

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

October 28, 1982

James P. Gleason, Esq., Chairman Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 Dr. Oscar H. Paris
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety and Licensing Board
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Mr. Frederick J. Shon Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

In the Matter of
Consolidated Edison Company of New York
(Indian Point, Unit 2)
Power Authority of the State of New York
(Indian Point, Unit 3)
Docket Nos. 50-247-SP and 50-286-SP

Dear Administrative Judges:

Enclosed is a copy of a letter dated October 15, 1982 from Region II of the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) which has attached the working paper of the most recent "Remedial Action Schedule" addressing the significant deficiencies at the Indian Point Nuclear Power Station (Indian Point). These deficiencies were identified in FEMA's "Interim Findings Report" of July 30, 1982. Also enclosed is a copy of a letter from Lee M. Thomas, Associate Director, State and Local Programs and Support, FEMA to William J. Dircks, Executive Director for Operations, U.S. N.R.C. dated October 18, 1982, which addresses the need for an emergency planning exercise at Indian Point. These letters and schedules are provided for the information of the Licensing Board and parties.

Singerely,

Donald F. Hassell Counsel for NRC Staff

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/encl: Service List



Federal Emergency Management Agency

Region II

26 Federal Plaza

New York, New York 10278

October 15, 1982

Donald Hassell, Esq.
Office of the Executive Legal Director
U.S Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Re: Consolidated Edison Co. of New York
Power Authority of the State of N.Y.
Docket Nos. 50-247, 50-286

Dear Mr. Hassell:

Enclosed please find the most recent Remedial Action Schedule dealing with the Interim Findings on Indian Point Radiological Emergency Preparedness. This is the result of intensive work on the part of New York State with the counties surrounding the Indian Point Nuclear Generating Facilities and represents the progress made by the work groups consisting of representatives of FEMA, the RAC, New York State and the licensees.

I look forward to working with you again and hope to see you at the Pre-hearing Conferences on November 3rd and 4th, 1982.

If I can provide any further assistance please do not hesitate to contact me.

Stewert m. Bless

Stewart M. Glass Regional Counsel

cc: Spence Perry

Statement of Deficiency		Proposed Disposition		Lead Responsibility		Completion Date/ Status	
E.	Noti	fication					
	(a)	Siren system requires further correction and documentation of operability of the alert (siren) system.	(a)	The NRC stated that the 120 day clock will be met if the sirens are working. The utilities will provide documentation that the sirens, that were part of the original design, are in place and now work. Acceptance testing will come later.	(a)	Con Edison PASNY	Total documentation due 9/13/82 COMPLETED 9/22/82
	(b)	State and county plans lack specific criteria for content and use of EBS messages.	(b)	and all actions affecting the public will be included in EBS messages. A system will be developed to insure the content of all EBS messages and the prompt and accurate transfer of such messages to the EBS stations. News releases will supplement information given in the EBS messages. Five generic samples of EBS	(b)	PIO Work Group	System to be submitted by 10/15 9/22 - Criteria for EBS and news releases have been developed. Formal plan writing in process. State Plan material has been written. County Plan material is being finalized.
	(c)	Procedures for PIO's should be revised to include the specific items listed in E.(b), E.(h), G.(d),(e),(f).	(c)	messages will be developed. All revisions necessitated by the interim findings will be incorporated or referenced in the State and County PIO plan procedures.		PIO Work Group	Procedures to be submitted by 10/29
	(d)	Inadequate notification of Rockland emergency personnel and local schools.	(d)	Initial call out methods for emergency personnal and local school notification will be improved.	(d)	Executive Work Group	Submit procedure, identify and order paging equipment by 10/15.

