December 5, 1990

(55FR 43231)

USNAC

OCRE supports this policy statement. The NRC has corressly information stantified the types of economic incentive programs employeed by some state regulatory agencies which can adversely impact thanks safety. It is important to note that some state regulator: agencies, such as the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, are very cognizant of and concerned about the safety impacts of tate regulation.

HUVERED I IN IT WOULD'T WHOT THE NEC INTERNET TO BD WITH THE 10/ TTRATION 15 15 COllecting on these programs. Additional 11.0 BEVORE 31.0 A SUBSECTION LESCOLOGES LO ETOUSES LECTORES under progr UV to have a negative impact on tarety? Ubuld the ! o influence the state regulatory programs in some manne < douberul that the NRC has the legal SUCHOFSEY CO white with those state regulatory programs NOVADE G DEBUTY & "Fect on plant sofety. It is certoisnly important, though, to make "tate regulatory agencies aware of the possible imp" to of t t. actions on plant safety, even if iddication over sofety mosters. Increased they do not have communication L. the NRC and agencies having ratemaking JUPIEdiction over 1. Insees is important so that these agencies can become aware of the impacts of their programs and avoid those types of incentives which can have a negative effect on plant safety, and so that the NRC can be aware of which plants ore being regulated in this manner.

CCRE would ask whether this policy statement signals a de facto reinstatement of financial qualifications review for electric utilities. If so, the NRC should establish objective criteria for evaluating the financial health of electric utilities and provide opportunities for public involvement in its regulatory crogram. Unfortunately, the NRC's decision to abolish financial qualifications review meant that the public participants in operating license proceedings for the plants which are the most likely to be affected by economic incentive programs were unable to litigate these issues. The instant adversely impact safety, while the reason given for abolishing financial qualifications review was that economic regulation can adversely impact safety, while the reason given for abolishing financial qualifications review was that economic regulation of electric utilities virtually guarantees that they will have sufficient funds to assure safety.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITURE.

Chapper L.

Susan L. Hiatt CORE Representative 8275 Munson Road Mentor, OH 44860 (216) 255-3158

9012190045 901205 PDR PR MISC 55FR43231 PDR