck, Ohio Edison 76 S. Main Street Akron, OH 44308

Mr. A. DeAgazio, BVPS Licensing Project Manager United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

J. Beall, Nuclear Regulatory Commission, BVPS Senior Resident Inspector

Larry Beck Cleveland Electric 6200 Oak Tree Blvd. Independence, Ohio 44101

INPO Records Center Suite 1500 1100 Circle 75 Parker Atlanta, GA 30339

G. E. Muckle, Factory Mutual Engineering 680 Anderson Drive #BLD10 Pittsburgh, PA 15220-2773

Mr. J. N. Steinmetz, Operating Plant Projects Manager Mid Atlantic Area Westing ouse Electric Corporation Energy Systems Service Division Box 355 Pittsburgh, PA 15230

Mr. Richard Janati Department of Environmental Resources P. O. Box 2063 16th Floor, Fulton Building Harrisburg, PA 17120

Director, Safety Evaluation & Control Virginia Electric & Power Co. P.O. Box 26666 One James River Ilaza Richmond, VA 23261

December 21, 1990 ND3MNO:3079 Page three

> W. Hartley Management Analysis Company 112671 High Bluff Drive San Diego, CA 92130-2025

J. M. Riddle
NUS Operating Service Corporation
Park West II
Cliff Mine Road
Protsburgh, PA 15275

#### APPROVED DMB NO. 0150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST 80.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS RECARDING BURDEN 8STIM. TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (F530), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 2055, AND TO THE PARRWORK REDUCTY. N PROJECT (3150.0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

FACILITY	NAME !	1)																	DO	OC 8	ETN	UMPE	4	2				P ;	AGE (3)		
Beave															1	5	0 10	0 1	0	4	111	2	1 0	F 0 13							
TITLE (4					Marie Control	- Charles	*******	minerio in		-		of Congress		- Andrews			-	-	nemikens				- A	-	hancon	heimend	minish				
Misse	d Surv	veillan	ce -	- Bo	ric i	Aci	a St	ora	ge 7	ran	k San	nple	es :																		
EVENT DATE (6) LER NUMPER (6)											REPORT DATE (7) STHEE									FACILITIES INVOLVED (8)											
MONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER								MONTH	0	AY	YE	AR		FAI	6.5			T	DOCKET NUMBER(S)												
			-	-	-		A Carrier	-								N/A								0	5	0	0	0			
1  1	2   1	9 10	9	0	- (	0   2	4	-	- 0	0	112	2	0	9	0									0	5	0	0	01	L		
	BATING		THI	E REPO	ORT IS	5 5 0 8	MITT	ED PL	URSUA	ANI T	6 -	11.00	(ET*4	4317	99 10	CFR & (C	heck or	ne or	nore a	the	falla	wing/	(11								
MODE (9) 4 20.402(6)										20.406	(0)					bv. 13	3(a)(2)	(w)					73.71(b)								
	POWER 20.406(a)(1)(i) 20.406(a)(1)(ii) 20.406(a)(1)(iii)									50.36	01(1)					50.73	i(a)(2)	(v)					73.71(e)								
										50.36°:21(2) 50.73(x)(3)(vii)													OTHER (Specify in Abstract below and in Taxt, NRC Form								
20.406(a)(1)(iii) X									X	50.73(a)(2)(i) 50.73(a)(2)(viii									į.			1			6A/						
20.406(a)(1)(iv)							\$0.73(a)(2)(ii) \$0.73(i								73(a)(2)(viii)(B)																
	20.406(a)(1)(v)							50.73(a)(21(x)) 50.73(a)(21(x)								(x)								-							
										- 4	ICENSEE	CON	TACT	FOR	THIS	LER (12)															
NAME																								TELE	PHO	NE N	UMB	ER			
																						000									
T.P. N	voona	n, Ger	nera	al Ma	ana	ger	Nuc	olea	ar O	per	atio, is	3									4	1 2		6	4	3	-	1 2	15 18		
						COM	PLFTE	ONE	ELINE	FOR	EACH C	OMPO	DNEN	TFA	LURE	DESCRIBE	DINT	HIS R	EPORT	(1	3)	-			-			********	**************************************		
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONE	ΥT	M.A.	NUFA	NO.		PORTA O NPR					c	AUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT		NT	MANUFAC TURER						PRDS					
A	CB	BTKN																													
																					a maka a saka										
			1		_	_		_				Anna Anna			and the second			-			-	1	1			MON	1	DAY	YEAR		
-	atreses and a	-			-	SUF	PLEM	ENTA	AL RE	PORT	EXPECT	EC (	(4)	*****					-			EXPRO				MUN		DAY	TEAN		
YE	S (If yes, c		XPEC	-	m.meanure	NAME AND ADDRESS OF	and the same of	*****					NO									DATE						1			

The unit #2 Boric Acid Storage Tanks (2CHS-TK21A & 2CHS-TK21B) were sampled on Monday, 11/12/90, in preparation for entry into Mode 4 (Hot Shutdown) following the second refueling outage. The concentration of the boric acid in each tank was verified to be within the 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm range required by Technical Specifications. Boric Acid Storage Tank samples are normally scheduled for their Technical Specification weekly samples on Wednesdays. On Wednesday 12/14/90 the samples were not taken as they normally would be because they were just done on the previous Monday. On Monday 11/19/90 it was not realized that the tanks were due to be sampled. The tanks were sampled again on Wednesday (as they normally would be) 11/21/90 at 0912 hours for tank 21A and at 2155 hours for tank 21B. The boric acid concentration in each tank was again verified to be within the 7000 to 7700 ppm range. It was at this time that it was noticed that the time between samples had exceeded the seven day surveillance as required by our Technical Specifications including the 25% grace

period. There were no safety implications due to this event.