State	ment of Deficiency	Proposed Disposition		ad sponsibility	Completion Date/ Status
E. No	tification (Con't)				Status
(e)	Procedures for establishing contact with federal agencies should include office phone numbers.	(e) The State Plan the telephone no Federal agency of These numbers at listed primarily copies at the State Point.	umbers of office numbers, re currently y in controlled) REPG	Completion date to be supplied at 9/22 meeting COMPLETED 9/22/82
(f)	PIO training is necessary on revised procedures.	(f) Upon the complete procedure revision and County PIO's trained on such	ions, all State	PIO Work Group	Submit schedule 10/29, initial training to commence 11/19. Instead of 11/19, training is scheduled for 11/2
(g)	FEMA does not have the most up-to-date EBS plan.	(g) The Emergency Br procedures for (Valley and Cats) Operational Area sent to FEMA.	the Hudson	PIO Work Group	COMPLETED 9/8/82
(h)	The specific details of EBS activation for the four county area are not in the EBS plan.	(h) The specific det activation for t area, not reflect plan, (noted about detailed in each County Plan and the lead EBS sta county Letter of not necessary.	the four county cted in the EBS ove) shall be a State and provided to ation. A four Agreement is	PIO Work Group	Plan & procedure to be sub- mitted 10/15 9/22 - Procedures have been developed. Formal plan writing is in process. State Plan material has been written. County Plan material is being finalized.
		Meetings will be EBS station mana explain the EBS importance and i	gement to station, its		Submit schedule and commence initial training 11/15. State and County meeting responsibility has been delineated.

Statement of Deficiency Pr		Proposed Disposition	<u>Lead</u> Responsibility	Completion Date/ Status
G.	Public Education and Information			
	(a) The public appears to be un- informed about the Radio- logical Emergency Preparedness Plan.	(a) The public education program developed by the State, Coun and utilities will be initia	ty,	Program to be submitted 10/29 Program outline has been designed, agreed to and formal plan writing in process (9/22)
		Target dates to implement the program will be provided as information. Public Education materials will be provided that implementation is actually	backup · on o FENA y	State Plan material has been written. Draft PSA and advertise-
		completed, as per existing p	rocedures.	ments have been reviewed. Ads will be run by 11/15.
		Documentation of specific will be provided via forma submission quarterly (see		PSA submission will be made by 11/15.
	(b) Inadequate distribution of the brochure, Indian Point Planning & You.		ies	Completion date to be supplied at 9/22 meeting
		distribution methodology letters were forwarded to FE		Distribution methods to be submitted by 10/29
		9/22 - more specialized dist bution methods will be devel and implemented to provide new and current residents re the brochure or are made awa of how to obtain the brochur	oped that ccive	
	(c) Need for publication of the	(c) Although not an 0654 require the State, Counties and util		Survey to be submitted 10/29
	brochure in language(s) other than English.	recognize the need to invest the need for non-English spe public awareness of what to the event of an emergency. survey will be done on the and number of foreign speaki communities in the plume eme planning zone.	igate aking do in A lize ing	9/22 - Data is being analysed

-3-

	ent of Deficienc' ic Education and Information (Con		pposed Disposition	<u>Lead</u> Respons	ibility	Completion Date/ Status
(d)	Inadequate arrangements for		The "Oswego Model", tested and	/41 570		
	dealing with rumor control.		approved by all parties at the August 11 JAF Exercise will serve as a basis for the rumor control program at Indian Point. This program will be tailored and then added to each State and County plan.	(a) P10	Work Group	Program of system and equip- ment to be submitted 10/29 Program has been developed and accepted. Formal plan writing is in process (9/22). State Plan material has been written. County Plan materia is being finalized.
tre public informat	A more adequate description of the public information/public	n/public	An outline of the public in- formation program, news media	(e) PIO	PIO Work Group	Outline to be submitted 10/15
	education program, news media briefings and notification of transients should be in the State and County Plans.		briefings and notification of transients will be in the State and County plans. Specific details of this program will be forwarded to FEMA upon completion (i.e, pamphlets, posters, etc.).			Outline has been accepted, news media briefing outline has been accepted (see G.a). (9/22)
	Arrangements and procedures for the joint media facility need to be described in detail in	(f) Each State and County plan will describe the floor plan, equip- ment and internal location	(f) PIC Work Group	Clarification to be supplied by 9/22 meeting		
	the plan. Work space for county PIOs was cramped. An additional copy machine		information for the joint media facility. Further clarification from the RAC has been requested.			Required floor plans and equipment lists will be supplied by 10/15
	is needed. eWall maps showing Emergency Response Planning Areas are needed.		9/22 Clarification of details received. Pending the solution of the location, facility description will address deficiency.			Clarification received (9/22)
			Physical location and the physica space constraints of the News Med Center are still in question.	i.		New locations are actively being considered.

