

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

Unit 2

CONTROL BLOCK: [ ][ ][ ][ ][ ][ ][ ][ ] 1

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 | T | N | S | N | P | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5

CON'T  
01 | REPORT SOURCE | L | 6 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 9

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10

02 | Unit 2 in mode 1 at 100% RTP. At 0311 (C) on 10/02/82, the outer door of the  
03 | upper containment personnel airlock bounced back on closure during a personnel exit.  
04 | This allowed the latch bar to close blocking the door open. Several minutes later  
05 | personnel exiting containment opened the inner door resulting in both doors being  
06 | open simultaneously, violating LCO 3.6.1.3.a and 3.6.1.1. There was no effect  
07 | upon public health and safety. Previous occurrences - three (SQRO-50-327/80113,  
08 | 80116, and 81085).

09 | SYSTEM CODE [S][A] 11 | CAUSE CODE [E] 12 | CAUSE SUBCODE [B] 13 | COMPONENT CODE [P][E][N][E][T][R] 14 | COMP. SUBCODE [A] 15 | VALVE SUBCODE [Z] 16

17 | LER/RO REPORT NUMBER [8][2] 21-22 | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. [1][1][5] 24-26 | OCCURRENCE CODE [0][1] 28-29 | REPORT TYPE [T] 30 | REVISION NO. [0] 32

ACTION TAKEN [E] 33 | FUTURE ACTION [ ] 34 | EFFECT ON PLANT [Z] 35 | SHUTDOWN METHOD [Z] 36 | HOURS [0][0][0][0] 37-40 | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED [Y] 41 | NPPD-4 FORM SUB. [N] 42 | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER [L] 43 | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER [C][3][1][0] 44-47

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27

10 | The outer door bounced back on closure due to the door swing operating chain being  
11 | out of adjustment. The inner door was closed within 15 seconds of opening. The  
12 | outer door swing operating chain was adjusted and the door satisfactorily tested  
13 | at 0015 (C) on 10/03/82.

14 | FACILITY STATUS [E] 28 | % POWER [1][0][0] 29 | OTHER STATUS [NA] 30 | METHOD OF DISCOVERY [A] 31 | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION [Personnel observation] 32

15 | ACTIVITY RELEASED OF RELEASE [Z] 33 | CONTENT [Z] 34 | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY [NA] 35 | LOCATION OF RELEASE [NA] 36

16 | PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER [0][0][0] 37 | TYPE [Z] 38 | DESCRIPTION [NA] 39

17 | PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER [0][0][0] 40 | DESCRIPTION [NA] 41

18 | LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE [Z] 42 | DESCRIPTION [NA] 43

19 | PURLCITY ISSUED DESCRIPTION [N] 44 | DESCRIPTION [NA] 45  
20 | 8210290094 821014 PDR ADOCK 05000328 S PDR  
Name of Preparer: G. B. Kirk /M. R. Harding Phone: (615) 751-0349

LER SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

SQRO-50-328/82115                      Technical Specification Involved: 3.6.1.3 and 3.6.1.1

Reported Under Technical Specification: 6.9.1.12.b

Date of Occurrence: 10/02/82      Time of Occurrence: 0311 CS1

Identification and Description of Occurrence:

During personnel exit from the upper containment personnel airlock, the outer door bounced back on closure. This allowed the latch bar to close and block the door open. Minutes later, personnel unaware of the condition opened the inner door to exit containment resulting in both airlock doors open simultaneously.

Conditions Prior to Occurrence:

Unit 2 in mode 1 at 100% RTP.

Apparent Cause of Occurrence:

The outer door bounced back on closure due to the door swing operating chain being out of adjustment.

Analysis of Occurrence:

Both airlock doors being open simultaneously is contrary to LCO 3.6.1.3.a and required entry into LCO 3.6.1.1 due to loss of containment integrity.

Corrective Action:

The inner door was closed within 15 seconds of opening. The outer door swing operating chain was properly adjusted and the door satisfactorily tested at 0015 (C) on 10/03/82.

To prevent recurrence the frequency for verifying proper adjustment of the operating chain will be changed from at least every six months to at least every three months. Also, during periods of high usage of the airlocks, a person trained in proper operation of the doors will be stationed at the airlock to operate the doors for all entries and exits.

In addition to these corrective actions, Sequoyah will pursue a proposed technical specification change for LCO 3.6.1.3 to prevent confusion in evaluation of this condition for reportability.

Failure Data:

Previous Occurrences - three (SQRO-50-327/80113, 80116, and 81085).