NRC FORM 366A

U.S. NUCLEAR REQULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO 3180-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST, 50 D HRE FORWARD COMMENTS RECOADED BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECOADE AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-53D). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20655, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3)50-0104, OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET WASHINGTON, DC 20603.

FACILITY NAME (5)	DO	CKE	TNU	INB	ER I	2)	R TORKS	-	***********	PR-201110	-	LE	RNU	MEER	(6)	-	-		NAME OF THE OWNER, OWNE	P.	GE (	3)	-
										YE	ŘŘ		SEQU	ENT	AL R		REVIS	ION					
Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 2	0	5	10		0	0	4	1	2	9	0	_	0	2	4	-	0	0	Ü	2	OF	0	3

TEXT Iff more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's/ (17)

# Description of Event

On 11/12/90 (Monday) Unit #2 was in mode 5 (Cold Shutdown), preparing to enter mode 4 (Hot Shutdown), following its second refueling outage. The Boric Acid Storage Tanks (2CHS-TK-21A and 21B) were sampled at 0410 and 0415 hours, respectively, in anticipation of the unit going into mode 4 later that same day. Technical Specification Surveillance 4.1.2.8.a.1 requires weekly samples which are normally scheduled to be taken every Wednesday. The concentration of the boric acid in each tank was verified to be within the 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm range required by Technical Specifications. On 11/14/90 (Wednesday) these samples were not taken, as they normally would have been, since they had just been sampled on the previous Monday. On Monday, 11/19/90, seven days following the last sample, it was not realized + 11 sampled. The tanks were sampled again that the tanks were on 11/21/90 (Wednesday), they normally would be, at 0912 hours for tank 21A and at 2155 hours for tank 21B. The Boric Acid concentration in each tank was again verified to be within the 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm It was at this time that it was noticed that the time between samples had exceeded that allowed by our Technical Specifications including the grace period of 25%.

#### Cause of Event

The Boric Acid Storage Tank samples are normally taken every Wednesday. Samples were taken on 11/12/90, a Monday, due to the plant preparing to enter mode 4. The Chemistry Technical Specification Status Board was not updated to reflect this abnormal evolution. The status board is used by the chemists to track the performance dates and the due dates of all the samples to be performed. As a result, on the following Monday, 11/19/90, there was no obvious indicator to alert the Chemist that these samples were due this day. On Modnesday, 11/21/90, the samples were taken as they normally would be. It was at this time that it was noticed, through supervisory review, that the time between samples had exceeded that allowed by our Technical Specifications.

# NRC FORM 366A

#### U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY CO. MISSION

APPROVED OMB NO 3150-0104 EXPIRES 4/30/92

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST, 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE RECORDS AND REPORTS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (P-530). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20656, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3180-0104). OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20603.

FACILITY NAME (1)	DO	CKE	T	NUN	BEF	(2)	mane	-	1000,200,00	-	-		LE	R NUR	ABEF	(6)	manuta 4	and the second		MARKAGA .	P	AGE	3)	
											YE	k Pi		SEGU	ENT	AL		REVIS	BER					
Beaver Valley Power Station Unit 2	0	15		0	0	0	1	4	1	2	9	0		0	2	4	-	0	0	0	3	OF	0	3

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

# Corrective Actions

- 1. Chemistry supervision has stressed to its personnel the importance of keeping the Technical Specification Status Board up-to-date with accurate information.
- This item shall be reviewed by all chemists / analysts during their continuing training.
- 3. The Technical Specification Status Board was reviewed and all other entries were verified to be up-to-date and accurate.
- 4. All additions made to the Boric Acid Storage Tanks from the Boric Acid Batch Tanks were verified to be within the 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm range required.

### Previous Similar Events

In the most recent previous similar event, documented in LER 1-87-016, the Chemistry Dept. failed to analyze the Diesel fuel oil within the required time limit. There are three other previous similar events. They are documented in LER 1-80-064, LER 1-80-053, LER 1-79-043.

#### Safety Evaluation

There were no safety implications due to this event. Both tanks were verified to be within the Technical Specification allowable range of 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm both before and after this time period. Operations had added Boric Acid to tank 21A during this time period, but by procedure (OM 2.7.4.I), the addition was sampled and was within the same 7000 ppm to 7700 ppm range before it was transfered to the Boric Acid Storage Tank.