		Action Schedule		
	ement of Deficiency Protective Response	Proposed Disposition	<u>Responsibility</u>	Status .
	(a) Methods for notification of transients must be provided.	(a) Cony and dissemination schedule for posters and telephone book insert will be forwarded to FEMA upon completion.	(a) PIO Work Group	To be submitted by 10/29
		Details on the interim notification methods will be provided.		
	(b) Maps which include popula- tion by ERPA should be displayed in EOCs.	(b) Maps with population distribution by ERPA are available for use at		Provide status by 9/15
		State and County EOCs. REPG will provide information on EOC population maps to RAC.		Counties completed 9/8 - State EOC to be completed by 10/1.
	(c) The State has decided not	(5) State>		COMPLETED 10/1.
	to provide thyroid blocking agents to	(c) State policy now calls for the provision and dissemination of	(c) REPG	To be completed by 10/15
	emergency workers.	KI to emergency workers and special populations. This policy will be included in the State Plan.		Draft outline Gone 10/5.
	(d) The plans provide insufficient site-specific means for deal- ing with impediments to evacuation.	(d) Further details of the means for clearing evacuation routes will be included or referenced in State and County plans.	(d) Plans Revision Task Force	Details to be submitted by 10/15
(e) Describe more adequately in the State Plan, the measures for protection of the ingestion pathway.	(e) Further clarification has been requested from the RAC.	(e) Ingestion Task Force	Clarification to be supplied by 9/22 meeting
	(Maps, lists of food processors, identification of	9/22 Provide a surface water inventory.		To be completed by 10/29
	reservoirs, etc., must be provided or material adequately referenced.)	Provide 50 mi. EPZ maps identifying location of produce farms. Provide maps showing dairy farms in 10 and 50 mi. EPZ.		Clarification supplied (9/22)

tate	ment of Deficiency	Proposed Disposition		ad sponsibility	Completion Date/ Status
. 1	Protective Response (Con't)				
	(f) Procedures should be developed to provide monitoring capability at relocation centers.	(f) The deficiency addresses monitoring capability at Congregate Care Centers as 0654 defines Relocation Centers. The plans recognize two types of centers, Receptic Centers and Congregate Center. The definition of Relocation analogous to Reception Centers Such capability as designated in the plans will be reiterated.	on s. is	Radiological Task Force	Define issues by 10/1 Issues defined 9/22. Procedures will be submitted 10/20. Initial training will be completed by 11/29.
,	(g) Inadequate collection and transmission of field data for consideration of decisionmakers.	(g) Standard Operating Procedures for collection and transmission of field data will be develope County EOC staffs will be train	on ed.	Radiological Task Force	Procedures to be sub- mitted by 10/15 Training schedule 10/15 Initial training will be completed by 11/29.
((h) Evacuation of non-institu- tionalized mobility impaired persons is not addressed.	(h) Revise State and County plans reflect the program to identifiance evacuate such persons.	to (h)	Plan Revision Task Force	Completed by 11/19
(No evacuation commitments from Bus Operators, or locations and numbers of buses, bus radios and maps. 	(i) Compensating measures will be developed and included in plan for the provision of supplemental personnel.	(i)	Executive Task Force	To be submitted by 10/29
((j) Some relocation centers are located too close to the plume exposure EPZ.	(j) Details as to specific centers will be provided by FEMA. Appropriate revisions will be		FEMA-RAC	Clarification to be provided at 9/22 mtg COMPLETED 9/23
((k) During the exercise, a lack of maps was noted at the State and some county EOCs.	(k) Additional maps are now available. RAC will identify specific types and locations	(k)	t) PENA-RAC	Clarification to be provided at 9/22 mtg
		where additional maps should			Clarification provided 9/22.
		be located.			Further clarification to be provided by 10/20.

