

PDL-016



UNITED STATES  
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION  
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

September 3, 1982

Mr. Daniel Hirsch, President  
Committee to Bridge the Gap  
Box 1186  
Ben Lomond, CA 95005

IN RESPONSE REFER  
TO FOIA-82-381

Dear Mr. Hirsch:

This is in partial response to a letter dated August 10, 1982, from Dorothy Thompson, in which she requested, pursuant to the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA), documents relating to the UCLA Lab and research reactors. In a telephone conversation between you and Nina Toms of my staff, you requested our responses to be forwarded to you rather than to Ms. Thompson.

As explained to you by Ms. Toms, it is NRC's policy to waive 75 percent of the costs for documents relating to contentions admitted by an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board. Therefore, the charges for searching and reproducing the documents for this request will be assessed at 25 percent of actual costs. You will subsequently be billed by our Division of Accounting for the enclosed documents.

In a telephone conversation between Mr. John C. Carr and you on September 2, 1982, Mr. Carr informed you that certain documents relating to the FOIA request need further coordination between our office and the Region V Office in California to identify and remove safeguards information covered by Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act and Exemption (3) of the Freedom of Information Act. We expect to be able to complete our response by September 17, 1982. Appendix A is a partial list of documents which are relevant to your request.

Should you have any questions regarding this letter, please do not hesitate to contact Mr. Carr at (301) 492-8133.

Sincerely,

J. M. Felton, Director  
Division of Rules and Records  
Office of Administration

Enclosures: As stated

cc w/o enclosures:  
John Bay, Esquire  
Chickering & Gregory  
3 Eubarcadero Ctr.  
23rd Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94111

Appendix A

1. Undated - Annex 1 Cost Benefit Analysis of Proposed §73.47 of 10 CFR Part 73. (25 pps).
2. Undated - Category II and III Materials Rule - List of Commenters. (33 pps).
3. Undated - Memo to Stello from Miller: Impact of Proposed Safeguards "Upgrade" Rule on Non-power Reactors. (4 pps).
4. 11/30/73 - Letter from Skovholt to The Regents of the University of California. ( 1 pg).
5. 11/27/74 - Letter from Ashbaugh to Karl Goller. (2 pps).
6. 6/10/75 - Letter from Engelken to Brown. (1 pg).
7. 1/30/76 - Letter from Ashbaugh to Karl Goller. (2 pps).
8. 9/13/76 - IE Circular No. 76-03 (2 pps).
9. 12/5/78 - Licensees - SNM of Moderate Strategic Significance (Category II) (3 pps).
10. 1/79 - CAT II Non-power Reactor Licensee holdings as of January 79. (2 pps).
11. 1/16/79 - SECY-79-38 - PHYSICAL PROTECTION OF CATEGORY II AND III MATERIAL (150 pps).
12. 6/20/79 - Memo from Denton to Chairman & Commissioners - REPORT ON THE SELF-PROTECTION CRITERION (5 pps).
13. 6/28/79 - Memo from Miller to Burnett - NEW COMMISSION PAPER ON "UPGRADE RULE" ( 2-pps).
14. 8/10/79 - Letter from Engelken to University of California at Los Angeles (7 pps).
15. 8/27/79 - Letter from Ashbaugh to Robert Reid (1 pg).
16. 9/79 - CAT II Fuel Cycle Licensee Holdings as of 9/79 (1 pg).
17. 9/10/79 - Letter from Engelken to University of California at Los Angeles (5 pps).
18. 5/29/80 - Letter from Ostrander to Engelken ( 2 pps).
19. 1/12/81 - Letter from Miller to Dr. Walter Wegst (6 pps).
20. 1/29/81 - Letter from Wegst to Miller (1 pg).