OPERATING DATA REPORT

DOCKET NO. 50-287

DATE 1-15-82

COMPLETED BY J. A. Reavis
TELEPHONE 704-373-8552

Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe):	899 860	Notes Year-to-date and capacity factors lated using a we average for maxidependable capacity dependable capacity.	s are calcu- eighted imum city.
	MWe): None		
Reasons For Restrictions, If Any:			
*			-
	This Month	Yrto-Date	Cumulative
	744.0	8,760.0	61,752.
		6,910.8	45,313.
	744.0	6,837.1	44,316.
	-	-	
	1.888.596	17,214,398	107,518,73
		5,915,600	37,146,814
		5,637,081	35,351,47
The state of the s	100.0	78.1	71.8
	100.0	78.1	71.8
	97.2	74.8	66.3
	94.4	72.6	64.6
	0.0	2.5	15.0
Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Ty	pe. Date, and Duration	of Each):	
	Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): Maximum Dependable Capacity Ratings (Items Net None Maximum Dependable Capacity Ratings (Items Net None Maximum Dependable Capacity Ratings (Items Net) Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): Maximum Dependable Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net) Maximum Dependable Capacity Factor (Using DER Net)	Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 2568 Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 934 Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 886 Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 899 Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 860 If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Sin None Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe): None Reasons For Restrictions, If Any: This Month Hours In Reporting Period 744.0 Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours Hours Generator On-Line 744.0 Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) 649,620 Init Service Factor 100.0 Init Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net) 97.2 Init Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net) 94.4 Init Forced Outage Rate hutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of the Init Forced Outage Rate thutdowns Schedule	Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): 2568 Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 934 Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): 886 Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): 860 Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): 860 If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since Last Report. Give Reasons For Restrictions, If Any: This Month Yrto-Date Power Level To Which Restricted, If Any (Net MWe): None Reasons For Restrictions, If Any: This Month Yrto-Date This Month Yrto-Date Additional Reporting Period 744.0 8,760.0 6,910.8 744.0 6,910.8 744.0 6,910.8 744.0 6,910.8 744.0 6,837.1 744.0 744.

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

DOCKET NO. UNIT NAME Oconee Unit COMPLETED BY

50-287

DATE 1-15-82

J. A. Reavis 704-373-8552 TELEPHONE

REPORT MONIII _ December, 1981

No.	Date	Typel	Duration (Hours)	Reason,	Method of Shutting Down Reactor?	Licensee Event Report #	System Cude+	Component Code5	Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
18-р	81-12-04	F	-	В			нс	нтехсн	Power reduced to isolate condenser water box to check for possible tube leak.
19-р	81-12-14	F	•	A			СВ	INSTRU	Power reduced due to reactor coolant flow instrumentation problem.

1 Forced

S. Scheduled

Reason

A Equipment Failure (Lyplam)

B Maintenance or Test

C Retueling

D Regulatory Restriction

1 Operator Training & License I xamination

I Administrative

G Operational Litor (Explain)

II Other (Explain)

Method:

_l.Mannal

2 Manual Scram.

3-Automatic Seram.

4-Other (Explain)

Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LFR) File (NURLG-01611

4

Exhibit 1 - Same Source

(11/11)

DOCKET NO.	50-287
LNIT	Oconee Unit 3
DATE	1-15-82
COMPLETED BY	J. A. Reavis
	(704) 373-8552

17 18 19 20 21	849 837 839 849
18 19 20	837 839 849
19 20	839 849
20	849
21	850
22	853
23	854
24	854
25	855
26	856
27	856
28	848
29	845
30	848
11	843
	24 25 26 27 28 29

INSTRUCTIONS

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in the reporting month. Compute to the nearest whole megawatt.

MONTHLY REFUELING INFORMATION REQUEST

Scheduled nex	refueling shutdown: June,	1982	
Scheduled res	tart following refueling: A	uguse, 1702	
Will refuelin	or resumption of operation change or other license am	n thereafter require a	techn:
specification	vill these be? Technical Sp	ecification Revision	
II yes, what	TILL THESE SET TOOMS		
If no. has re	load design and core config	uration been reviewed b	y Safe
Review Commit	ee regarding unreviewed sa	fety questions? NA	*
If no, then i	review scheduled? NA		
	•••		
Scheduled date	e(s) for submitting proposed	d licensing action and	suppor
information:	March, 1982		-
) · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ensing considerations (new o		
TENOT CHILL TAU	morne compractation (
unreviewed des	ign or performance analysis operating procedures).	s methods, significant	change
unreviewed des	ign or performance analysis	s methods, significant	change
unreviewed des	ign or performance analysis	s methods, significant	change
unreviewed des	ign or performance analysis	s methods, significant	change
unreviewed des	ign or performance analysis	s methods, significant	change
unreviewed des design or new	assemblies (a) in the core	s methods, significant	change
unreviewed des design or new	assemblies (a) in the core	s methods, significant	change
Number of fuel	assemblies (a) in the core (b) in the spen	s methods, significant 178 fuel pool: 399	change
Number of fuel	assemblies (a) in the core	s methods, significant 178 fuel pool: 399	change
Number of fuel Present licens	assemblies (a) in the core (b) in the spen	s methods, significant 178 t fuel pool: 399 830	change
Number of fuel Present licens	assemblies (a) in the core (b) in the spen ed fuel pool capacity: 474 ted or planned increase:	s methods, significant 178 t fuel pool: 399 830	change
Number of fuel Present licens Size of reques	assemblies (a) in the core (b) in the spen ed fuel pool capacity: 474 ted or planned increase: of last refucling which ca ity:	s methods, significant 178 t fuel pool: 399 n be accommodated by p	change
Number of fuel Present licens Size of reques	assemblies (a) in the core (b) in the spen ed fuel pool capacity: 474 ted or planned increase: of last refucling which ca ity:	s methods, significant 178 t fuel pool: 399 830	change

DOCKET NO: 50-287

UNIT: Oconee Unit 3

DATE: January 15, 1982

NARRATIVE SUMMARY

MONTH: December, 1981

Oconee Unit 3 began the month of December at near-rated power. On December 4, power was reduced for stable operation while isolating a condenser water box to check for possible tube leak. A reactor coolant flow instrumentation problem caused a control runback in power on December 14. After returning to near-rated power, the unit continued in this state the remainder of the month.

OCONEE NUCLEAR STATION

Operating Status Report

1. Personnel Exposure

For the month of November, no individual(s) exceeded 10 percent of their allowable annual radiation dose limit.

2. The total station liquid release for November has been compared with the Technical Specifications annual value of 15 curies; the total release for November was less than 10 percent of this limit.

The total station gaseous release for November has been compared with the derived Technical Specifications annual value of 51,000 curies; the total release for November was less than 10 percent of this limit.