Statement of Deficiency		Proposed Disposition	Responsibility	Completion Date/ Status
K.	Radiological Exposure Control			
	(a) Absence of permanent dosimetry and 24-hour monitoring capability. More sensitive self-reading dosimeters are needed. No permanent record devices (film badges or TLDs) were available in the counties.	(a) Permanent dosimeters (TLDs) and self-reading pocket dosimeters (0-5 R) with chargers have been ordered. The capability for 24-hour operation (i.e., shift changes) will be demonstrated during the next exercise.	(a) REPG	(Distribution plan by 9/1) COMPLETED
		Permanent record dosimeters will be exchanged (returned) on an annual basis.		Procedure will be modified by 10/20
	(b) Current decontamination procedures for emergency personnel require revision.	(b) Decontamination procedures are being reviewed and modified. Personnel at appropriate locations will be trained in new methods.	(b) Radiological Task Force	Review present procedures by 9/1. Procedures for emergency personnel decontamination have been developed and issued. Staff responsible for personnel monitoring and decontamination will be trained by 11/29.
	(c) Decontamination protocols (sequences) out of order in Westchester Plan, satisfactory for Putnam and Rockland Plans. The decontamination methods	(c) Decontamination levels will be displayed in PMCs. Personnel will be trained in application of requirements in conjunction with decontamination training	(c) Radiological Task Force	Define by 9/1 Plan changes by 10/15

with decontamination training

(See X. (b)).

decontamination methods table is missing in the Orange Plan (K.5.b., NUREG 0654 and procedure 3, Attachment 13, Table 1, County RERPs). Decon-tamination levels should

be posted.

Statement of Deficiency		Proposed Disposition	Lead	Completion Date/
ĸ.	Radiological Exposure Control (Con't)		Responsibility	Status
	(d) Disposal of contaminated wastes is not adequately	(d) Contaminated solid waste will be packaged as appropriate.	(d) Radiological	Define by 9/1
	in the County Plans.	Disposal will be in accordance with applicable regulations.	Task Force	Methods to be submitted by 10/29.
		The Westchester site chosen for decontamination is being reviewed.		Training is scheduled for 11/2
	(e) Inadequate calibration and maintenance of monitoring	(e) Monitoring equipment will be checked for proper operation	(e) Radiological	Define by 10/1
,	equipment.	quarterly, and will be ex- changed (replaced) or calibrated annually.	Task Force	Equipment decontamination pro- cedures are described in the NYS Emergency Worker Training
P.	Planning Responsibility			Course. Initial training will occur before 11/29.
	(a) Rockland's non-participation in the four county plan raises a question as to decision maker capability to respond in an emergency.	(a) Rockland County decision makers will be trained on radiological emergency response necessities. Compensating measures will be outlined in the State Plan.	(a) Executive Work Group	Plan revisions will be submitted by 10/20
	(b) In the absence of a Rockland County plan, there is an inherent lack of capability to update such a plan.	(b) In the absence of a Rockland County plan, provision will be included in the State Plan for updating Rockland response requirements.	(b) Executive Work Group	10/20
	(c) In the absence of a Rockland County plan, there is an inherent inability to keep the plan current.	(c) In the absence of a Rockland County plan, provision will be included in the State Plan for keeping Rockland response requirements current.	(c) Executive Work Group	10/20

Sta	tement of	Deficiency	
		Responsibility	(Con't)

(d) "FEMA is concerned that Rockland County may be unable to implement that plan in the absence of commitment of resources for required equipment, adequate staffing, and the lack of participation in training and drills and exercises." The issue is one of implementation of the plan.

