

WM-39
PDR
(Return to WM, 623-SS)

Distribution: WM-82-654

Project File WM-39
WMLL r/f PDR
NMSS r/f
SEPantell
DEMartin
RAScarano
REBrowning
JBMartin

OCT 20 1982

WMLL:SEP
WM-39

Mr. Richard H. Campbell
Project Manager
UMTRAP Project Office
Albuquerque Operations Office
U.S. Department of Energy
P. O. Box 5400
Albuquerque, NM 87115

Dear Mr. Campbell:

We have reviewed the draft 1982 Annual Status Report to Congress on the Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action Program, which you sent to us by letter dated October 6, 1982. It is indicated on page 8 that the "full text" of contributions from other federal agencies are included; however, we note that you did not include items 5 through 7 of the NRC summary of FY-1982 activities. Another copy of that summary is enclosed.

In addition, we would like to bring to your attention a number of inconsistencies in the report which were noticed in the course of our review. The introduction refers to this as the third annual status report; however this is, in fact, the fourth annual report to Congress. In the program funding summary on page 15, the entries under "Planning and Studies" and "Environmental" for FY-1981 actuals are not the same as the values listed in the 1981 Status Report. In addition, the total of the ASNE FY-1981 actuals column is incorrect and differs from the total in the 1981 report. We hope these comments will be helpful in the development of the final report.

Sincerely,

Original Signed by
John B. Martin

John B. Martin, Director
Division of Waste Management
Office of Nuclear Material Safety
and Safeguards

8211020100 821020
PDR WASTE
WM-39 PDR

Enclosure:
As stated

39/mab/82/10/18/0

Revision 1

OFC	:WMLL	:WMLL	:WMLL	:WM	:	:	:
NAME	:SEPantell:mb	:DEMartin	:RAScarano	:JBMartin	:	:	:
DATE	:10/19/82	:10/19/82	:10/19/82	:10/20/82	:	:	:

ATTACHMENT 1
NRC INPUT TO FY-1982
UMTRAP ANNUAL STATUS REPORT TO CONGRESS

For the period October 1, 1981 through September 30, 1982 the NRC participated in and completed the following significant actions related to the DOE's execution of the UMTRAP:

1. The NRC provided comments on the DOE Technical Criteria for UMTRAP disposal site selection.
2. The NRC concurred in the DOE Final Remedial Action Concept Papers for the Durango, CO and the Salt Lake City, UT UMTRAP processing sites, concurred in a revised Remedial Action Concept Paper for the Cannonsburg, PA processing site; and provided comments on the Draft Remedial Action Concept Paper for the Shiprock, New Mexico processing site.
3. The NRC reviewed and commented on the Preliminary Draft Environmental Impact Statements for the Cannonsburg and Salt Lake City sites.
4. The NRC concurred in the designation of approximately 100 Salt Lake City vicinity properties. NRC will review and concur in the individual remedial action plans for those vicinity properties identified as unusually significant. NRC comments were provided on the DOE Draft Environmental Assessment for remedial action at the vicinity properties. Comments were also provided on the DOE Draft Radiological and Engineering Assessment for the Central Valley Water Reclamation Facility, a high priority vicinity property.
5. The NRC continued to concur in the designation of vicinity properties near the Cannonsburg, PA processing site.
6. The NRC reviewed DOE-funded research and development projects in order to coordinate the DOE efforts with NRC-sponsored research on uranium tailings disposal.
7. The NRC concurred in the remedial action Cooperative Agreement between DOE and the State of Colorado.