

Chairman Kenneth Carr  
GENRC  
16-G-15  
Washington D.C.20555

Nov. 16, 1990

Dear Chairman Carr,

Thank you for the Nov.15,1990 phone call concerning my Nov. 11, 1990 letter. I was sure that when and if my concerns about the Task Force and BECO reached you, you would be responsive. I love it when I'm right! I feel it is important to review a few of the points we discussed.

First the ribbon in my computer. I haven't changed it yet, but, make sure they give you the blue copy. I have a blue ribbon for all my NRC correspondence for two reasons. First to match the little blue NRC seal in the corner of all your stationery. Second, blue does not copy well at all so by the time all the copies are made and it gets down to all my favorite people in Region 1 they will go crazy trying to figure out what I may have said about them. This time I will include 2 blue copies with a very official stick'em note instructing your staff to make sure you get the readable original blue. Thus, we will have saved your eyesight in the process.

Second: The NRC requesting BECO to analyze Public Officials statement on Emergency Planning. As you know I do have a problem with that. I do understand your concept of using BECO's analysis as a "straw man". I just hope the people on the Task Force understand and accept that position as well. Historically, as we both know the Region has relied heavily on the utility and they have accepted the utilities word above all others. This staff attitude has certainly caused problems for the Commission in the past, let's hope it does not continue to prevail.

I am very pleased Mr. Erickson has been instructed to reconcile the differences in my testimony and others. In our conversation we discussed the reluctance of the state officials to declare they have not done their job. Obviously this reluctance will hinder the task force as well as the BECO false statements could.

I am, as I have already stated to Mr. Erickson and Mr. Cooper, more than willing to share my documentation and back my position. I do anticipate and expect to communicate with the task force on a regular basis, as I said any statement I have made and they negate I will accept but they (the task force) best be as well prepared to back their statements as I am mine. I do feel this is the easiest way for us to

11/23...to EDO for Appropriate Action...Cpys to: Chairman, DEB...90-1196  
(Comrs) 9012260081 901207  
PDR COMMS NRCC  
CORRESPONDENCE PDR

reach mutual agreement on the true status of Emergency Planning. After all it is always more agreeable to iron out differences during the process rather than the polarized position we would have to assume if the task force issues a final position that I disagree with. I realize this perhaps sounds arrogant but remember I am the public you are supposed to protect. I have the greatest vested interest in the Emergency Planning Process...my children.

As an aside "straw man" as a double check to be sure our understanding of the term concurred I checked my thesaurus.

"straw man ... a statement to be refuted"

The thesaurus suggests we also look under "fake and nonsense" I am certainly pleased you choose BECo's statement as the "straw man", not mine. Again, another good judgement call on your half.

...Third: BECo's False statements: Following our conversation Mr. Taylor also called me. (some days I am so popular I can hardly stand it) Mr. Taylor assured me the NRC regulations concerning utility provide false testimony will be strictly adhered to. This adherence will be above and beyond the confines of the task force charter. The task force does not have enforcement powers as I am sure you are aware. I will happily identify and explain many of the more blatant misstatements and send this information to Mr. Taylor He will then be able to have the proper people handle this problem. I, naturally, will send your office copies of the same information.

The issue of "NO REASONABLE ASSURANCE" is still there and I stand behind my statement. I wish I could share your optimistic position that there will be enough busses but I must deal with the reality that if they can't even do it on paper they will never do it.

The busses, as I said on the phone, is one of those issues I think could be fixed if any one would give it an honest try. If BECo and MCDA spent as much time and energy looking for suitable transportation as it has spent lying and covering up a poorly done job; this problem would have been fixed long ago. Maybe they can't fix it ...if that's the case then we should not have a nuclear power plant running in an area where you cannot successfully evacuate the transportation dependent population. NO REASONABLE ASSURANCE... NO PILGRIM. Then I can retire from my present position of trying to make everyone do there job properly. Maybe I could become involved in D.O.E. issues. It is definitely more fun to argue with Tom than Steve.

Fourth: Reception Centers:

Adult Reception Centers as stated still have not made the grade. Again this might be possible but those in charge seem reluctant to do their job.

Student Reception Centers ... there has not even been an attempt to fulfill federal regulations. It is a federal regulation that reception centers must monitor the population. Our children are part of the population. The town officials have been on record repeatedly monitoring of our children must take place.

I am quite confident after our phone conversation yesterday this problem will be rectified rapidly. It, not surprisingly, is interesting that I believe a fifteen minute conversation with you accomplished more constructive and positive changes in emergency planning, than the hours and days I spend dealing with State, Local and Federal, including NRC, officials. We should chat more often.

#### Elith: Has Emergency Planning Improved ?

I did agree it had to some extent but, I want to "quality" my answer. Improvement has and continues to exist and grow on the lesser issues. The issues I call "the boys and their toys". We have little radios, EOCs, parking lots (very essential to planning) and all sorts of other stuff. We are missing the basics...BUSSES AND RECEPTION CENTERS. The important LOAs or signature pages aren't signed. The truly necessary support groups won't be there in time. The children aren't being monitored and the handicapped are ignored. Latch key children are not considered.

Are we really any better off than three years ago ?

. NO!

I still know my "evac plan" is the best plan in town. Ofcourse now I have the big decision to make; do I go with your family or do we stay with Tom. I would hate people to consider me materialistic and just looking for the best offer. BUT... due to Ralph's latest attempts we could arrive there penniless. I don't no how well the children and I would fit into Tom's rent a room world. On the other hand I would hate to give up the opportunity to argue nonstop with Tom. I really enjoy driving him crazy; and I do it so well.

Of course my suggestion that I should join you while visiting our new State Officials stands. I realize in the past I have volunteered to join your party in Russia, Spain and Austria and these offers were not instantly accepted by you but... perhaps a concerned and involved citizen from the State joining you could be advantageous. I do share and support your view that the present State officials have not done there job in the world of Emergency Planning and then there is the fact my family already has a fairly good

relationship with Bill Weld. Maybe Weld would make me Fairy Godmother of Emergency Planning and I could change pumpkins into busses and mice into drivers. We could fix it all fast. Until that happens I do believe you should set the 120 day clock. It looks like the legislation will fall in place soon. The state will then have the means to do their job and they will have run out of excuses. This is the time for the NRC to come out looking like the good guys. Set the clock and let's see if we can't get this done.

As far as my other problem, I assume that Steve told you, the night before we talked, I met with a few of the State Officials to discuss these Task Force problems. Finally I have their more than eager support, I have put them all on hold for now. I have explained I have received at least partial resolution to these problems from you and that I had complete confidence that you will correct these problems. If it doesn't work out I do have my newly found support group ready to take up my cause. Where were they when I could have used them?

Thank you as always Mr. Chairman for your time, your concern and your help. I do truly appreciate it. This issue is one of the more frustrating task I have ever been involved with, it is always nice to know that you are there. It is difficult to find the good guys in this issue.

Sincerely,

*Jane A. Fleming*  
Jane A. Fleming