Proposed Disposition

(d) In the absence of a Rockland Plan, compensating measures will be included in the State Plan to insure adequate emergency response in that County.

Lead Responsibility

Completion Date/ Status

(d) Executive Work Group

10/20

submitted 10/1

PIO Work Group - J.R. Dillenback (REPG), Peter Slocum (State PIO), Linda Milstrey (State PIO), Cliff Spieler (PASNY), . Jack Brumfield (PASNY), Larry Kleinman (ConEd), Barbara Noble (ConEd), Bowin Lindgren (ConEd), Claire Palermo, (Westchester), Harry Porr, (Orange), Howard Burr (Putnam), Colleen Kohler, (Putnam)

Executive Work Group - Donald Davidoff (REPG), Phil McIntire (PEMA)

Plan Revision Task Force - Donald Davidoff (REPG) and Phil McIntire (FEMA) and staff

Radiological Task Force - Dr. Karim Rimawi (DOH), Joyce Feldman (EPA)

Ingestion Task Force - Dr. Karim Rimawi (DOH), Ronald Bernacki (FDA)

(Items listed as E., G., J., K., and P. are references to the NUREG-0654-PEMA REP-1 Planning Standards deemed

^{*} Public Meetings Issues



Federal Emergency Management Agency Washington, D.C. 20472

October 18, 1982

Mr. William J. Direks
Executive Director for Operations
U.S. Mucleur Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. OLrckes

The purpose of this letter is to address the need for either a full scale or limited scale expreise at or near the conclusion of the 120 day clock at the Indian Point Nuclear Power Station. This issue was raised by Commissioners Gilinsky and Assolutine during my briefing before the Commission on September 9, 1982, as to the status of FEMA's effort on evaluating offsite exergency plane and preparedness at Indian Point. At that time I stated that the best mathod we have for gauging preparedness is through exercising. However, an exercise (full or limited) at or near the end of the 120 day clock will not be fessible. FEMA believes that the regularly scheduled annual exercise in late february or early March will better indicate the state of preparedness at Indian Point for the reasons discussed below.

FEMA will be prepared to provide an "update" on the status of remedial actions to correct deficiencies outlined in the Interim Findings Report of August 2, 1982, at your request, at the end of the 120 day clock. This update will address the status of plan revisions, equipment purchases, and training as they relate to the previously identified deficiences. This element of our process is "plan review" and this will include our conclusion on the adequacy of the Plan for offsite emargency preparedness around the facility as a result of the corrective actions which have been taken by that date. This will be followed by an evaluation of preparedness, which is the ebility to implement the plan, at the annual exercise in late February or early Moren 1983. Prior to an exercise the participents must be trained in the plens concept, the utilization of equipment, and specific areas of concern (Public Information Officers, etc.) and new equipment must be field verified by the usors. It is premature to evaluate the preparedness around Indian Point until proper training reflecting recent revisions has occurred. It must be remembered that many of the major corrective actions now underway will not be completed until late October or early Movember and some, such as public education, say not be completed until

There are other significant factors that enter into the question of exercise timing. While FEMA does not maintain specific cost data for exercises, it is an accepted fact that the direct and indirect costs incurred by Federal, State. and local authorities in planning for and conducting exercises are significant. Therefore, efficient utilization of limited financial and staff resources at all levels of government is a very real consideration, particularly when evaluating the Commissioner's request in the context of the activities presently underway to upgrade emergency preparedness at Indian Point. In addition to

these resource (including cost) considerations FDMA is perticularly concerned that the effort essociated with preparing for and conducting an exercise (full or limited) at Indian Point in December would likely prove detrimental to the upgrading of preparadness presently underway around Indian Point. Also, it

upgrading of preparadness presently underway around Indian Point. Also, it should be noted that the State of New York and FEMA will be involved in an exercise at the Ginna Power Plant in December. This further reduces the availability of sanpower and resources to plan for and conduct an exercise at Indian Point in December, however, this may give insight into New York's upgrading of smargency preparadness. A copy of the schedule for all exercises in New York State in FY-83 is attached.

The matter of an earlier exercise at Indian Point has been informally discussed with State officials. They oppose such an exercise for the rescons cited in this latter and because an additional exercise would result in resources being diverted from remedial actions to exercise preparation.

It should also be noted that as of this date the counties of Rockland and Mestchester have not received any funding from the State as a result of the utilities' annual payment. However, on September 17, 1982, the counties were informed by the State of their allocations and saked to subdit an expenditure plan for review. It is anticipated that it will take about four or five weeks to receive payment after submission of the county plan. The counties around Indian Point should receive the following; Putness County \$27,962, Orange County \$31, 691, Westchester County \$94,724 and Rockland County \$57,870. This soney will help, but these counties are still under a resource strain to upgrade the emergency preparedness around Indian Point. These counties must institute training prior to any new exercise once the plan revisions, and equipment purchases are made. A December exercise would make this impossible.

It should also be pointed out that the normal exercise process begins saventyfive days prior to the exercise with the submittal of exercise objectives by
the State and utility to the FEMA and NRC Regional Offices. This is followed
up by an exercise scenario in thirty days (45 days prior to the exercise). The
current effort underway to revise the plan askes acherence to the normal
exercise development process impossible. This could result in an exercise that
does not effectively test the status of offsite emergency preparedness with
emphasis on the previously identified deficiencies. FEMA is prepared to
initiate planning for the next requise scheduled exercise in December in
accordance with our procedures. FEMA will ensure that the exercise scenario
emphasizes those areas that were deficient resulting in the August 1982 negative
Interial Finding.

I would like to stress once again that FEMA's evaluation of offsite preparadness is an ongoing task that continually evaluates the state of readiness. The conduct of an exercise in December at Indian Point would not reduce the need for continuing evaluation of offsite emergency preparadness at Indian Point in the future.

FEMA is currently spending considerable effort working with the State, locals, utilities and other Federal agencies to correct the deficiencies at Indian Point. The "Task Force Concept" (5 Teak Forces/Work Groups) has been established.

and is comprised of representatives of the State, utilities, NRC and FDM. County expresentatives have participated in the PIO work group sessions and will be invited to participate in the bi-weekly meeting acheduled October 19. Bi-weekly meetings are held to provide FDMA and the NRC with the status of the remedial actions.

The Commission should be more that the "Alert and Notification System" evaluation will not be completed during the 120 day clock. FENA is finalizing its guidelines for testing these systems nationwide which will be implemented in FY-83. However, in order to ensure compliance at this time the utilities have been asked to certify that the system has been installed in accordance with "system design." FENA will further request that the design plans be forwarded to FENA Nondouerters for review at that time. This review will be a part of the FENA evaluation of Alert and Notification according to the guidelines under development.

In conclusion, I would like to etate that an exercise in December would be unreslictic in light of the scheduled completion dates for plan-revisions and equipment purchases. All levels of government sust be trained and/or familiarized with these modifications in order to make the exercise a true evaluation of preparedness.

I look forward to briefing the Commission on the Indian Point status on October 21, 1962. If you have any further questions on this metter please call me or Mr. Richard Krimm, Assistant Associate Director. Office of Natural and Technological Hazards at 287-0176.

Sincerely,

Les M. Thomas Associate Director

State and Local Programs and Support

Enclosure

RADIOLOGICAL ENERGENCY PREPAREDNESS EXERCISE SCHEDULED FOR NEW YORK STATE THROUGH FY 1983

DICERCIAL WILEAR POWER REACTOR DATE OF SCHEDULED EXERCISE

INNA

DECEMBER 1982

INDIAN POINT

FEBRUARY 1983

SHOREDHAM

APRIL 1983

FITZPATRICK/MINE HILE POINT

AUGUST 1983